

GlaserWeil

1 PATRICIA L. GLASER - State Bar No. 55668
 pglaser@glaserweil.com
 2 FRED D. HEATHER - State Bar No. 110650
 fheather@glaserweil.com
 3 AARON P. ALLAN - State Bar No. 144406
 aallan@glaserweil.com
 4 GLASER WEIL FINK HOWARD
 AVCHEN & SHAPIRO LLP
 5 10250 Constellation Boulevard, 19th Floor
 Los Angeles, California 90067
 6 Telephone: (310) 553-3000
 Facsimile: (310) 556-2920
 7
 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 LegalZoom.com, Inc.

9
 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 11 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 12 WESTERN DIVISION

13 LEGALZOOM.COM, INC., a Delaware
 corporation,
 14
 Plaintiff,
 15
 v.
 16 ROCKET LAWYER INCORPORATED,
 a Delaware corporation,
 17
 Defendant.
 18

CASE NO.: CV 12-9942-GAF (AGR_x)
 Hon. Gary A. Feess
 Courtroom: 740
**LEGALZOOM.COM, INC'S
 NOTICE OF SUBSEQUENTLY
 DECIDED CASE PRECEDENT
 RELEVANT TO
 LEGALZOOM.COM, INC'S
 MOTION FOR PARTIAL
 SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

Date: September 22, 2014
 Time: 9:30 a.m.
 Courtroom: 740

Complaint Filed: November 20, 2012

28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on September 2, 2014, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, issued and certified for publication a decision in *Levitt v. Yelp! Inc.*, Case No. 11-17676, __ F.3d __, 2014 WL 4290615 (“*Levitt*”), a copy of which is attached to this notice as Exhibit A.

In *Levitt*, the Court considered the sufficiency of business owner plaintiffs’ claims that Yelp, an online business directory that posts user reviews, violated California’s Unfair Competition Law (“UCL”) by manipulating user reviews. The *Levitt* Court opined that plaintiffs’ allegations regarding Yelp’s acts of manipulation were insufficient to state a claim for unlawful business practices under the UCL, explaining: “[Plaintiffs] have no claim that it is independently wrongful for Yelp to post and arrange actual user reviews on its website as it sees fit” and “it is not unlawful for Yelp to post and sequence the reviews.” Exhibit A at 9.

Plaintiff LegalZoom.com, Inc. (“LegalZoom”) submits that *Levitt* is relevant to the issues briefed by the parties on LegalZoom’s pending motion for partial summary judgment and is worthy of this Court’s consideration.

DATED: September 18, 2014

Respectfully submitted,
GLASER WEIL FINK
HOWARD AVCHEN & SHAPIRO LLP

By: /s/ Fred Heather
PATRICIA L. GLASER
FRED D. HEATHER
AARON P. ALLAN
Attorneys for Plaintiff
LegalZoom.com, Inc.