Paul Olds v. 3M	Company	D
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	*********	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	CENTRAI	L DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	PAUL OLDS	CASE NO. 2:12-cv-08539-R-MWR
12	Plaintiff,	JUDGMENT
13	v.	
14	3M COMPANY, et al.	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17		
18		
19	JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO EATON AEROQUIP LLC, SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO EATON AEROQUIP INC. F/K/A AEROQUIP	
20	CORPORATION'S	MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
21	The motion of defendant EATON AEROQUIP LLC, successor by merger to	
22	EATON AEROQUIP INC. f/k/a AEROQUIP CORPORATION ("Aeroquip") for	
23	summary judgment came on regularly for hearing before this Court on January 27,	
24	2014. After consideration of the admissible evidence, the separate statements, and the	
25 26	authorities of the parties, the Court hereby finds that there is no genuine issue as to	
26 27	any material fact, and defendant Aeroquip is entitled to judgment as a matter of law	
28	with respect to all causes of action as follows:	

Doc. 453

- 1) There is no genuine issue as to any material fact and defendant Aeroquip is entitled to judgment as a matter of law with respect to plaintiff's First Cause of Action for Negligence.
- 2) There is no genuine issue as to any material fact and defendant Aeroquip is entitled to judgment as a matter of law with respect to plaintiff's Second Cause of Action for Strict Products Liability.
- 3) There is no genuine issue as to any material fact and defendant Aeroquip is entitled to judgment as a matter of law with respect to plaintiff's Third Cause of Action for Breach of Warranty.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff take nothing from defendant Aeroquip with respect to plaintiff's claims for relief asserted in his complaint, and judgment is entered in favor of defendant Aeroquip. Plaintiff's Complaint is dismissed with prejudice on the merits as to Aeroquip.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DATED: February 18, 2014

HON. MANUEL REAL