```
ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR.
   United States Attorney
   ROBERT E. DUGDALE
   Assistant United States Attorney
   Chief, Criminal Division
   STEVEN R. WELK
   Assistant United States Attorney
   Chief, Asset Forfeiture Section
   VICTOR A. RODGERS
   California Bar No. 101281
   Assistant United States Attorney
   Asset Forfeiture Section
7
        Federal Courthouse, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor
        312 North Spring Street
8
        Los Angeles, California 90012
        Telephone: (213) 894-2569
9
        Facsimile: (213) 894-7177
        E-mail: Victor.Rodgers@usdoj.gov
10
   Attorneys for Plaintiff
                                                             JS-6
11
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
12
                        UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13
                   FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14
                              WESTERN DIVISION
15
                                     No. CV 12-09858-FMO(AJWx)
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
16
             Plaintiff,
17
                                     CONSENT JUDGMENT OF FORFEITURE
                  v.
18
   $93,200.00 IN U.S.
19
   CURRENCY,
20
            Defendant.
21
   LUIS MARTIN BUENROSTRO,
22
            Claimant.
23
24
25
        On or about November 6, 2012, Plaintiff United States of
26
   America ("the government," "the United States of America" or
27
   "plaintiff") filed a Complaint for Forfeiture alleging that the
28
   defendant $93,200.00 in U.S. Currency (the "defendant currency") is
```

subject to forfeiture pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6).

Claimant Luis Martin Buenrostro ("claimant") filed a claim to the defendant currency on or about February 22, 2013 and an answer to the Complaint on or about March 11, 2013. No other parties have appeared in this case and the time for filing claims and answers has expired.

The government and claimant have now agreed to settle this action and to avoid further litigation by entering into this Consent Judgment of Forfeiture.

The Court, having been duly advised of and having considered the matter, and based upon the mutual consent of the parties hereto,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and the parties to this Consent Judgment of Forfeiture.
- 2. The Complaint for Forfeiture states a claim for relief pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 21 U.S.C. § 881(a)(6).
- 3. Notice of this action has been given as required by law. No appearances have been made in the litigation by any person other than claimant. The Court deems that all other potential claimants admit the allegations of the Complaint for Forfeiture to be true.
- 4. The sum of \$28,000.00 only (without interest) shall be returned to claimant. The remainder of the defendant currency (i.e., \$65,200.00), plus the interest earned by the United States of America on the defendant currency shall be condemned and forfeited to the United States of America, which shall dispose of those funds in accordance with law.

5. The funds to be returned to claimant pursuant to paragraph 4 above shall be paid to claimant by electronic transfer directly into the client trust account of Paul Gabbert, Esq., attorney of record for claimant in this case. Claimant (through his attorney Paul Gabbert) shall provide all information and complete all documents requested by the United States of America in order for the United States of America to process the transfer including, without limitation, providing claimant's attorney of record's taxpayer identification number, and the identity of the bank, the bank's address and the account name, account number, account type and wire transfer routing number for the Paul Gabbert client trust account to which the transfer of funds is to be made.

- 6. Claimant hereby releases the United States of America, its agencies, agents, officers, employees and representatives, including, without limitation, all agents, officers, employees and representatives of the Drug Enforcement Administration and the Department of Justice and their respective agencies, as well as all agents, officers, employees and representatives of any state or local governmental or law enforcement agency involved in the investigation or prosecution of this matter, from any and all claims, actions, or liabilities arising out of or related to this action, including, without limitation, any claim for attorney fees, costs, and interest, which may be asserted by or on behalf of claimant, whether pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2465 or otherwise.
- 7. The Court finds that there was reasonable cause for the seizure of the defendant currency and institution of these proceedings. This judgment shall be construed as a certificate of reasonable cause pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2465.

8. The Court further finds that claimant did not substantially prevail in this action, and the parties hereto shall bear their own attorney fees and costs. DATED: May 8, 2013 /s/_ THE HONORABLE FERNANDO M. OLGUIN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1	CON	<u>SENT</u>
2	The parties hereto consent to	o the above judgment and waive any
3	right of appeal.	
4		
5	DATED: May 2, 2013	ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR. United States Attorney
6		ROBERT E. DUGDALE Assistant United States Attorney
7		Chief, Criminal Division STEVEN R. WELK
8		Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Asset Forfeiture Section
9		
10 11		/s/ Victor A. Rodgers VICTOR A. RODGERS
12		Assistant United States Attorney
13		Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
14	DATED: May 2, 2013	PAUL L. GABBERT
15		
16		/s/ Paul L. Gabbert
17		Attorneys for Claimant LUIS MARTIN BUENROSTRO
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
2425		
2526		
27		
28		
,		