

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

Fernando Gonzalez

CASE NUMBER

PLAINTIFF(S)

CV 12-5977 ABC (JEMx)

v.

Wells Fargo Bank NA, et al.

DEFENDANT(S).

**RESPONSE BY THE COURT TO NOTICE TO
FILER OF DEFICIENCIES IN
ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOCUMENTS**

In accordance with the Notice to Filer Of Deficiencies In Electronically Filed Documents

REGARDING:

9/11/12 & 9/12/12

4 & 8

Mot to dismiss/ Amended ntc of hearing on motion

Date Filed

Doc. No.

Title of Doc.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- The document is accepted as filed
- The document is stricken and counsel is ordered to file an amended or corrected document by _____.
- The hearing date has been rescheduled to _____ at _____
- Other

Document #4 indicates motion to be heard at 1:30 p.m., which is incorrect; Document #8 was e-filed to correct the time, to reflect 10:00 a.m., which would have to be approved by the Court, based on the initial document #4 hearing noticed incorrectly. The Court accepts the e-filings and sets the initial motion document #4 for 10:00 a.m. instead of 1:30 p.m., the Judge's calendar will reflect the correct time, counsel to appear on October 22, 2012 @ 10:00 a.m.

Clerk, U.S. District Court

Dated: 9/18/12

By: A. Bridges, Court Clerk to ABC
Deputy Clerk

cc: Assigned District Judge and/or Magistrate Judge