1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 TERRY WILLIAMS-ILUNGA, Case No. CV 12-08592 DDP (AJWx) Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR 12 RECONSIDERATION 13 v. [Dkt. No. 57] ANDREA GONZALEZ; ANA TROVB-WISNEV; PRODUCER-WRITERS 15 GUILD OF AMERICA PENSION PLAN; TRUSTEES OF THE 16 PRODUCER-WRITERS GUILD OF AMERICA; WRITERS GUILD OF 17 AMERICA WEST; WRITERS GUILD OF AMERICA EAST, 18 Defendants. 19 20 Presently before the court is Plaintiff Terry Williams-21 Ilunga's Motion for Clarification or, in the Alternative, 22 Reconsideration of Order Granting Defendants' Motions to Dismiss, Etc. Having considered the parties' submissions, the court DENIES 23 the Motion. 2.4 25 A motion for reconsideration is properly granted on a showing 26 that (1) newly discovered evidence demands a contrary result; (2) 27 the court committed clear error or its decision was manifestly 28 unjust; or (3) there has been an intervening change in controlling law. <u>Dixon v. Wallowa County</u>, 336 F.3d 1013, 1022 (9th Cir. 2003).

In addition, Local Rule 7-18 provides that:

A motion for reconsideration of the decision on any motion may be made only on the grounds of (a) a material difference in fact or law from that presented to the Court before such decision that in the exercise of reasonable diligence could not have been known to the party moving for reconsideration at the time of such decision, or (b) the emergence of new material facts or a change of law occurring after the time of such decision, or (c) a manifest showing of a failure to consider material facts presented to the Court before such decision. No motion for reconsideration shall in any manner repeat any oral or written argument made in support of or in opposition to the original motion.

C.D. Cal. L.R. 7-18.

Plaintiff has not presented any manifest error of fact or law 12 or previously unavailable facts or law that justify reconsideration of the dismissal of her First Amended Complaint ("FAC"). Plaintiff's papers present additional and expanded arguments

indicating her disagreement with the court's dismissal of the FAC, but this on its own does not justify reconsideration. Accordingly,

the Motion for Reconsideration is DENIED.

19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21

Dated: August 6, 2013

DEAN D. PREGERSON United States District Judge

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

20

22

23

24

26

27

28