

1 PATRICIA L. GLASER - State Bar No. 55668
 2 pglaser@glaserweil.com
 3 FRED D. HEATHER - State Bar No. 110650
 4 fheather@glaserweil.com
 5 GLASER WEIL FINK
 6 HOWARD AVCHEN & SHAPIRO LLP
 7 10250 Constellation Boulevard, 19th Floor
 8 Los Angeles, California 90067
 9 Telephone: (310) 553-3000
 10 Facsimile: (310) 556-2920

11 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 12 LegalZoom.com, Inc.

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 14 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 15 WESTERN DIVISION

16 LEGALZOOM.COM, INC., a Delaware
 17 corporation,

18 Plaintiff,

19 v.

20 ROCKET LAWYER INCORPORATED,
 21 a Delaware corporation,

22 Defendants.

CASE NO.: CV 12-9942-GAF (AGR_x)

**PLAINTIFF LEGALZOOM.COM,
 INC.'S APPLICATION FOR AN
 ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO
 FILE DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL**

Date: August 18, 2014
 Time: 9:30 a.m.
 Judge: Hon. Gary A. Feess
 Courtroom: 740
 Action Filed: November 20, 2012

Glaser Weil Fink Jacobs
 Howard Avchen & Shapiro LLP

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORDS HEREIN:
NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that in accordance with Local Rule 79-5.1, of
the Central District of California, Plaintiff LegalZoom.com, Inc. (“LegalZoom”)
hereby applies for an order permitting them to file under seal the following:

- 1) Reply Memorandum of Points and Authorities (Unredacted) in Support of
Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Brought by Plaintiff LegalZoom.com, Inc.;
- and
- 2) Evidentiary Objections (Unredacted) to Rocket Lawyer’s Opposition to
LegalZoom’s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment.

This application is made pursuant to Local Rule 79-5 et seq. and the Stipulated
Protective Order, entered on September 24, 2013 (ECF No. 39).

REASONS SUPPORTING RELIEF REQUESTED

Good cause exists to seal the Reply Memorandum of Points and Authorities
and Evidentiary Objections. These documents are subject to the Court’s protective
order and the sealing of these documents is necessary in order to protect information
that one of the parties has designated as “confidential” or “attorneys’ eyes only,”
including without limitation, confidential business information and other sensitive
business data. See Protective Order, ECF No. 39, at 5-7.

///
///
///
///
///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28