25

26

27

28

0

1 2 3 4 5 6 United States District Court 7 Central District of California 8 9 BONVIVINO CAPITAL LLC, Case № 2:12-cv-08185-ODW(FFMx) 10 Plaintiff, 11 ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE. 12 MORGAN CLENDENEN; COLD FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH 13 HEAVEN CELLARS LLC; DOES 1-50, **COURT ORDER** 14 inclusive, 15 Defendants. 16 COLD HEAVEN CELLARS LLC; 17 MORGAN CLENDENEN, 18 Counterclaimants, 19 20 V. SCOTT MANLIN; ROGER MANLIN; 21 BONVIVINO CAPITAL LLC, 22 Counterdefendants. 23 24

On July 14, 2014, the Court held a status conference in the related case of *Cold Heaven Cellars LLC v. Manlin*, No. 2:14-cv-01050-ODW(FFMx) (C.D. Cal. case filed Feb. 11, 2014). The Court found that the claims brought by Cold Heaven Cellars LLC and Morgan Clendenen in that action were really compulsory counterclaims that they should have brought in this action, which is the original case between the parties.

5 6

8

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

The Court therefore granted Cold Heaven and Clendenen leave to amend their answer in this action to add those compulsory counterclaims.

On August 8, 2014, Cold Heaven and Clendenen filed an Amended Answer in this action. (ECF No. 118.) As instructed, they also filed their claims from the later action as counterclaims. But in addition to bringing counterclaims against Bonvivino Capital LLC and Roger Manlin—the defendants in the second-filed action—Cold Heaven and Clendenen also filed a counterclaim against Roger Manlin.

Cold Heaven and Clendenen had never named Roger Manlin as a defendant in the later action, and thus the Court did not grant them leave to amend their answer in this case to bring counterclaims against him. The whole point of requiring Cold Heaven and Clendenen to bring the claims in the second-filed action as compulsory counterclaims in this case was to streamline the litigation between the parties instead of engaging in a piecemeal approach. Adding new counterdefendants at this point especially without Court approval—throws a wrench into the judicial machinery. This is especially apparent in light of the September 2, 2014 Ex Parte Application for Protective Order filed by Bonvivino and Scott and Roger Manlin. (ECF No. 122.)

The Court therefore **ORDERS** Cold Heaven and Clendenen to **SHOW CAUSE** in writing by Friday, September 5, 2014, why they filed counterclaims against Roger Manlin without Court approval. No hearing will be held; Counterclaimants shall respond in writing. The Court will discharge this Order upon the filing of a notice of voluntary dismissal without prejudice as to the Roger Manlin counterclaims. Failure to timely respond will result in dismissal of the counterclaims and such other sanctions as the Court deems just and proper.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

September 3, 2014

OTIS D. WRIGHT, II UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE