JS-6

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAMUEL CALHOUN ARRINGTON, individually, and through his next best friend, AURELIA CLEO BATTLE,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

CITY OF LOS ANGELES, a public entity; DANIEL PENNINGTON, an individual; RUSS GRAYBILL, an individual; JOHNATHAN JORDAN, an individual; ERIC OLIVE, an individual; CHRISTIAN ARRUE, an individual; AND DOES 1 THROUGH 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO. CV 12-4698-GW(AGRX)

(Hon. George Wu, District Judge) (Hon. Alicia G. Rosenberg, Magistrate Judge)

JUDGMENT

This action came on regularly for trial on October 13, 2016, in Courtroom "10" of the United States District Court, Central District of California, Central Division, the Honorable George Wu, Judge Presiding. The Plaintiff SAMUEL CALHOUN ARRINGTON, was represented by attorney Nazareth M. Haysbert. The Defendants CITY OF LOS ANGELES, DANIEL PENNINGTON, RUSSELL GRAYBILL, JOHNATHAN JORDAN, ERIC OLIVE and CHRISTIAN ARRUE were present and represented by attorneys Colleen R. Smith and Cory M. Brente.

1	The trial was bifurcated, with phase I addressing liability and compensatory
2	damages only.
3	A jury of 8 persons was regularly impaneled and sworn on October 13, 2016.
4	Witnesses were sworn and testified. On October 24, 2016, following the
5	presentation of evidence and argument during a jury trial which concluded October
6	24, 2016, the jury, in the above-entitled action, UNANIMOUSLY found as follows:
7	
8	JUDGMENT ON SPECIAL VERDICT
9	WE, THE JURY in the above-entitled action, unanimously find as follows on
10	the questions submitted to us:
11	
12	QUESTION NO. 1: Has Plaintiff proved by a preponderance of the evidence that
13	any of the following Defendants violated his Fourth Amendment Constitutional
14	Rights by using excessive force against him?
15	Answer (check "Yes" or "No") following the name of each Defendant:
16	SERGEANT RUSS GRAYBILL YES NO✓
17	OFFICER DANIEL PENNINGTON YES NO✓
18	OFFICER JOHNATHAN JORDAN YES NO✓
19	OFFICER ERIC OLIVE YES NO✓
20	OFFICER CHRISTIAN ARRUE YES NO✓
21	If you answered "No" as to each of the Defendants, skip to Question No. 5.
22	If you answered "Yes" as to any Defendant, proceed to Question No. 2.
23	
24	QUESTION NO. 2: For each "Yes" response to Question No. 1, do you find that
25	Plaintiff has proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the Defendant's
26	conduct was the cause of injury to him?
27	Answer (check "Yes" or "No") following the name of each Defendant:
28	SERGEANT RUSS GRAYBILL YES NO

1	OFFICER DANIEL PENNINGTON	YES	NO
2	OFFICER JOHNATHAN JORDAN	YES	NO
3	OFFICER ERIC OLIVE	YES	NO
4	OFFICER CHRISTIAN ARRUE	YES	NO
5	Please proceed to Question No. 3.		
6			
7	QUESTION NO. 3: Has Plaintiff proved by	a preponderance	of the evidence that
8	any of the following Defendants committed a b	attery upon him?	
9	Answer (check "Yes" or "No") following	g the name of eac	h Defendant:
10	SERGEANT RUSS GRAYBILL	YES	NO
11	OFFICER DANIEL PENNINGTON	YES	NO
12	OFFICER JOHNATHAN JORDAN	YES	NO
13	OFFICER ERIC OLIVE	YES	NO
14	OFFICER CHRISTIAN ARRUE	YES	NO
15	If you answered "No" as to each of the Defend	ants, skip to Ques	stion No. 5.
16	If you answered "Yes" as to any Defendant, pr	oceed to Question	ı No. 4.
17			
18	QUESTION NO. 4: For each "Yes" response	to Question No.	3, do you find that
19	Plaintiff has proved by a preponderance of	the evidence th	nat the Defendant's
20	conduct was a substantial factor in causing him	injury?	
21	Answer (check "Yes" or "No") following	g the name of eac	h Defendant:
22	SERGEANT RUSS GRAYBILL	YES	NO
23	OFFICER DANIEL PENNINGTON	YES	NO
24	OFFICER JOHNATHAN JORDAN	YES	NO
25	OFFICER ERIC OLIVE	YES	NO
26	OFFICER CHRISTIAN ARRUE	YES	NO
27	Please proceed to Question No. 5.		
28			

1	QUESTION NO. 5: Has Plaintiff proved by a preponderance of the evidence that		
2	any of the following Defendants negligently used excessive force against him?		
3	Answer (check "Yes" or "No") following	g the name of ea	ch Defendant:
4	SERGEANT RUSS GRAYBILL	YES	_ NO <u>√</u>
5	OFFICER DANIEL PENNINGTON	YES	NO
6	OFFICER JOHNATHAN JORDAN	YES	NO
7	OFFICER ERIC OLIVE	YES	NO
8	OFFICER CHRISTIAN ARRUE	YES	NO
9	If you answered "No" as to Questions Nos. 1 and 5, please date and sign this form		
10	where indicated below.		
11	If you answered "Yes" as to Question Nos. 2, 4	t, or 5 as to any I	Defendant, proceed
12	to Question No. 6.		
13			
14	QUESTION NO. 6: For each "Yes" response	e to Question No	o. 5, do you find that
15	Plaintiff has proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the Defendant's		
16	conduct was a substantial factor in causing him injury?		
17	Answer (check "Yes" or "No") following the name of each Defendant:		
18	SERGEANT RUSS GRAYBILL	YES	NO
19	OFFICER DANIEL PENNINGTON	YES	NO
20	OFFICER JOHNATHAN JORDAN	YES	NO
21	OFFICER ERIC OLIVE	YES	NO
22	OFFICER CHRISTIAN ARRUE	YES	NO
23	Please proceed to Question No. 7.		
	rease proceed to guestion ivo. 7.		
24	rease proceed to guestion i.e.,		
2425	QUESTION NO. 7: If you answered "Yes" to	Question No. 1,	answer the following
25	QUESTION NO. 7: If you answered "Yes" to	Defendants in Qi	uestion No. 1, please

1	that any of the following Defendants failed to intervene to prevent a violation o		
2	Plaintiff's constitutional rights?		
3	Answer (check "Yes" or "No") followin	g the name of eac	ch Defendant:
4	SERGEANT RUSS GRAYBILL	YES	NO
5	OFFICER DANIEL PENNINGTON	YES	NO
6	OFFICER JOHNATHAN JORDAN	YES	NO
7	OFFICER ERIC OLIVE	YES	NO
8	OFFICER CHRISTIAN ARRUE	YES	NO
9	If you answered "No" as to each of the Defend	lants, skip to Que.	stion No. 9.
10	If you answered "Yes" as to any Defendant, pr	oceed to Question	n No. 8.
11			
12	QUESTION NO. 8: For each "Yes" response	to Question No.	. 7, do you find that
13	Plaintiff has proved by a preponderance of	the evidence the	hat the Defendant's
14	conduct was the cause of injury to him?		
15	Answer (check "Yes" or "No") following the name of each Defendant:		
16	SERGEANT RUSS GRAYBILL	YES	NO
17	OFFICER DANIEL PENNINGTON	YES	NO
18	OFFICER JOHNATHAN JORDAN	YES	NO
19	OFFICER ERIC OLIVE	YES	NO
20	OFFICER CHRISTIAN ARRUE	YES	NO
21	Please proceed to Question No. 9.		
22			
23	QUESTION NO. 9: If you answered "Yes" a	s to Question Nos	s. 2 or 4, answer the
24	following question. Otherwise, please proce	ed to the section	n on Damages. Has
25	Plaintiff proved by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following		ny of the following
26	Defendants intentionally inflicted severe emoti	onal distress on h	im?
27	Answer (check "Yes" or "No") followin	g the name of eac	ch Defendant:
28	SERGEANT RUSS GRAYBILL	YES	NO

1	OFFICED DANIEL DEVININGTON	MEG	NO
1	OFFICER DANIEL PENNINGTON	YES	
2	OFFICER JOHNATHAN JORDAN	YES	NO
3	OFFICER ERIC OLIVE	YES	NO
4	OFFICER CHRISTIAN ARRUE	YES	NO
5	If you answered "No" as to each of the Defend	lants, skip to Que	stion No. 11.
6	If you answered "Yes" as to any Defendant, pr	roceed to Question	n No. 10.
7			
8	QUESTION NO. 10: For each "Yes" respons	e to Question No	o. 9, do you find that
9	Plaintiff has proved by a preponderance of	f the evidence the	hat the Defendant's
10	conduct was a substantial factor in causing him	n injury?	
11	Answer (check "Yes" or "No") followin	g the name of eac	ch Defendant:
12	SERGEANT RUSS GRAYBILL	YES	NO
13	OFFICER DANIEL PENNINGTON	YES	NO
14	OFFICER JOHNATHAN JORDAN	YES	NO
15	OFFICER ERIC OLIVE	YES	NO
16	OFFICER CHRISTIAN ARRUE	YES	NO
17	Please proceed to Question No. 11.		
18			
19	DAMAGE	<u>ES</u>	
20			
21	If you gave any "Yes" responses to either Q	uestion Nos. 2, 4	4, 6, 8 or 10, please
22	answer the following questions. Otherwise, p	please date and s	sign this form where
23	indicated below.		
24			
25	QUESTION NO. 11: What is the total amoun	t of compensatory	y damages suffered
26	by Plaintiff? \$		
27			
	If you did not enter an amount, skip to Questio	n No. 13.	
	· · · · · ·		

1	If you entered an amount, proceed to Question	No. 12.	
2			
3	QUESTION NO. 12: If you answered "yes" t	to Question No. 5 and y	ou entered an
4	amount in response to Question No. 11, w	that percentage of resp	onsibility for
5	Plaintiff's harm do you assign to each of the fo	ollowing person's (Do n	ot include any
6	Defendants for which you did not find any liab	ility above).	
7	PLAINTIFF SAMUEL ARRINGTON	%	
8	SERGEANT RUSS GRAYBILL	%	
9	OFFICER DANIEL PENNINGTON	%	
10	OFFICER JOHNATHAN JORDAN	%	
11	OFFICER ERIC OLIVE	%	
12	OFFICER CHRISTIAN ARRUE	%	
13	TOTAL	100%	
14			
14 15	QUESTION NO. 13: If you answered "yes"	to Question No. 1 or	7, answer the
15	QUESTION NO. 13: If you answered "yes" following question. If you answered "No" as		
15		to all Defendants to bo	th Questions I
15 16	following question. If you answered "No" as	to all Defendants to both	th Questions I
15 16 17	following question. If you answered "No" as and 7, please proceed to Question No. 14.	to all Defendants to both	th Questions I
15 16 17 18	following question. If you answered "No" as and 7, please proceed to Question No. 14. Hof the evidence that any of the following De oppression?	to all Defendants to both las Plaintiff proved by perfendants acted with many	th Questions In preponderance alice, fraud on
15 16 17 18 19	following question. If you answered "No" as and 7, please proceed to Question No. 14. Hof the evidence that any of the following De oppression?	to all Defendants to both las Plaintiff proved by perfendants acted with many the name of each Defendants acted before the name of each Defendants.	th Questions In preponderance alice, fraud on
15 16 17 18 19 20	following question. If you answered "No" as and 7, please proceed to Question No. 14. Hof the evidence that any of the following De oppression? Answer (check "Yes" or "No") following	to all Defendants to both las Plaintiff proved by perfendants acted with many the name of each Defendants.	th Questions In preponderance alice, fraud or endant:
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	following question. If you answered "No" as and 7, please proceed to Question No. 14. Hof the evidence that any of the following De oppression? Answer (check "Yes" or "No") following SERGEANT RUSS GRAYBILL	to all Defendants to both Ias Plaintiff proved by perfendants acted with many gethe name of each Defendants YES YES	th Questions In preponderance alice, fraud or endant: NO
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	following question. If you answered "No" as and 7, please proceed to Question No. 14. Hof the evidence that any of the following De oppression? Answer (check "Yes" or "No") following SERGEANT RUSS GRAYBILL OFFICER DANIEL PENNINGTON	to all Defendants to both las Plaintiff proved by perfendants acted with many states and the name of each Defendants acted with many states and the name of each Defendants acted with many states and the name of each Defendants acted with many states and the name of each Defendants acted with many states and the name of each Defendants acted with many states acted w	th Questions In preponderance alice, fraud on and ant: NONO
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	following question. If you answered "No" as and 7, please proceed to Question No. 14. Hof the evidence that any of the following De oppression? Answer (check "Yes" or "No") following SERGEANT RUSS GRAYBILL OFFICER DANIEL PENNINGTON OFFICER JOHNATHAN JORDAN	to all Defendants to both las Plaintiff proved by perfendants acted with many of the name of each Defendants. YES YES YES YES	th Questions In preponderance alice, fraud or endant: NONONO
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	following question. If you answered "No" as and 7, please proceed to Question No. 14. Hof the evidence that any of the following Deoppression? Answer (check "Yes" or "No") following SERGEANT RUSS GRAYBILL OFFICER DANIEL PENNINGTON OFFICER JOHNATHAN JORDAN OFFICER ERIC OLIVE	to all Defendants to both las Plaintiff proved by perfendants acted with many of the name of each Defendants. YES YES YES YES	th Questions In preponderance alice, fraud on the endant: NO NO NO NO
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	following question. If you answered "No" as and 7, please proceed to Question No. 14. Hof the evidence that any of the following Deoppression? Answer (check "Yes" or "No") following SERGEANT RUSS GRAYBILL OFFICER DANIEL PENNINGTON OFFICER JOHNATHAN JORDAN OFFICER ERIC OLIVE	to all Defendants to both las Plaintiff proved by perfendants acted with many of the name of each Defendants. YES YES YES YES	th Questions In preponderance alice, fraud on the endant: NO NO NO NO
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	following question. If you answered "No" as and 7, please proceed to Question No. 14. Hof the evidence that any of the following Deoppression? Answer (check "Yes" or "No") following SERGEANT RUSS GRAYBILL OFFICER DANIEL PENNINGTON OFFICER JOHNATHAN JORDAN OFFICER ERIC OLIVE	to all Defendants to both las Plaintiff proved by perfendants acted with many of the name of each Defendants. YES YES YES YES	th Questions In preponderance alice, fraud on the endant: NO NO NO NO

1	QUESTION NO. 14: If you answered "yes"	to Question No. 3	3 or 9, answer the
2	following question. Has Plaintiff proved by clear and convincing evidence that any		
3	of the following Defendants acted with malice,	fraud or oppression	n?
4	Answer (check "Yes" or "No") followin	g the name of each	Defendant:
5	SERGEANT RUSS GRAYBILL	YES	NO
6	OFFICER DANIEL PENNINGTON	YES	NO
7	OFFICER JOHNATHAN JORDAN	YES	NO
8	OFFICER ERIC OLIVE	YES	NO
9	OFFICER CHRISTIAN ARRUE	YES	NO
10	Please date and sign below, and return this for	m to the Court. Th	ank you.
11			
12			
13	DATED: _10/24/16	<u>/s/</u>	
14	F	OREPERSON OF	THE JURY
15			
16	Based on the jury's finding for the De	fendants CITY OF	LOS ANGELES,
17	DANIEL PENNINGTON, RUSSELL GRA	YBILL, JOHNATI	HAN JORDDAN,
18	ERIC OLIVE and CHRISTIAN ARRUE in p	hase I, the liability	and compensatory
19			Monell are null and
20	void and hereby dismissed with prejudice.		
21	By reason of dismissals, the rulings o	f the Court, and the	ne special verdict,
22	Defendants CITY OF LOS ANGELES, D	ANIEL PENNING	STON, RUSSELL
23	GRAYBILL, JOHNATHAN JORDDAN, ER	IC OLIVE and CHI	RISTIAN ARRUE
24	are entitled to judgment against Plaintiff SAM	UEL CALHOUN A	RRINGTON.
25	Now, therefore, it is ORDERED , A	DJUDGED AND	DECREED that
26	Plaintiff SAMUEL CALHOUN ARRINGTON	I, have and recover	nothing by reason
27	of each and all his claims as set forth in the Co	omplaint against De	fendants CITY OF
28	LOS ANGELES, DANIEL PENNING	GTON, RUSSEL	L GRAYBILL,

1	JOHNATHAN JORDAN, ERIC OLIVE and CHRISTIAN ARRUE and that
2	Defendants shall recover their costs in accordance with Local Rule 54.
3	
4	JUDGMENT IS HEREBY ENTERED IN FAVOR OF ALL DEFENDANTS ON
5	ALL CLAIMS.
6	
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.
8	4 10 11
9	DATED: October 26, 2016
10	GEORGE H. WU, U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	