

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

12  
13 SHARRIEFF BROWN,  
14                   Petitioner,  
15                   v.  
16 CALIFORNIA DEP'T OF CORR. &  
17 REHAB.,  
18                   Respondent.

Case No. CV 12-9126 DMG (MRW)

ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS  
AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE  
JUDGE


19  
20  
21           Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court reviewed the petition, the records  
22 on file, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate  
23 Judge. Further, the Court engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the  
24 Report to which the parties objected.

25           The Court accepts the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate  
26 Judge. See, e.g., Sexton v. Beaudreaux, \_\_\_ U.S. \_\_\_, 2018 WL 3148261 at \* 4  
27 (June 28, 2018) (*per curiam* remand to Ninth Circuit due to “fundamental error”  
28

1 for failing to consider “the arguments or theories [that] could have supported the  
2 state court’s summary decision”).

3 Therefore, IT IS ORDERED that Judgment be entered denying the  
4 petition and dismissing this action with prejudice.

5  
6 DATED: October 3, 2018

  
7 \_\_\_\_\_  
8 DOLLY M. GEE  
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28