

O

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

United States District Court
Central District of California

MARIA F. RUVALCABA, S.I.D.L.;
D.A.D.L.; ESTATE OF MARIA DEL
CARMEN RUVALCABA,
Plaintiffs,

v.

CITY OF LOS ANGELES; CHIEF
CHARLIE BECK; ANTHONY
BONNER; EUNICE SOTO; FERNANDO
ORTEGA; BRAXTON SHAW; DOES 5–
10, inclusive,
Defendants.

Case № 2:12-cv-06683-ODW(MANx)

**ORDER CLARIFYING PRETRIAL
AND TRIAL DATES, DEADLINES,
AND REQUIRED PRETRIAL
DOCUMENTS**

In advance of the combined pretrial conference and motion-in-limine hearing set for this action on Monday, August 25, 2014, the Court seeks to clarify the pretrial schedule and required filings. Despite ordering that all briefing schedules shall remain unchanged, the Court has not received any new motions in limine or oppositions. It therefore appears to the Court that the only active dispute concerns Plaintiffs' motion in limine #1 to exclude specific items of evidence. (ECF No. 41.) Defendants have already opposed this Motion. (ECF No. 51.) The Court will

1 therefore focus its attention to that Motion at the pretrial conference/motion-in-limine
2 hearing.


3 The Court also clarifies the pretrial documents that the parties must file and the
4 dates by which the parties must submit them and the final pretrial schedule:

- 5 • **Monday, August 18, 2014:** Proposed final pretrial conference order (also to be
6 emailed in Word format), trial briefs, memorandum of contentions of fact and
7 law, joint witness list, joint exhibit list, proposed jury instructions, proposed
8 special verdict forms (if any), status report regarding settlement; proposed voir
9 dire questions, and agreed-to statement of the case.
- 10 • **Monday, August 25, 2014:** Final pretrial conference and motion-in-limine
11 hearing at 9:00 a.m.
- 12 • **Thursday, August 28, 2014:** Final pretrial exhibit stipulation.
- 13 • **Tuesday, September 2, 2014:** Jury trial beginning at 9:00 a.m.

14 Even if the parties have previously filed or lodged any of these documents, they
15 shall refile or relodge them as individual docket entries, make any necessary updates
16 to reflect the current status of the case, and submit courtesy copies. These deadlines
17 shall supersede any deadlines to the extent that they conflict with preexisting ones set
18 by the Court or by stipulation.

19 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

20
21 August 13, 2014

22
23 
24 _____
25 **OTIS D. WRIGHT, II**
26 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**
27
28