1	Plaintiff and counterclaim def	endant, LegalZoom.com, Inc., and defendant
2	and counterclaimant, Rocket Lawyer	r Incorporated, hereby submit the joint exhibit
3	list with each parties' respective obje	ections to proposed exhibits, attached hereto as
4	Exhibit A. Exhibits highlighted in y	ellow are exhibits offered by both parties.
5		D (C.11 1 24 1
6		Respectfully submitted,
7	DATED: October 28, 2014	GOODWIN PROCTER LLP
8		By: /s/ Michael T. Jones FORREST A. HAINLINE III
9		MICHAEL T. JONES HONG-AN VU
10		BRIAN COOK
11		Attorneys for Defendant and Counter-Claimant ROCKET LAWYER INCORPORATED
12	DATED: October 28, 2014	GLASER WEIL FINK HOWARD AVCHEN
13		& SHAPIRO LLP
14		By: <u>/s/ Fred D. Heather</u> PATRICIA GLASER
15		FRED HEATHER AARON ALLAN
16		Attorneys for Plaintiff LEGALZOOM.COM, INC.
17		
18		
19 20		
20 21		
21 22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
j.	1	

EXHIBIT A

No. of Exhibit	Offering Party/Parties	Beg. Bates	End Bates	Date	Description	Non Offering Party's Objections	Date Identified	Date Admitted
1	Defendant	GIG02399	GIG02405	1/28/2009	Email from Travis Giggy to tgiggy@gmail.com re: FW: Research Brief: Pre-Shop Customer Reviews Important to Online Customers	Objection: E-mails Authored by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay;		
						Foundation		
2	Defendant	NA	NA	7/14/2014	Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Motion for Partial Summary	Objection: Relevance;		
					Judgment Brought by Plaintiff LegalZoom.com, Inc.	Compound; Foundation		
3	Defendant	LZ001546	LZ001548	10/6/2011	Email from Scott MacDonell to Brian Liu, cc. Carrie Yutzy re: Yelp! I need somebody *****HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL*****	No Objection		
4	Defendant	LZ001345	LZ001346	4/4/2012	Email from Scott MacDonell to Travis Giggy re: disclaimer	Objection: E-mails Authored		
						by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay;		
						Foundation		
5	Defendant	LZ000927	LZ000929	7/26/2011	Email from Scott Macdonell to Travis Giggy, cc. Brandon Molina re:	Objection: E-mails Authored		
					Legalspring reviews	by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay;		
						Foundation		
6	Defendant	LZ001087	LZ001090	11/30/2011	Email from Brandon Molina to Travis Giggy re: Fw: Google Policy	No Objection		
					Complaints (update)			
7	Defendant	LZ000958	LZ000958	10/7/2011	Email from Scott MacDonell to Travis Giggy re: legalspring reviews.xlsx			
						by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay;		
						Foundation		
8	Defendant	LZ001733	LZ001733	2/14/2012	Email from Scott MacDonell to Dorian Quispe re: FW: Review has been	Objection: E-mails Authored		
					entered on LegalSpring.com	by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay;		
						Foundation		
9	Defendant	LZ001791	LZ001792	2/15/2012	Email from Scott MacDonell to Brian Liu and Frank Monestere, cc.	No Objection		
					Jennifer Loo and John Suh, bcc. Jsuhbiz@gmail.com re: Not glowing			
					reviews on LegalSpring			
10	Defendant	LZ001238	LZ001240	2/22/2012	Email from Scott MacDonell to Travis Giggy, Carrie Yutzy, Peter	Objection: E-mails Authored		
					Prucnel, cc. Dorian Quispe re: Review has been entered on	by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay;		
					LegalSpring.com	Foundation		
11	Defendant	LZ007351	LZ007354	12/6/2011	Email from Brian Liu to Brandon Molina, John Suh, Eddie Hartman, and	Objection: Relevance		
					Scott MacDonell, cc. Dorian Quispe, Serge Topjian, Sarah Ryan, and			
					Travis Giggy, bcc. Jsuhbiz@gmail.com re: LWL Live			
12	Defendant	GIG02188	GIG02189	7/17/2012	Email from LegalZoom to Travis Giggy re: Start a Business from	Objection: Relevance		
					Anywhere			
13	Defendant	NA	NA	9/22/2013	Google search results for "legalzoom 99 incorporation"	Objection: Hearsay;		
	<u> </u>					Foundation; Relevance		
14	Defendant	LZ001688	LZ001690	12/1/2011	Email from Patty Chikamagalur to Michael Kim re: FW: Social Media -	Objection: Hearsay;		
	<u> </u>				November Monthly Summary	Foundation; Relevance		
15	Defendant	LZ001296	LZ001299	3/7/2012	Email from Patty Chikamagalur to Travis Giggy, cc. Carrie Yutzy, Peter	Objection: E-mails Authored		
					Prucnel, and Jeremy Vernassal re: Review has been entered on	by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay;		
					LegalSpring.com	Foundation; Relevance		
16	Defendant	LZ007655	LZ006756	10/9/2008	Email from Jeremy Hermanns to Michael Turner, Brian Liu, Brian Lee,	Objection: Hearsay;		
	1				Eddie Hartman, Frank Monestere, John Suh, cc: Scott MacDonell re:	Foundation; Relevance		
	1				RocketLawyer.com - Free living and Last Wills			
17	Defendant	NA	NA	9/29/2014	LegalZoom.com, Inc.'s Second Amended Response to Defendant Rocket	Objection: Relevance;		
					Lawyer Incorporated's Notice of F.R.C.P. 30(b)(6) Deposition	Foundation		
18	Defendant	LZ001622	LZ001634	11/16/2011	Email from Legalzoom.com, Inc. to Fred Krupica re: Completed:	Objection: Relevance		
10	Detellualit	LE001022	LZ001034	11/10/2011	Contract Approval Sheet & Affiliate Agreement - LegalSpring	Objection. Relevance		

963958.1 1 of 25

No. of Exhibit	Offering Party/Parties	Beg. Bates	End Bates	Date	Description	Non Offering Party's Objections	Date Identified	Date Admitted
19	Defendant	LZ007437	LZ007438	5/9/2006	Email from Brian Liu to Travis Giggy re: legalspring	Objection: E-mails Authored by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay; Foundation		
20	Defendant	LZ007432	LZ007436		Email from Brian Liu to Brian Lee, John Suh, and Eddie Hartman, re: LegalSpring	Objection: E-mails Authored by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay; Foundation		
21	Defendant	LZ000976	LZ000978	10/11/2011	Email from Travis Giggy to Brandon Molina re: LegalSpring reviews	Objection: E-mails Authored by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay; Foundation		
22	Defendant	LZ001984	LZ001985	3/20/2012	Email from Serge Topjian to Dorian Quispe, cc: Brian Liu re: LegalSpring	Objection: Relevance		
23	Defendant	LZ002228	LZ002234	10/27/2011	Email from Matt Scanlan to Dorian Quispe, cc: Matt Taylor and Serge Topjian re: Spanish Account Shell	Objection: Hearsay; Foundation; Relevance		
24	Defendant	LZ001676	LZ001677	12/1/2011	Email from Dorian Quispe to Matt Withers re: FW: Legal Spring Matrix wireframe via myBals	Objection: E-mails Authored by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay; Foundation		
25	Defendant	LZ001684	LZ001685	12/1/2011	Email from Dorian Quispe to Matt Withers re: Legal Spring Matrix wireframe	Objection: E-mails Authored by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay; Foundation		
26	Defendant	LZ007420	LZ007424	2/24/2012	Email from Dorian Quispe to Carter Gaffney, John Suh, and Brian Liu, cc. Scott MacDonell, Bo Kjaer, and Serge Topjian, bcc. Jsuhbiz@gmail.com re: LZ & RL: Site Also Visited Stats	No Objection		
27	Defendant	LZ007447	LZ007447	4/5/2006	Email from Brian Liu to Travis Giggy re: More bad reviews on LS.com	Objection: E-mails Authored by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay; Foundation		
28	Defendant	LZ000753	LZ000755	5/26/2010	Email from Brian Liu to Travis Giggy re: LegalSpring	Objection: E-mails Authored by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay; Foundation		
29	Defendant	LZ002656_0002	LZ002656 0002	NA	LegalZoom.com, Inc.'s Financial Performance by Quarter	Objection: Relevance		
30	Defendant	LZ002656_0001	LZ002656_0001	NA	LegalZoom.com, Inc.'s Revenue for Advertising Incorporation Services Broken Down Quarterly	Objection: Relevance		
31	Defendant	NA	NA	3/11/2013	Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's First Set of Requests for Production	Objection: Relevance; Foundation		
32	Defendant	NA	NA	8/22/2013	Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's Second Set of Requests for Production	Objection: Relevance; Foundation		
33	Defendant	NA	NA	7/1/2014	Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's Third Set of Requests for Production	Objection: Relevance; Foundation		
34	Defendant	RLI0063423	RLI0063425	6/23/2004	RLI Giggy Deposition Exhibit 1 - Legalspring.com - How the Legal Industry is Being Transformed by the Internet	Objection: Hearsay; Foundation		
35	Defendant	RLI0063525	RLI0063526	1/28/2009 2/5/2009 2/13/09	RLI Giggy Deposition Exhibit 4 - LegalSpring reviews. The 1/28/2009 review was attached as Exhibit 9 to the July 28, 2014 Declaration of Hong-An Vu in Opposition to LegalZoom.com, Inc.'s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment	Objection: Hearsay; Foundation		
36	Defendant	LZ000961	LZ000962	10/10/2011	RLI Giggy Deposition Exhibit 7 - Email from Travis Giggy to Scott Macdonell re: legalspring reviews.xlsx	Objection: E-mails Authored By Travis Giggy Are Hearsay; Foundation		

963958.1 2 of 25

No. of Exhibit	Offering Party/Parties	Beg. Bates	End Bates	Date	Description	Non Offering Party's Objections	Date Identified	Date Admitted
37	Defendant	LZ000915	LZ000918	5/26/2011	RLI Giggy Deposition Exhibit 10 - Email from Matt Withers to Travis Giggy and Eddie Hartman re: Rocket Lawyer	Objection: E-mails Authored By Travis Giggy Are Hearsay; Foundation		
38	Defendant	LZ001538	LZ001539	5/25/2011	RLI Giggy Deposition Exhibit 11 - Email from Matt Withers to Travis Giggy re: Rocket Lawyer	Objection: E-mails Authored by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay; Foundation		
39	Defendant	GIG02364	GIG02365	1/6/2009	RLI Giggy Deposition Exhibit 14 - Email from Sandra Tanzberger to Travis Giggy re: LegalZoom Review Verification	Objection: E-mails Authored By Travis Giggy Are Hearsay; Foundation		
40	Defendant	GIG02196	GIG02196	7/25/2012	RLI Giggy Deposition Exhibit 15 - Email from Travis Giggy to Dorian Quispe re: legalspring	Objection: E-mails Authored by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay; Foundation		
41	Defendant	GIG02291	GIG02292	12/4/2012	RLI Giggy Deposition Exhibit 16 - Email from Travis Giggy to Doug Ansell re: partner	Objection: E-mails Authored by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay; Foundation		
42	Defendant	LZ007777	LZ007777	6/19/2008	Email from Travis Giggy to Eddie Hartman re: Morning	Objection: E-mails Authored by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay; Foundation		
43	Defendant	LZ007832	LZ007833	2/18/2009	Email from Scott MacDonell to John Suh, cc. Michael Turner, Brian Liu, Frank Monestere, Fred Krupica, and Tracy Terrill re: Updated Aided & Unaided Awareness	Objection: Relevance		
44	Defendant	LZ002964	LZ002964	4/9/2010	Email from Eddie Hartman to Carter Gaffney re: Home Page Test	Objection: Relevance		
45	Defendant	LZ003595	LZ003596	6/9/2010	Email from Eddie Hartman to Brian Liu, cc. James Peters re: Forms subscription	Objection: Relevance		
46	Defendant	LZ003669	LZ003672	8/3/2010	Announcement DRAFT UPDATE	Objection: Relevance		
47	Defendant	LZ001485	LZ001487	8/27/2010	Email from Derek Chew to Chris Cannon and Jeremy Hermanns re: customer review sites	No Objection		
48	Defendant	LZ003551	LZ003553	NA	Redacted email with excerpt of a customer's complaint			
49	Defendant	LZ007505	LZ007508	NA	Document providing examples of competitors who are successfully bidding on "free" KWs	Objection: Hearsay; Foundation; Relevance		
50	Defendant	LZ007539	LZ007542	7/23/2011	Email from Travis Giggy to Dorian Quispe re: Free Negative Term	Objection: E-mails Authored by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay; Foundation; Relevance		
51	Defendant	LZ003157	LZ003159	7/26/2011	Email from Patty Chikamagalur to Victoria Coe, cc. Wagner Barrios, Monica Fletcher, Richard Appert, Yoon Choi, Aaron Hong, Peter Prucnel, and Brian Alejandro re: BBB Complaint - Express Gold Incorporation - UT (500598061)	Objection: Hearsay; Foundation; Relevance		
52	Defendant	LZ007545	LZ007546	NA	up, and Unlimited Access Application web pages.	Objection: Relevance		
53	Defendant	LZ007547	LZ007549	8/10/2011	Email from Dorian Quispe to Eddie Hartman, Scott MacDonell, and Brandon Molina, cc. Serge Topjian, Daniel Alfi, and Travis Giggy re: Gmail Targeting	Objection: Relevance		
54	Defendant	LZ007405	LZ007405	8/11/2011	Email from Carter Gaffney to Eddie Hartman re: RL raises \$18.5 M	Objection: Relevance		
55	Defendant	LZ007550	LZ007552	8/13/2011		Objection: E-mails Authored by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay; Foundation; Relevance		

963958.1 3 of 25

No. of Exhibit	Offering Party/Parties	Beg. Bates	End Bates	Date	Description	Non Offering Party's Objections	Date Identified	Date Admitted
56	Defendant	LZ000932	LZ000932	9/1/2011	Email from Travis Giggy to Scott MacDonell and Amir Pirnia re: Legalzoom search on google	Objection: E-mails Authored by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay;		
57	Defendant	LZ000944	LZ000946	9/17/2011	Email from Scott Macdonell to Travis Giggy, cc. Brandon Molina re: Legalspring reviews	Foundation; Relevance Objection: E-mails Authored by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay;		
58	Defendant	LZ001555	LZ001556	10/11/2011	Email from Peter Prucnel to Scott MacDonell re: legalspring reviews.xlsx	Foundation Objection: E-mails Authored by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay; Foundation		
59	Defendant	LZ000969	LZ000969	10/11/2011	Empil from Brandon Malina to Travia Ciasy not lecolomina	No Objection	+	
60	Defendant	LZ000969 LZ000971	LZ000969 LZ000973		Email from Brandon Molina to Travis Giggy re: legalspring Email from Scott MacDonell to Travis Giggy re: legalspring reviews.xlsx	Objection: E-mails Authored by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay;		
61	Defendant	LZ000992	LZ000994	10/11/2011	Email from Travis Giggy to Patty Chikamagalur, Carrie Yutzy, and Peter Prucnel re: Legal Spring	Foundation No Objection		
62	Defendant	LZ001563	LZ001564	10/11/2011	Email from Scott MacDonell to Brandon Molina and Dorian Quispe re: LegalSpring reviews	No Objection		
63	Defendant	LZ001571	LZ001572	10/17/2011	Email from Brandon Molina to Justina Altiere re: project update	Objection: Relevance		
64	Defendant	LZ000999	LZ000999	10/21/2011	Email from Matt Withers to Travis Giggy re: Links	No Objection		
65	Defendant	LZ001018	LZ001020	10/27/2011	Email from Travis Giggy to Scott MacDonell and Carrie Yutzy, cc. Brandon Molina re: Review has been entered on LegalSpring.com	Objection: E-mails Authored by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay; Foundation		
66	Defendant	LZ001605	LZ001607	11/2/2011	Email from Peter Prucnel to Carrie Yutzy re: Review has been entered on Legalspring.com	Objection: E-mails Authored by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay; Foundation		
67	Defendant	LZ006662	LZ006663	11/16/2011	Email from Charley Moore to Brian Liu re: Google Ads	Objection: Hearsay; Revelance		
68	Defendant	LZ001131	LZ001134	12/15/2011	Email from Scott MacDonell to Travis Giggy, cc. Carrie Yutzy, Brandon Molina, Peter Prucnel, Patty Chikamagalur, Jeremy Vernassal re: LS review	Objection: E-mails Authored by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay; Foundation		
69	Defendant	LZ001179	LZ001179	1/30/2012	Email from Travis Giggy to Peter Prucnel, cc. Scott MacDonell and Carter Gaffney re: Fwd: I have a question about Legal Spring	Objection: E-mails Authored by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay; Foundation; Relevance		
70	Defendant	LZ001712	LZ001714	2/1/2012	Email from Dorian Quispe to Brian Liu, cc. John Suh and Serge Topjian, bcc. Jsuhbiz@gmail.com re: Rocketlawyer	Objection: Relevance; More Prejudicial Than Probative		
71	Defendant	LZ001197	LZ001197	2/1/2012	Email from Scott MacDonell to Travis Giggy and Dorian Quispe re: Review has been entered on LegalSpring.com	Objection: E-mails Authored by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay; Foundation; Relevance		
72	Defendant	LZ001199	LZ001202	2/14/2012	Email from Carrie Yutzy to Travis Giggy re: FW: can we get an official answer to the first two reviews here?	No Objection		
73	Defendant	LZ001759	LZ001762	2/14/2012	Email from Dorian Quispe to Scott MacDonell, Carrie Yutzy, and Peter Prucnel re: can we get an official answer to the first two reviews here?	No Objection		
74	Defendant	LZ001763	LZ001766	2/14/2012	Email from Carrie Yutzy to Scott MacDonell and Peter Prucnel, cc Dorian Quispe re: can we get an official answer to the first two reviews here?	No Objection		
75	Defendant	LZ001206	LZ001209	2/15/2012	Email from Travis Giggy to Carrie Yutzy re: can we get an official answer to the first two reviews here?	Objection: E-mails Authored by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay; Foundation		

963958.1 4 of 25

No. of Exhibit	Offering Party/Parties	Beg. Bates	End Bates	Date	Description	Non Offering Party's Objections	Date Identified	Date Admitted
76	Defendant	LZ001214	LZ001217	2/16/2012	Email from Travis Giggy to Carrie Yutzy re: can we get an official answer to the first two reviews here?	Objection: E-mails Authored by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay; Foundation		
77	Defendant	LZ001810	LZ001815	2/15/2012	Email from Scott MacDonell to Brian Liu, cc. Dorian Quispe re: can we get an official answer to the first two reviews here?	No Objection		
78	Defendant	LZ001858	LZ001863	2/16/2012	Email from Peter Prucnel to Carrie Yutzy and Peter Chikamagalur, cc. Scott MacDonell re: LegalSpring	No Objection		
79	Defendant	LZ001241	LZ001244	2/22/2012	Email from Travis Giggy to Scott MacDonell, Carrie Yutzy, and Peter Prucnel, cc. Dorian Quispe re: Review has been entered on LegalSpring.com	Objection: E-mails Authored by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay; Foundation		
80	Defendant	LZ001245	LZ001251	2/22/2012	Email from Travis Giggy to Carrie Yutzy re: Another LegalSpring review	Objection: E-mails Authored by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay; Foundation		
81	Defendant	LZ001880	LZ001880	2/23/2012	Email from Scott MacDonell to Brian Liu, cc. Scott MacDonell re: legalspring	No Objection		
82	Defendant	LZ007420	LZ007424	2/24/2012	Email from Dorian Quispe to Carter Gaffney, John Suh, and Brian Liu, cc. Scott MacDonell, Bo Kjaer, and Serge Topjian, bcc. Jsuhbiz@gmail.com re: LZ & RL: Site Also Visited Stats	Objection: Relevance		
83	Defendant	LZ001272	LZ001273	3/1/2012	Email from Patty Chikamagalur to Travis Giggy, cc. Carrie Yutzy, Scott MacDonell, and Jeremy Vernassal re: FW: Review has been entered on LegalSpring.com	Objection: E-mails Authored by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay; Foundation		
84	Defendant	LZ001988	LZ001993	3/22/2012	Email from Jeremy Scarbury to Brandon Molina and Michelle Fortich, cc. Shilpa Joshi, Ed Baldoz, Bo Kjaer, Keith Eich, Justina Altiere, and Carter Gaffney re: Potential R&D Project Impairments	Objection: Relevance		
85	Defendant	LZ002001	LZ002002	3/23/2012	Email from Dorian Quispe to Matt Withers re: LegalZoom Affiliate Relationship	Objection: Relevance		
86	Defendant	LZ002003	LZ002004	3/28/2012	Email from Patty Chikamagalur to Carrie Yutzy, cc. Jeremy Vernassal and Peter Prucnel re: FW: Review has been entered on LegalSpring.com	Objection: E-mails Authored by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay; Foundation		
87	Defendant	LZ002055	LZ002057	3/30/2012	Email from David Jin to Aaron Hong, cc Lee Gamel re: FW: LegalSpring Review - Economy Incorporation - DE (9602212)	No Objection		
88	Defendant	LZ002062	LZ002065	3/31/2012	Email from Victoria Coe to Patty Chikamagalur, cc. Wagner Barrios, Mary Stanislao, and Peter Prucnel re: LegalSpring Review - Economy Incorporation - DE (9602212)	Objection: Relevance		
89	Defendant	LZ002159	LZ002159	7/25/2012	Email from Pravin Chandrasoma to Tracy Terrill and Chas Rampenthal re: FW: legalspring	Objection: E-mails Authored by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay; Foundation		
90	Defendant	LZ002166	LZ002169	7/25/2012	Email from Scott MacDonell to Dorian Quispe re: legalspring	Objection: E-mails Authored by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay; Foundation		
91	Defendant	LZ002171	LZ002172	9/20/2012	Email from Carrie Yutzy to Patty Chiamagalur re: Older LegalSpring Review	No Objection		
92	Defendant	LZ001549	LZ001550	10/7/2011	Email from Scott MacDonell to Brian Liu re: FW: legalspring reviews.xlsx	No Objection		
93	Defendant	RLI0063406	RLI0063410	12/11/2012	Print-outs from LegalSpring's website regarding The Company Corporation Reviews and Company Information	Objection: Hearsay; Foundation; Relevance		
94	Defendant	RLI0063426	RLI0063427	1/27/2014	Print-outs from Offers.com's website regarding LegalZoom LLCs	Objection: Hearsay; Foundation; Relevance		

963958.1 5 of 25

No. of Exhibit	Offering Party/Parties	Beg. Bates	End Bates	Date	Description	Non Offering Party's Objections	Date Identified	Date Admitted
95	Defendant	RLI0063428	RLI0063429	1/27/2014	Print-outs from pocketdeal.com's website regarding "LegalZoom coupon	Objection: Hearsay;		
					codes, LegalZoom promotions and discounts"	Foundation; Relevance		
96	Defendant	RLI0063436	RLI0063443	7/9/2014	Print-outs from LegalSpring's website regarding BizFilings Reviews and	Objection: Hearsay;		
					Company Information	Foundation; Relevance		
97	Defendant	RLI0063449	RLI0063452	7/9/2014	Print-outs from LegalSpring's website regarding Legalfilings.com	Objection: Hearsay;		
					Reviews and Company Information	Foundation; Relevance		
98	Defendant	RLI0063487	RLI0063501	7/9/2014	Print-outs from LegalSpring's website regarding MyCorporation.com	Objection: Hearsay;		
					Reviews and Company Information	Foundation; Relevance		
99	Defendant	RLI0063502	RLI0063508	7/9/2014	Print-outs from LegalSpring's website regarding The Company	Objection: Hearsay;		
					Corporation Reviews and Company Information	Foundation; Relevance		
100	Defendant	NA	NA	12/3/2013	LegalZoom.com, Inc.'s Supplemental Responses to Rocket Lawyer	No Objection		
					Incorporated's First Set of Special Interrogatories	3		
101	Defendant	NA	NA	7/14/2014	Declaration of Travis Giggy in Support of Motion for Partial Summary	No Objection		
					Judgment Brought by Plaintiff LegalZoom.com, Inc.	3		
102	Defendant	NA	NA	7/14/2014	Declaration of Dorian Quispe in Support of Motion for Partial Summary	No Objection		
					Judgment Brought by Plaintiff LegalZoom.com, Inc.	,		
103	Defendant	NA	NA	8/28/2013	Google search results for "incorporation"	Objection: Hearsay;		
						Foundation; Relevance		
104	Defendant	NA	NA	9/23/2013	Legalzoom.com, Inc.'s Response to Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's First	No Objection		
					Set of Special Interrogatories			
105	Defendant	GIG02135	GIG02135	3/8/2012	Email from Travis Giggy to himself re: Review has been entered on	Objection: E-mails Authored		
					LegalSpring.com	by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay;		
						Foundation; Relevance		
106	Defendant	GIG02151	GIG02151	3/21/2012	Email from Travis Giggy to himself re: Review has been entered on	Objection: E-mails Authored		
					LegalSpring.com	by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay;		
						Foundation; Relevance		
107	Defendant	GIG02287	GIG02288	12/4/2012	Email from Travis Giggy to Doug Ansell re: partner	Objection: E-mails Authored		
						by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay;		
						Foundation		
108	Defendant	GIG02438	GIG02443	2/11/2009	Email from Travis Giggy to Jonathan Mizel, cc. Dr. Glenn Livingston re:	Objection: E-mails Authored		
					Hi Jonathan :-)	by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay;		
						Foundation		
109	Defendant	GIG01249	GIG01249	11/2/2009	Email from Matt Withers to Travis Giggy re: LegalZoom Order Notification	Objection: Relevance		
110	Defendant	GIG01262	GIG01263	1/4/2010	Email from Travis Giggy to Monique (winebrat@gmail.com) re: Startup	Objection: E-mails Authored		
					Victory - 7 Steps to Startup S.U.C.C.E.S.S.	by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay;		
						Foundation; Relevance		
111	Defendant	GIG01825	GIG01826	10/11/2011	Email from Travis Giggy to Debra Toner re: Debra, download your free	Objection: E-mails Authored		
					LLC guide now	by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay;		
					-	Foundation; Relevance		
112	Defendant	GIG01846	GIG01848	10/14/2011	Email from Travis Giggy to Debra Toner re: Debra, download your free	Objection: E-mails Authored		
					LLC guide now	by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay;		
						Foundation; Relevance		
113	Defendant	GIG01869	GIG01869	11/1/2011	Email from Travis Giggy to supernotes@hotmail.com re: Your	No Objection		
					LegalZoom review	_		
114	Defendant	GIG01933	GIG01933	11/30/2011	Email from Travis Giggy to Monique (monique@giggy.com) and Kevin	Objection: E-mails Authored		
					King re: Wideframes needed for LegalSpring	by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay;		
						Foundation		

963958.1 6 of 25

o. of Exhibit	Offering Party/Parties	Beg. Bates	End Bates	Date	Description	Non Offering Party's Objections	Date Identified	Date Admitted
115	Defendant	GIG01934	GIG01934	10/00/2011	Print-out from LegalSpring's website regarding LegalZoom Reviews and	Objection: Hearsay;		
					Company Information	Foundation; Relevance		
116	Defendant	GIG02064	GIG02064	1/17/2012	Email from Lisette Howard to Travis Giggy re: Setting up Incorporation	Objection: Hearsay;		
110	2 cremaunt	0100200.	0100200.	1,1,12012	Ziman nom Zisene no mara to name oliggy ter senting up meorporation	Foundation; Relevance		
117	Defendant	GIG02195	GIG02195	7/24/2012	Email from Travis Giggy to Mark (mystermr2003@yahoo.com) re: I have	Objection: E-mails Authored		
117	Berendant	01002173	01002173	772172012	a question for the Legal Spring webmaster	by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay;		
					a question for the Legal Spring weentaster	Foundation		
118	Defendant	GIG02355	GIG02355	1/2/2009	Email from Travis Giggy to Kirk Stone re: Not cool	Objection: E-mails Authored		
110	Berendant	G1G02333	01002333	1,2,2009	Email from Travis Orggy to Trink Bione 10. 1401 Coor	by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay;		
						Foundation		
119	Defendant	GIG01785	GIG01788	9/7/2011	Email from Matt Withers to Travis Giggy, Eddie Hartman, and Brandon	Objection: Hearsay;		
117	Detendant	01001703	01001766	<i>)/1/2</i> 011	Molina re: Rocket Lawyer Funding	Foundation; Relevance		
120	Defendant	GIG02079	GIG02079	1/30/2012	Email from Peter Prucnel to Travis Giggy, cc. Scott MacDonell, Carter	Objection: E-mails Authored		
120	Defendant	01002079	GIG02079	1/30/2012	Gaffney, Patty Chikamagalur, Jeremy Vernassal, and Carrie Yutzy re: I	by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay;		
						Foundation		
121	Defendant	GIG02113	GIG02114	2/15/2012	have a question about Legal Spring Email from Travis Giggy to Bobbi Perreault re: LS review update sql	Objection: E-mails Authored		
121	Derendant	GIG02113	GIG02114	2/15/2012	Email from Travis Giggy to Bobbi Perreault re: LS review update sqi			
						by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay;		
100	D.C. 1. 4	GIGO2220	CICO2221	0/20/2012		Foundation; Relevance		
122	Defendant	GIG02230	GIG02231	9/20/2012	Email from Carrie Yutzy to Travis Giggy re: FW: Older LegalSpring	No Objection		
122	D 4 1	GIGGORA	Granan	0/20/2012	Review			
123	Defendant	GIG02232	GIG02232	9/20/2012	Email from Patty Chikamagalur to Travis Giggy re: Older LegalSpring	Objection: E-mails Authored		
					Review	by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay;		
						Foundation		
124	Defendant	LZ000909	LZ000909	5/24/2011	Email from Travis Giggy to Brandon Molina and Eddie Hartman re:	Objection: Hearsay;		
					Fwd: Rocket Lawyer	Foundation		
125	Defendant	LZ000911	LZ000911	5/25/2011	Email from Travis Giggy to Matt Withers re: Fwd: Rocket Lawyer	Objection: E-mails Authored		
						by Travis Giggy Are Hearsay;		
						Foundation		
126	Defendant	LZ000912	LZ000914	5/26/2011	Email from Travis Giggy to Matt Withers and Eddie Hartman re: Fwd: Rocket Lawyer	Objection: Hearsay; Relevance	:	
127	Defendant	LZ000933	LZ000936	9/7/2011	Email from Matt Withers to Travis Giggy, Eddie Hartman, and Brandon	Objection: Hearsay;		
					Molina re: Rocket Lawyer Funding	Incomplete; Relevance		
128	Defendant	LZ001537	LZ001537	5/24/2011	Email from Eddie Hartman to Travis Giggy re: Rocket Lawyer	Objection: Hearsay; Relevance		
					, and a second of the second o	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
129	Defendant	NA	NA	5/10/2012	LegalZoom.com, Inc.'s Form S-1 Filing	Objection: Relevance		
130	Defendant	RLI0037146	RLI0037148	9/24/2012	Email from David Baga to Michael Nelson, cc. Jarred Colli re:	Objection: Incomplete		
					Incorporation Ad without Free	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
131	Defendant	RLI0037159	RLI0037159	8/28/2012	Email from David Baga to Jarred Coli re: Ad Test Update			
132	Defendant	RLI0037696	RLI0037696	1/27/2011	Email from Mark Kaufman to Pete Franco re: LegalZoom's Checkout	Objection: Incomplete		
133	Defendant	RLI0007924	RLI0007924	NA	Exhibit F to the June 30, 2014 Declaration of Hong-An Vu in Support of	Objection: Lacks Personal		
100		RLI0007925	RLI0007925	1,77	Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's Motion for Summary Judgment and/or	Knowledge		
		RLI0006636	RLI0007925		Adjudication - charts with Rocket Lawyer's business formation (i.e.	- Land Louge		
		100000000	TCLIO000030		incorporation and LLC) advertisement and conversion data from			
		1		1	documents produced in Excel format			
134	Dafandant	RLI0007918	RLI0007918	NT A	Exhibit K to the June 30, 2014 Declaration of Hong-An Vu in Support of	Objection: Lacks Personal		
134	Defendant			NA		9		
		RLI0007922	RLI0007922	1	Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's Motion for Summary Judgment and/or	Knowledge		
				1	Adjudication - chart with Rocket Lawyer's free trial advertisement and conversion data from documents produced in Excel format			

963958.1 7 of 25

No. of Exhibit	Offering Party/Parties	Beg. Bates	End Bates	Date	Description	Non Offering Party's Objections	Date Identified	Date Admitted
135	Defendant	NA	NA	1/2/2014	Exhibit M to the June 30, 2014 Declaration of Hong-An Vu in Support of	Objection: Lacks Personal		
					Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's Motion for Summary Judgment and/or	Knowledge		
					Adjudication - screenshots of LegalZoom's free trial advertisements on			
					www.legalzoom.com			
136	Defendant	RLI0041618	RLI0041618	NA	Spreadsheet providing information regarding advertisements with state			
					fees, no state fees, and site links			
137	Defendant	RLI0044182	RLI0044183	10/20/2011	Email from Alisa Weiner to Michael Nelson, Rob Elhardt, and Matt			
					Kaufman, cc. Sasha Volkov and Pete Franco re: Who owns			
					LegalSpring.com			
138	Defendant	RLI0050135	RLI0050136	10/4/2012	Email from Alisa Weiner to Jarred Coli re: Updates on Free Ads			
					Language			
139	Defendant	NA	NA	6/27/2014		Objection: Lacks Personal		
					Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's Motion for Summary Judgment and/or	Knowledge		
					Adjudication - Google and Bing instructions regarding keyword			
					advertisements on Google and Bing, respectively, and Google and Bing			
					search results for the keyword "incorporation"			
140	Defendant	RLI0050359	RLI0050359	NA	Spreadsheet regarding Tranche Analysis	Objection: Illegible		
141	Defendant	RLI0051655	RLI0051655	10/24/2011	Email from Sasha Volkov to Pete Franco re: Follow-up: LegalSpring +			
					Legalcenterpro; CR downward trend			
142	Defendant	RLI0051661	RLI0051661		PowerPoint regarding Purchase Page Design			
143	Defendant	RLI0051667	RLI0051667	9/30/2011	Email from Mike N. to Pete Franco re: Funny LZ Ads			
144	Defendant	RLI0051764	RLI0051764	NA	Screenshot regarding "Select a processing option for your new business"			
145	Defendant	RLI0051765	RLI0051765	NA	Screenshot regarding "Purchase Summary"			
146	Defendant	RLI0051784	RLI0051785	5/20/2011	Email from Chris Innes to Pete Franco re: PROOF: Rocket Lawyer 160x600			
147	Defendant	NA	NA	10/17/2013	Order re: Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment			
148	Defendant	RLI0052984	RLI0052985	11/21/2011	Email from Nathaniel Alexander to David Baga, cc. Gani Urgino re:	Objection: Hearsay		
					Fwd: Rocket Lawyer - Incorporation Follow UP			
149	Defendant	RLI0053354	RLI0053354	NA	Spreadsheet listing "incorporation" keywords			
150	Defendant	RLI0053385	RLI0053385	8/00/2010	PowerPoint regarding Incorporation Business Analysis			
151	Defendant	RLI0053580	RLI0053580	12/00/2011	PowerPoint regarding Marketing Competitive Analysis			
152	Defendant	RLI0057292	RLI0057292	NA	Rocket Lawyer screenshot regarding "Incorporate for Free"	Undated		
153	Defendant	RLI0061998	RLI0062001		Email from Acquisition (noreply@google.com) to			
					phollerbach@rocketlawyer.com re: [Acquisition] A new post was made			
					to Monthly Updates			
154	Defendant	RLI0065633	RLI0065634	5/9/2012	Email from Charley Moore to Jarred Colli, cc. Pete Franco, Dan Nye,			
					David Baga, Alisa Weiner, Sasha Volkov, and Amir Khorram re: Incorp			
					space is getting more competitive			
155	Defendant	RLI0066990	RLI0066992	10/21/2011	Email from Alisa Weiner to David Baga, cc. Dan Nye, Charles Moore,			
					and Execs re: LegalZoom competitive activity			
156	Defendant	RLI0082569	RLI0082569	2/13/2013	Email from David Drummond to Charley Moore re: Follow up			
157	Defendant	RLI0079561	RLI0079622	5/15/2012	PowerPoint slides regarding Rocket Lawyer Board Meeting			
158	Defendant	3QD0000363	3QD0000363	5/31/2011	Email from Bob Sturges to himself re: PPCA Call 5/31	Objection: Hearsay		
159	Defendant	3QD0000766	3QD0000768	4/3/2012	Email from David Baga to Gordon Khoo re: New Ad Copy	Í		
160	Defendant	3QD0001289	3QD0001290	8/28/2012	Email from David Baga to Gordon Khoo re: Ad Test Update			
161	Defendant	3QD0002556	3QD0002556	NA	Spreadsheet with various tabs entitled "Notes from Meeting INC", "Ad			
					Test", "NPO Data", "Frequency Cap Data", "RL Docs Meeting", and "RL UK"			

963958.1 8 of 25

No. of Exhibit	Offering Party/Parties	Beg. Bates	End Bates	Date	Description	Non Offering Party's Objections	Date Identified	Date Admitted
162	Defendant	3QD0002587	3QD0002590	11/16/2012	Email from Gordon Khoo to Bailey Lau re: Weekly Call Notes 11.16.2012			
163	Defendant	RLI0003225	RLI000225	NA	Spreadsheet regarding calls by disposition			
		RLI0003223 RLI0045693	RLI000223 RLI0045693		Spreadsheet regarding cans by disposition Spreadsheet regarding membership cancellation			
164	Defendant			NA NA	Ad performance report for November 1, 2008 through September 30,			
165	Defendant	RLI0007916	RLI0007916	NA	2013. Spreadsheet has tabs entitled "Free Legal Help" and Legal Zoom Keywords"			
166	Defendant	RLI0007917	RLI0007917	NA	Ad performance report for November 1, 2008 through September 30, 2013. Spreadsheet has tab entitled "Ad performance reports (7).csv"			
167	Defendant	RLI0007918	RLI0007918	NA	Ad performance report for November 1, 2008 through September 30, 2013. Spreadsheet has tabs entitled "Free Trial" and Legal Zoom Keyword - Free Trial"			
168	Defendant	RLI0007919	RLI0007919	NA	Report Regarding Free Help From Local Attorneys - Rocket Lawyer March 2011 through September 2013. Spreadsheet has tabs entitled "Free Help" and "Free Help - Legal Zm KW"			
169	Defendant	RLI0007920	RLI0007920	NA	Free Legal Help Report - Rocket Lawyer March 2011 through September 2013. Spreadsheet has tabs entitled "Free Legal Help" and "Free Legal Help - Legal Zoom KW"			
170	Defendant	RLI0007921	RLI0007921	NA	Report Regarding Free Legal Review - Rocket Lawyer March 2011 through September 2013. Spreadsheet has tabs entitled "Free Legal Review" and "Free Legal Review - Legal Zm KW"			
171	Defendant	RLI0007922	RLI0007922	NA	Free Trial Ad Copy Report - Rocket Lawyer March 2011 through December 2011. Spreadsheet has tabs entitled "Free Trial Ad Copy" and "Legal Zoom Keywords"			
172	Defendant	RLI0007923	RLI0007923	NA	Ad performance report for November 1, 2008 through September 30, 2013. Spreadsheet has tab entitled "Ad performance reports (7).csv"			
173	Defendant	RLI0007924	RLI0007924	NA	Ad performance report for November 1, 2008 through September 30, 2013. Spreadsheet has tabs entitled "Business Formation - Inc Acct", "Legal Zoom Keywords", "Legal Zoom Kws - No State Fee", "Legal Zoom Kws - + State Fee"			
174	Defendant	RLI0007925	RLI0007925	NA	Report regarding Bing Business Formation - All Free Ads - March 2011 through September 2013. Spreadsheet has tabs entitled "Business Formation - Free", "Legal Zoom Keywords", "Legal Zoom KWs - State Fee", "Legal Zoom KWs - No State Fee"			
175	Defendant	RLI0036635	RLI0036635	NA	Rocket Lawyer Incorporated - Financial Performance by Quarter			
176	Defendant	RLI0036636	RLI0036636	NA	Ad performance report for November 1, 2008 through September 30, 2013. Spreadsheet has tabs entitled "Google RL Search (All Free)", "Google RL Search (LZ Free)", "Bing RL Search All Free", and "Bing RL Search (LZ Free)"			
177	Defendant	RLI0036637	RLI0036638	NA	Rocket Lawyer's business formation revenue from November 2008 through September 2013.			
178	Defendant	NA	NA	12/3/2012	Exhibit 2 to the September 23, 2013 Declaration of Hong-An Vu in Support of Rocket Lawyer's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment - screenshot of Rocket Lawyer's free immigration forms	Objection: Relevance		
179	Defendant	NA	NA	9/21/2013	Exhibit 3 to the September 23, 2013 Declaration of Hong-An Vu in Support of Rocket Lawyer's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment - screenshot of Rocket Lawyer's free legal dictionary			

963958.1 9 of 25

No. of Exhibit	Offering Party/Parties	Beg. Bates	End Bates	Date	Description	Non Offering Party's Objections	Date Identified	Date Admitted
180	Defendant	NA	NA	12/7/2012	Exhibit 4 to the September 23, 2013 Declaration of Hong-An Vu in Support of Rocket Lawyer's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment - screenshot of the free articles available on rocketlawyer.com			
181	Defendant	NA	NA	12/6/2012	Exhibit 5 to the September 23, 2013 Declaration of Hong-An Vu in Support of Rocket Lawyer's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment - screenshot of the Pro Legal Plan free trial registration page			
182	Defendant	NA	NA	12/6/2012	Exhibit 6 to the September 23, 2013 Declaration of Hong-An Vu in Support of Rocket Lawyer's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment - screenshot of the Basic Legal Plan free trial registration page			
183	Defendant	NA	NA	9/9/2013	Exhibit 7 to the September 23, 2013 Declaration of Hong-An Vu in Support of Rocket Lawyer's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment - screenshot of the FAQ regarding Rocket Lawyer's free trial plans			
184	Defendant	NA	NA	12/3/2012	Exhibit 8 to the September 23, 2013 Declaration of Hong-An Vu in Support of Rocket Lawyer's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment - screenshot of the option to enroll in a free trial of the pro legal plan at the end of the document interview for the incorporation process			
185	Defendant	NA	NA	8/27/2013	Exhibit 9 to the September 23, 2013 Declaration of Hong-An Vu in Support of Rocket Lawyer's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment - screenshot of one of Rocket Lawyer's disclosures of state fees available on Rocketlawyer.com prior to the incorporation interview program			
186	Defendant	NA	NA	12/3/2012	Exhibit 10 to the September 23, 2013 Declaration of Hong-An Vu in Support of Rocket Lawyer's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment - screenshot of Rocket Lawyer's disclosure of state and county fees in the incorporation interview	Objection: Relevance; Incomplete		
187	Defendant	NA	NA	12/3/2012	Exhibit 11 to the September 23, 2013 Declaration of Hong-An Vu in Support of Rocket Lawyer's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment - screenshot of a payment page at the end of an incorporation interview, disclosing fees and other information services purchased by a user but evidencing no charges for Rocket Lawyer's processing and filing of incorporation forms when enrolled in a free trial of the Pro Legal Plan	Objection: Relevance; Hearsay		
188	Defendant	NA	NA	9/9/2013	Exhibit 12 to the September 23, 2013 Declaration of Hong-An Vu in Support of Rocket Lawyer's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment - Rocket Lawyer's current On Call Terms and Conditions, which have been in effect since February 2013			
189	Defendant	NA	NA	12/3/2012	Exhibit 13 to the September 23, 2013 Declaration of Hong-An Vu in Support of Rocket Lawyer's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment - Rocket Lawyer's On Call Terms and Conditions that were in effect between November 28, 2012 and February 2013			
190	Defendant	NA	NA	9/9/2013	Exhibit 14 to the September 23, 2013 Declaration of Hong-An Vu in Support of Rocket Lawyer's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment - screenshot of a search on Google.com for "legal documents"	Objection: Foundation		

963958.1 10 of 25

No. of Exhibit	Offering Party/Parties	Beg. Bates	End Bates	Date	Description	Non Offering Party's Objections	Date Identified	Date Admitted
191	Defendant	NA	NA	8/28/2013	Exhibit 15 to the September 23, 2013 Declaration of Hong-An Vu in Support of Rocket Lawyer's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment - screenshot of a search on Google.com for "incorporation"	Objection: Foundation		
192	Defendant	NA	NA	8/28/2013	Exhibit 16 to the September 23, 2013 Declaration of Hong-An Vu in Support of Rocket Lawyer's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment - screenshots of Lawdepot.com with similar services to those of Rocket Lawyer and Legal	Objection: Foundation		
193	Defendant	NA	NA	8/26/2013	Exhibit 17 to the September 23, 2013 Declaration of Hong-An Vu in Support of Rocket Lawyer's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment - screenshot of LegalZoom's package of real estate documents	Objection: Foundation		
194	Defendant	NA	NA	9/5/2013	Exhibit 18 to the September 23, 2013 Declaration of Hong-An Vu in Support of Rocket Lawyer's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment - screenshot of LegalZoom's personal plan	Objection: Foundation		
195	Defendant	NA	NA	9/5/2013	Exhibit 19 to the September 23, 2013 Declaration of Hong-An Vu in Support of Rocket Lawyer's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment - screenshot of LegalZoom's business plan	Objection: Foundation		
196	Defendant	NA	NA	8/26/2013	Exhibit 20 to the September 23, 2013 Declaration of Hong-An Vu in Support of Rocket Lawyer's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment - screenshot of LegalZoom's "how it works" page showing its postal mail, hard-copy process	Objection: Foundation		
197	Defendant	NA	NA	9/14/2013	Exhibit 23 to the September 23, 2013 Declaration of Hong-An Vu in Support of Rocket Lawyer's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment - screenshot from LegalZoom's pricing for incorporation	Objection: Foundation		
198	Defendant	NA	NA	9/11/2013	Exhibit 24 to the September 23, 2013 Declaration of Hong-An Vu in Support of Rocket Lawyer's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment - LegalZoom's Supplemental Terms of Service for Corporate Center	Objection: Foundation		
199	Defendant	NA	NA	9/6/2013	Exhibit 25 to the September 23, 2013 Declaration of Hong-An Vu in Support of Rocket Lawyer's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment - LegalZoom's webpage relating to incorporation that contains a statement regarding its "A" rating with the Better Business Bureau	Objection: Foundation		
200	Defendant	NA	NA	9/6/2009	Exhibit 26 to the September 23, 2013 Declaration of Hong-An Vu in Support of Rocket Lawyer's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment - screenshot of an article with simultaneous advertisements for Rocket Lawyer's and LegalZoom's incorporation services, where LegalZoom has published that it has an "A" Rating with the Better Business Bureau	Objection: Foundation		
201	Defendant	NA	NA	9/6/2013	Exhibit 27 to the September 23, 2013 Declaration of Hong-An Vu in Support of Rocket Lawyer's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment - screenshot of the Better Business Bureau's website www.la.bbb.org showing no "A" rating for LegalZoom.com, Inc.	Objection: Foundation		
202	Defendant	NA	NA	3/12/2013	Exhibit 28 to the September 23, 2013 Declaration of Hong-An Vu in Support of Rocket Lawyer's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment - Article entitled "Better Business Bureau Expels Los Angeles Organization for Failure to Meet Standards"	Objection: Foundation		

963958.1 11 of 25

No. of Exhibit	Offering Party/Parties	Beg. Bates	End Bates	Date	•	Non Offering Party's Objections	Date Identified	Date Admitted
203	Defendant	NA	NA	9/11/2013	Exhibit 29 to the September 23, 2013 Declaration of Hong-An Vu in Support of Rocket Lawyer's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment - email from Karen S. (Council of Better Business Bureaus, Inc.) to Hong-An Vu re: BBB LA Ratings	Objection: Hearsay		
204	Defendant	NA	NA	9/16/2011	Exhibit 30 to the September 23, 2013 Declaration of Hong-An Vu in Support of Rocket Lawyer's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment - Article entitled "LegalZoom Takes New Twist to Old Profession"	Objection: Foundation; Hearsay		
205	Defendant	NA	NA	9/14/2013	Exhibit 31 to the September 23, 2013 Declaration of Hong-An Vu in Support of Rocket Lawyer's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment - blog entry from June 2013, found at http://iterationz.com/2013/06/25/creating-a-formal-business-structure-with-the-help-of-online-legal-services/, comparing Rocketlawyer.com and LegalZoom.com	Objection: Foundation; Hearsay		
206	Defendant	NA	NA	NA	Exhibit 32 to the September 23, 2013 Declaration of Hong-An Vu in Support of Rocket Lawyer's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment - image of the analysis of LegalZoom's incorporation page, found at http://iterationz.files.wordpress.com/2013/06/legalzoom1_2.png			
207	Defendant	NA	NA	NA	Support of Rocket Lawyer's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment - image of the analysis of Rocket Lawyer's incorporation page, found at http://iterationz.files.wordpress.com/2013/06/rocketlawyer1_4.png	Objection: Foundation		
1076	Defendant	NA	NA	9/23/2013	Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's Objections and Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Admission	Objection: Relevance		
1074	Defendant	NA	NA	9/23/2013	Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's Objections and Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents and Things (Nos. 1-55)	Objection: Relevance		
1079	Defendant	NA	NA	12/3/2013	Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's Supplemental Objections and Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents and Things (Nos. 1-55)	Objection: Relevance		
1077	Defendant	NA	NA	10/11/2013	Defendant's Objections and Responses to Plaintiff's Second Set of Requests for Production of Documents and Things (Nos. 56-59)	Objection: Relevance		
1080	Defendant	NA	NA	12/3/2013	Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's Supplemental Objections and Responses to Plaintiff's Second Set of Requests for Production of Documents and Things (Nos. 56-59)	Objection: Relevance		
213	Defendant	NA	NA	2/24/2014		Objection: Relevance		
1082	Defendant	NA	NA	7/21/2014	Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's Supplemental Objections and Responses to Plaintiff's Third Set of Requests for Production of Documents and Things (Nos. 60-89)	Objection: Relevance		
1075	Defendant	NA	NA	9/23/2012	First Set of Special Interrogatories	Objection: Relevance		
1078	Defendant	NA	NA	12/3/2013	Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's Supplemental Objections and Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Special Interrogatories			
1081	Defendant	NA	NA	12/20/2013	Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's Supplemental Objections and Responses to Interrogatory No. 24	Objection: Relevance		

963958.1 12 of 25

No. of Exhibit	Offering Party/Parties	Beg. Bates	End Bates	Date	Description	Non Offering Party's Objections	Date Identified	Date Admitted
218	Defendant	NA	NA	6/18/2014	Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's Second Supplemental Objections and Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Special Interrogatories	Objection: Relevance		
1083	Defendant	NA	NA	2/24/2014	Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's Objections and Responses to Plaintiff's Second Set of Special Interrogatories	Objection: Relevance		
220	Defendant	NA	NA	7/21/2014	Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's Supplemental Objections and Responses to Plaintiff's Second Set of Special Interrogatories	Objection: Relevance		
221	Defendant	NA	NA	9/23/2013	LegalZoom.com, Inc.'s Response to Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's First Set of Requests for Admission	Objection: Relevance		
222	Defendant	NA	NA	8/19/2014	LegalZoom.com, Inc.'s Response to Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's Second Set of Requests for Admission	Objection: Relevance		
223	Defendant	NA	NA	9/23/2013	LegalZoom.com, Inc.'s Response to Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's First Set of Requests for Production	Objection: Relevance		
224	Defendant	NA	NA	12/3/2013	LegalZoom.com, Inc.'s Supplemental Response to Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's First Set of Requests for Production	Objection: Relevance		
225	Defendant	NA	NA	9/23/2013	LegalZoom.com, Inc.'s Response to Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's Second Set of Requests for Production	Objection: Relevance		
226	Defendant	NA	NA	12/3/2013	LegalZoom.com, Inc.'s Supplemental Response to Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's Second Set of Requests for Production	Objection: Relevance		
227	Defendant	NA	NA	7/31/2014		Objection: Relevance		
228	Defendant	NA	NA	7/31/2014	LegalZoom.com, Inc.'s Response to Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's Second Set of Special Interrogatories	Objection: Relevance		
229	Defendant	NA	NA	10/21/2014	Spreadsheet regarding Zoom keyword performance report based on dates in Ex. 22 and deposition testimony from Mr. Quispe, Tr. 80:14-83:11			
1041-1048*	Defendant	NA	NA	4/15/2014	Exhibits 2-9 to the Expert Report Submitted by Dr. Bruce Isaacson Measuring the Impressions Conveyed by Materials Advertising Rocket Lawyer			
231	Defendant	NA	NA	4/15/2014	Table B: Summary of Results from Question 1 and Question 2 from the Expert Report Submitted by Dr. Bruce Isaacson Measuring the Impressions Conveyed by Materials Advertising Rocket Lawyer			
232	Defendant	NA	NA	4/15/2014	Table C: Summary of Responses to Questions about Ads (Questions 3 and 4) from the Expert Report Submitted by Dr. Bruce Isaacson Measuring the Impressions Conveyed by Materials Advertising Rocket Lawyer			
233	Defendant	NA	NA	4/15/2014	Table D: Summary of Responses to Questions About Website Pages (Questions 5, 6, 7, and 8) from the Expert Report Submitted by Dr. Bruce Isaacson Measuring the Impressions Conveyed by Materials Advertising Rocket Lawyer			
234	Defendant	NA	NA	4/15/2014	Appendix E Bill of Sale - Test Control Stimuli from the Expert Report of Professor Jerry (Yoram) Wind Regarding Consumer Perceptions of Rocket Lawyer's Advertisement and Website	Objection: Foundation; Hearsay		
235	Defendant	NA	NA	4/15/2014		Objection: Foundation; Hearsay		
236	Defendant	NA	NA	4/15/2014	Appendix E Incorporation - Test Control Stimuli from the Expert Report of Professor Jerry (Yoram) Wind Regarding Consumer Perceptions of Rocket Lawyer's Advertisement and Website	Objection: Foundation; Hearsay		

963958.1 13 of 25

No. of Exhibit	Offering Party/Parties	Beg. Bates	End Bates	Date	Description	Non Offering Party's Objections	Date Identified	Date Admitted
237	Defendant	NA	NA	4/15/2014	Appendix E Lease Agreement - Test Control Stimuli from the Expert	Objection: Foundation;		
					Report of Professor Jerry (Yoram) Wind Regarding Consumer	Hearsay		
					Perceptions of Rocket Lawyer's Advertisement and Website			
238	Defendant	NA	NA	4/15/2015	Appendix E Power of Attorney - Test Control Stimuli from the Expert	Objection: Foundation;		
					Report of Professor Jerry (Yoram) Wind Regarding Consumer	Hearsay		
					Perceptions of Rocket Lawyer's Advertisement and Website	,		
239	Defendant	NA	NA	4/15/2014	Appendix F - LegalZoom free trial advertisement from the Expert Report	Objection: Foundation;		
					of Professor Jerry (Yoram) Wind Regarding Consumer Perceptions of	Hearsay		
					Rocket Lawyer's Advertisement and Website			
240	Defendant	NA	NA	4/15/2014	Appendix F - Capture of LegalZoom free trial from the Expert Report of	Objection: Foundation;		
2.0	2 cremaunt	- 1	1,11	.,10,2011	Professor Jerry (Yoram) Wind Regarding Consumer Perceptions of	Hearsay		
					Rocket Lawyer's Advertisement and Website	Treatsay		
					Rocket Lawyer 37 Revertisement and Website			
241	Defendant	NA	NA	4/15/2014	Appendix E. LegalZeem free trial offer from the Expert Benert of	Objection: Foundation;	+	
241	Defendant	NA	NA	4/13/2014	Appendix F - LegalZoom free trial offer from the Expert Report of	,		
					Professor Jerry (Yoram) Wind Regarding Consumer Perceptions of	Hearsay		
2.12	D.C. I	37.4	27.4	4/15/2014	Rocket Lawyer's Advertisement and Website			
242	Defendant	NA	NA	4/15/2014	Appendix G - Online Legal Services Study - Online Screener	Objection: Foundation;		
					Questionnaire from the Expert Report of Professor Jerry (Yoram) Wind	Hearsay		
					Regarding Consumer Perceptions of Rocket Lawyer's Advertisement and			
					Website			
243	Defendant	NA	NA	4/15/2014	Appendix H - Online Legal Study - Screening Results from the Expert	Objection: Foundation;		
					Report of Professor Jerry (Yoram) Wind Regarding Consumer	Hearsay		
					Perceptions of Rocket Lawyer's Advertisement and Website			
244	Defendant	NA	NA	4/15/2014	Appendix I - Verbatim Responses from the Expert Report of Professor	Objection: Foundation;		
					Jerry (Yoram) Wind Regarding Consumer Perceptions of Rocket	Hearsay		
					Lawyer's Advertisement and Website	,		
245	Defendant	NA	NA	4/15/2014	Appendix L - Computer Cross Tabulation from the Expert Report of	Objection: Foundation;		
					Professor Jerry (Yoram) Wind Regarding Consumer Perceptions of	Hearsay		
					Rocket Lawyer's Advertisement and Website			
246	Defendant	NA	NA	4/15/2014	Appendix L - Consumer Services Decision Tree Tables from the Expert	Objection: Foundation;		
					Report of Professor Jerry (Yoram) Wind Regarding Consumer	Hearsay		
					Perceptions of Rocket Lawyer's Advertisement and Website			
247	Defendant	NA	NA	4/15/2014	Appendix L - Incorporation Services Decision Tree Tables from the	Objection: Foundation;		
21,	Berendant	1121	1111	1,13,2011	Expert Report of Professor Jerry (Yoram) Wind Regarding Consumer	Hearsay		
					Perceptions of Rocket Lawyer's Advertisement and Website	Treatsay		
248	Defendant	NA	NA	4/15/2014		Objection: Foundation;		
240	Defendant	INA	INA	4/13/2014				
					of Rocket Lawyer's Advertisement Incorporation Services from the	Hearsay		
					Expert Report of Professor Jerry (Yoram) Wind Regarding Consumer			
					Perceptions of Rocket Lawyer's Advertisement and Website			
240	Defends :	NIA	NT A	4/15/2014	In a supervision and a plant hard a supervision of the state of the st	Objection Fr. 1.4		
249	Defendant	NA	NA	4/15/2014	Incorporation and online legal services results table from the Expert	Objection: Foundation;		
					Report of Professor Jerry (Yoram) Wind Regarding Consumer	Hearsay		
	·	27.1	27.1		Perceptions of Rocket Lawyer's Advertisement and Website	011 1 7 1		
250	Defendant	NA	NA	4/15/2014	Sample size chart from the Expert Report of Professor Jerry (Yoram)	Objection: Foundation;		
					Wind Regarding Consumer Perceptions of Rocket Lawyer's	Hearsay		
					Advertisement and Website			
251	Defendant	NA	NA	4/15/2014		Objection: Foundation;		
					Jerry (Yoram) Wind Regarding Consumer Perceptions of Rocket	Hearsay		
					Lawyer's Advertisement and Website			

963958.1 14 of 25

No. of Exhibit	Offering Party/Parties	Beg. Bates	End Bates	Date	Description	Non Offering Party's Objections	Date Identified	Date Admitted
252	Defendant	NA	NA	5/15/2014	Exhibits A-F to the Rebuttal Report of Professor Yoram (Jerry) Wind in	Objection: Foundation;		
					Response to Report of Dr. Bruce Isaacson	Hearsay		
253	Defendant	NA	NA	6/27/2014	Chart regarding The Relationship between Intention to Buy and Actual	Objection: Foundation;		
					Purchase from the Supplemental Report of Yoram (Jerry) Wind	Hearsay		
254	Defendant	NA	NA	6/27/2014	Appendix A- Results Tables from the Supplemental Report of Yoram	Objection: Foundation;		
					(Jerry) Wind	Hearsay		
255	Defendant	NA	NA	6/27/2014	Appendix B - Statistical Analysis from the Supplemental Report of	Objection: Foundation;		
					Yoram (Jerry) Wind	Hearsay		
256	Defendant	RLI0036800	RLI0036802	4/10/2013	Email from John Whelan to Brian Kocher re: Incorporation	j		
1026*	Defendant	RLI0039562	RLI0039565	11/18/2011	Email from Brian Liu to Charley Moore re: Google Ads			
258	Defendant	RLI0039522	RLI0039524	12/11/2011	Email from Executive Team (noreply@google.com) to Charley Moore			
					re: [Executive Team] 2011-12-05 was updated			
259	Defendant	RLI0042326	RLI0042327	12/7/2011	Email from Christian Knuetter to Dan Nye, cc. Alisa Weiner, Charles	Objection: Relevance		
					Moore, David Baga, John Whelan, and An Tran re: LegalZoom Attack			
260	Defendant	RLI0042332	RLI0042333	12/7/2011	Email from Katherine K. to Charley Moore, cc. Alisa Weiner re: Policy	Objection: Hearsay; Relevance		
					Follow Up			
1020*	Defendant	RLI0042339	RLI0042340	12/2/2011	Email from Katherine K. to Charley Moore, cc. Alisa Weiner re: Rocket			
					Lawyer Follw Up			
1022	Defendant	RLI0042345	RLI0042347	12/1/2011	Email from Charley Moore to Alisa Weiner re: Policy Issues			
263	Defendant	RLI0042523	RLI0042523	10/20/2011	Email from Katherine K. to Michael Nelson, cc. Sasha Volkov and Alisa	Objection: Hearsay		
					Weiner re: Policy Follow Up			
264	Defendant	RLI0065734	RLI0065737	12/13/2011	Email from Katherine K. to Charley Moore, cc. Alisa Weiner re: Rocket			
					Lawyer Follw Up			
265	Defendant	RLI0065741	RLI0065743	12/8/2011	Email from David Baga to Charley Moore, cc. Christian Knuetter, Dan	Objection: Relevance		
					Nye, Alisa Weiner, John Whelan, and An Tran re: LegalZoom Attack			
266	Defendant	RLI0065758	RLI0065760	12/5/2011	Email from Katherine K. to Charley Moore, cc. Alisa Weiner re: Rocket			
					Lawyer Follow Up			
267	Defendant	RLI0066972	RLI0066974	12/6/2011	Email from Alisa Weiner to Charley Moore, cc. Dan Nye, Courtney			
					O'Connell, David Baga, An Tran, Christian Knuetter, David Kreitzer and			
					Pete Franco re: Fwd: Rocket Lawyer Follow Up			
1011*	Defendant	RLI0039820	RLI0039820	4/16/2010	Interviews from Ferguson's April 2010 study	Objection: Incomplete;		
						Hearsay; Lack of Knowledge;		
						Misleading		
1012*	Defendant	RLI0042598	RLI0042620	10/17/2011	Email from Jenny Dearing to Michael Margolis, cc. Kevin McSpadden,	Objection: Incomplete;		
					John Fernandes, Alisa Weiner, and Sasha Volkov re: Notes from UX	Hearsay; Misleading		
					interviews 1-4. Notes pertaining to usability interviews is attached			
270#	D. C. J.	DI 100 10722	DI 100 1072 <	NI.	No. 1995 to 1			
270*	Defendant	RLI0040722	RLI0040726	NA	Notes pertaining to usability interviews.	Objection: Incomplete;		
271	D.C. I.	DI 100 42 520	DI 100 42 4 4	10/10/2011	P. 16 M. I. I. M. P. AP. W. C. W.	Hearsay; Misleading		
271	Defendant	RLI0042638	RLI0042641	10/10/2011	Email from Michael Margolis to Alisa Weiner, cc. ThinkBrandNew,			
272	Defende :	DI 10040051	DI 10040071	2/17/2011	John Zeratsky, and Jenny Dearing re: Connecting			+
272	Defendant	RLI0048851	RLI0048851	2/17/2011	PowerPoint slides regarding Rocket Lawyer's Board of Directors			
273	Defendent	DI 10002001	DI 10092991	4/15/2010	Meeting Down-Point slides as conding Posts t Laurente Poord of Directors			
2/3	Defendant	RLI0083881	RLI0083881	4/15/2010	PowerPoint slides regarding Rocket Lawyer's Board of Directors			
	l			1	Meeting			

963958.1 15 of 25

No. of Exhibit	Offering Party/Parties	Beg. Bates	End Bates	Date	Description	Non Offering Party's Objections	Date Identified	Date Admitted
274	Defendant	NA	NA	10/24/2014	Screenshot of the Business Consumer Alliance's review of	Objection: Relevance; Hearsay		
					LegalZoom.com found at			
					http://www.businessconsumeralliance.org/report/legalzoomcominc-			
					13156151			
275	Defendant	NA	NA	10/24/2014	Screenshot of the Business Consumer Alliance's review of Rocket	Objection: Relevance; Hearsay		
					Lawyer Corporate Services LLC found at			
					http://www.businessconsumeralliance.org/report/rocket-lawyer-corporate-	-		
					services-llc-104856689			
276	Defendant	NA	NA	10/24/2014	Top Ten Reviews for Rocket Lawyer found at http://online-legal-forms-	Objection: Relevance; Hearsay		
					review.toptenreviews.com/rocket-lawyer-review.html			
277	Defendant	NA	NA	10/24/2014		Objection: Relevance; Hearsay		
					review.toptenreviews.com/legalzoom-review.html			
278	Defendant	NA	NA	NA	Placeholder for the demo video of the consumer flow	Objection: Relevance;		
						Foundation; Hearsay		
279	Defendant	NA	NA	NA	Undisputed Statements of Fact for Summary Judgment Not Disputed or			
					Not Properly Disputed			
280	Defendant	N/A	N/A		Rocket Lawyer's Answer to First Amended Complaint and Amended			
					Counterclaims			
281	Defendant	RLI0044139	RLI0044139	11/7/2011	Email from Alisa Weiner to coconnell@rocketlawyer.com re: 1:1 videos			
282	Defendant	NA	NA	4/8/2013	Rocket Lawyer, Inc.'s Initial Disclosures Purusant to Fed. R. Civ. Proc.			
					26(A)(1)			
283	Defendant	NA	NA	9/20/2013	Amended Rule 26(A)(1) Disclosures of Rocket Lawyer Incorporated			
284	Defendant	NA	NA	10/24/2014	Rocket Lawyer, Inc.'s Second Amended Disclosures Pursuant to Fed. R.			
207	D 0 1		27.	1/0/2012	Civ. Proc. 26(A)(1)			
285	Defendant	NA	NA	4/8/2013	LegalZoom.com, Inc.'s Initial Disclosures Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P.			
20.6	D. C. 1. 4	NT A	NT A	0/06/2012	26(A)(1)			
286	Defendant	NA	NA NA	9/26/2013	LegalZoom.com, Inc.'s Amended Rule 26(A)(1) Disclosures			
287 288	Defendant	NA NA	NA NA	10/24/2014	LegalZoom.com, Inc.'s Supplemental Rule 26(A)(1) Disclosures	Objection Delegener Heaven		
288	Defendant	NA	NA	10/27/2014	Historic screenshots from LegalCenterPro.com	Objection: Relevance; Hearsay		
289	Defendant	NA	NA	10/27/2014	Historic screenshots from LegalSpring.com	Objection: Relevance; Hearsay		
20)	Berendant	1111	1111	10/27/2011	Thistoric sercenshots from Eeganopring.com	Sojection: Televance, Treasury		
290	Defendant	RLI0003391	RLI0003391	8/12/2010	Rocket Lawyer PowerPoint presentation entitled "Incorporation Business	Objection: Relevance: Hearsay		
2,0	2 orongani	100000071	112100000071	0,12,2010	Analysis"	Solvenom resevance, riemsmy		
291	Defendant	RLI0074319	RLI0074319	3/14/2013	Rocket Lawyer PowerPoint presentation entitled "Incorporation Business	Objection: Relevance: Hearsay		
					Update"			
292	Defendant	RLI0083634	RLI0083634	6/29/2011	Rocket Lawyer PowerPoint slides entitled "Actual & Projected Customer	Objection: Relevance; Hearsay		
					Lifetime Value (LTV)" and "Traffic Distribution"			
293	Defendant	RLI0083880	RLI0083881	4/14/2010		Objection: Relevance; Hearsay		
					04-15-10 draft v4.pptx. Attached to the email is a Rocket Lawyer			
					PowerPoint presentation entitled "Board of Directors Meeting April 15,			
					2010"			
294	Defendant	NA	NA	NA	Screenshots of Websites Advertising "Free"	Objection: Relevance; Hearsay		
1001	Plaintiff				Rocket Lawyer advertisement on Google.com featuring "Incorporate for			
					FreePay No Fees (\$0)."			
1002	Plaintiff				Rocket Lawyer advertisement on Yahoo featuring "Zoom Charges \$99,			
					We're Free."			

963958.1 16 of 25

No. of Exhibit	Offering Party/Parties	Beg. Bates	End Bates	Date	Description	Non Offering Party's Objections	Date Identified	Date Admitted
1003	Plaintiff				Rocket Lawyer advertisement on Yahoo featuring "Zoom Costs \$99,			
					We're Free."			
1004	Plaintiff				Rocket Lawyer advertisement on Google featuring "Free Legal Forms &			
					LLC's" from Rocket Lawyer			
1005	Plaintiff				Rocket Lawyer advertisement on Rocketlawyer.com featuring "Free help			
					from local attorneys."			
1006	Plaintiff	RLI 0036654			Freemium Yellow Brick Road presentation/document			
1007	Plaintiff	RLI 0040580	RLI 0040632	4/0/2010	Rocket Lawyer Usability Research April 2010	Hearsay, FRE 801; research		
						participants and Ferguson not		
						available to testify; prejudicial,		
						FRE 403, jury may be led to		
						believe it is an actual consumer		
						survey		
1008	Plaintiff	RLI 0040744	RLI 0040744	10/0/2010	Rocket Lawyer Usability Research October 2010 [Produced in Native	Hearsay, FRE 801; research		
					Format]	participants and Ferguson not		
						available to testify; prejudicial,		
						FRE 403, jury may be led to		
						believe it an actual consumer		
						survey		
1009	Plaintiff	RLI 0040687		6/0/2011	Rocket Lawyer Detailed Usability Findings Small Business Owner and	Hearsay, FRE 801; research		
					Consumer Research June 2011	participants and Ferguson not		
						available to testify; prejudicial,		
						FRE 403, jury may be led to		
						believe it is an actual consumer		
						survey		
1010	Plaintiff	RLI 0040728		10/21/2011	Rocket Lawyer: UX Research Toplines October 21, 2011	Hearsay, FRE 801; research		
						participants and Margolis not		
						available to testify; prejudicial,		
						FRE 403, jury may be led to		
						believe it is an actual consumer		
						survey		
1011	Plaintiff	RLI 0039820		4/0/2010	Handwritten Notes from April 2010 Usability Study – Author Unknown	Hearsay, FRE 801; research		
						participants and Margolis not		
						available to testify; prejudicial,		
						FRE 403, jury may be led to		
						believe it is an actual consumer		
						survey		
1012	Plaintiff	RLI 0042598			Handwritten Notes from UX Interviews – Author Jenny Dearing of	Hearsay, FRE 801; research		
					Thinkbrandnew.com	participants and Margolis not		
						available to testify; prejudicial,		
						FRE 403, jury may be led to		
						believe it is an actual consumer		
						survey		
1013	Plaintiff	RLI 0005804		10/15/2012	Email from Justin Tenuto to Jarrad Colli			
1014	Plaintiff	RLI 0036680		10/23/2012	Email from Chris Faville to Alisa Weiner	Hearsay, FRE 801, to the		
	1		1			extent to show that people are		
						getting confused with RLI's UI.		

963958.1 17 of 25

No. of Exhibit	Offering	Beg. Bates	End Bates	Date	Description	Non Offering Party's	Date Identified	Date Admitted
	Party/Parties				T	Objections		
	Plaintiff	RLI 0036803		2/5/2013	Email from John Whelan to Chris Faville	Hearsay, FRE 801, to extent LZ		
						offers customer responses to		
						show actual customer		
						confusion; prejudicial, FRE		
						403; irrelevant 401, 402 ("a		
						handful of customer statements		
						on one online review site is not		
						sufficient to demonstrate that a		
						'significant portion' of		
						customers were deceived and is		
						not necessarily a reliable		
						consumer survey or market		
						research" SJ Order. ECF 44 AT		
						10.		
1016	Plaintiff	RLI 0037960		7/20/2010	Email from David Baga to Jennifer Paulus	Hearsay, FRE 801, to extent LZ		
						offers customer response to		
						show actual customer		
						confusion; prejudicial, FRE		
						403; irrelevant 401, 402 ("a		
						handful of customer statements		
						on one online review site is not		
						sufficient to		
						demonstrate that a 'significant		
						portion' of customers were		
						deceived and is not necessarily		
						a		
						reliable consumer survey or		
						market research" SJ Order.		
4045	D1 1 100	D		= (2.1 /2.1.1.)		ECF 44 AT 10.		
1017	Plaintiff	RLI 0037987		7/21/2010	Email from Eric Geibel to David Baga	Hearsay, FRE 801, to extent LZ		
						offers customer response to		
						show actual customer		
						confusion; prejudicial, FRE		
						403; irrelevant 401, 402 ("a		
						handful of customer statements		
						on one online review site is not		
						sufficient to		
						demonstrate that a 'significant		
						portion' of customers were		
						deceived and is not necessarily		
						a maliable company		
						reliable consumer survey or		
						market research" SJ Order.		
1010	DI-:+:CC	DI 1.0027000		0/17/2010	English Law Marries to Decid Days	ECF 44 AT 10.		
1018	Plaintiff	RLI 0037098		8/1//2010	Email from Jenn Mazzon to David Baga]		1

963958.1 18 of 25

Party/Parties			Date	Description	Non Offering Party's Objections	Date Identified	Date Admitted
Plaintiff	RLI 0001021		4/30/2012	Email from Pete Franco to Mike N	FRE 407 – subsequent		
					remedial measures – evidence		
					C		
Dlaintiff	DI I 0042220		12/2/2011	Email from Katharina K. of Google to Charley Moore			
1 Idilitiii	KLI 0042339		12/2/2011	Email from Ratherine R. of Google to Charley Moore			
					later emans with Katherine K		
Plaintiff	RI I 0042354		12/1/2011	Email from Michael Nelson to Sasha Volkov			
					The email attaches RLI		
1 141111111	TLI 00+7002		1/29/2011	Email from Jenn Mazzon to Redecta Carpenter			
					•		
DI : .166	DI 1 0020575	DI 1 0020 577	11/16/2011	D. H. D. H. LOLL M.	actual consumer survey		
			_	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
		KLI 0039565					
	RL1003/010				II EDE 001		
	1		_				
					Hearsay, FRE 801		
Plaintiff			9/23/2013				
D1 1 100			40/4/2044				
	ļ		10/9/2014		Hearsay, FRE 801		
Plaintiff				(Docket No. 18)			
Plaintiff							
Plaintiff			4/15/2014	Tab No. 1 to the Expert Report of Alan Goedde, Ph.D.	prejudicial, FRE 403. This version of the Goedde report has been expressly superseded		
Districted			4/15/2014	Tala Na Ata da Farret Danast of Alas Cardal Dis D			
riaintiii			4/15/2014	1 ab No. 2 to the Expert Report of Alan Goedde, Ph.D.	prejudicial, FRE 403. This version of the Goedde report has been expressly superseded		
	Plaintiff	Plaintiff RLI 0042354 Plaintiff RLI 0042345 Plaintiff RLI 0037788 Plaintiff RLI 0049002 Plaintiff RLI 0039576 Plaintiff RLI 0039562 Plaintiff RLI 0037010 Plaintiff	Plaintiff RLI 0042354 Plaintiff RLI 0042345 Plaintiff RLI 0037788 Plaintiff RLI 0049002 Plaintiff RLI 0039576 RLI 0039577 Plaintiff RLI 0039562 RLI 0039565 Plaintiff	Plaintiff	Plaintiff RLI 0042354 12/1/2011 Email from Michael Nelson to Sasha Volkov Plaintiff RLI 0037788 12/14/2010 Email from David Baga to Dave Yoo Plaintiff RLI 0049002 1/29/2011 Email from David Baga to Dave Yoo Plaintiff RLI 0039576 RLI 0039577 11/16/2011 Email from Jenn Mazzon to Rebecca Carpenter Plaintiff RLI 0039576 RLI 0039565 11/18/2011 Email between Brian Liu and Charley Moore Plaintiff RLI 0039562 RLI 0039565 11/18/2011 Email from Dan Nye to Charley Moore Plaintiff RLI 0037010 12/12/2011 Email from Dan Nye to Charley Moore Plaintiff 9/23/2014 Excerpts of the Deposition of Alisa Weiner Plaintiff 9/23/2013 Declaration of Paul Hollerbach In Support of Rocket Lawyer's Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment Plaintiff 10/1/2014 Excerpts of the Deposition of Mark Sedlacek Plaintiff 10/3/2014 Excerpts of the Deposition of Pavid Baga Plaintiff 10/8/2014 Excerpts of the Deposition of David Baga Plaintiff 10/8/2014 Excerpts of the Deposition of Charley Moore Plaintiff 10/9/2014 Excerpts of the Deposition of Charley Moore Plaintiff 10/9/2014 Excerpts of the Deposition of Pavid Baga Plaintiff 10/9/2014 Excerpts of the Deposition of Charley Moore LegalZoom Answer to Rocket Lawyer's Amended Counterclaims Decket No. 18 Pirst Amended Complaint filed by LegalZoom (Docket No. 14) Plaintiff 17ab No. 1 to the Expert Report of Alan Goedde, Ph.D.	Paintiff RLI 0042339 12/2/2011 Email from Katherine K. of Google to Charley Moore Incomplete, PRE 106, there are later emails with Katherine K	Plaintiff RLI 0042339

963958.1 19 of 25

No. of Exhibit	Offering Party/Parties	Beg. Bates	End Bates	Date	Description	Non Offering Party's Objections	Date Identified	Date Admitted
1039	Plaintiff			4/15/2014	Tab No. 3 to the Expert Report of Alan Goedde, Ph.D.	Relevance, FRE 402; prejudicial, FRE 403. This version of the Goedde report has been expressly superseded		
						by the 2nd supplemental report		
1040	Plaintiff			4/0/2014	Exhibit 1 to the Expert Report of Dr. Bruce R. Isaacson			
1041	Plaintiff			4/0/2014	Exhibit 2 to the Expert Report of Dr. Bruce R. Isaacson			
1042	Plaintiff			4/0/2014	Exhibit 3 to the Expert Report of Dr. Bruce R. Isaacson			
1043	Plaintiff			4/0/2014	Exhibit 4 to the Expert Report of Dr. Bruce R. Isaacson			
1044	Plaintiff			4/0/2014	Exhibit 5 to the Expert Report of Dr. Bruce R. Isaacson			
1045	Plaintiff			4/0/2014	Exhibit 6 to the Expert Report of Dr. Bruce R. Isaacson			
1046	Plaintiff			4/0/2014	Exhibit 7 to the Expert Report of Dr. Bruce R. Isaacson			
1047	Plaintiff			4/0/2014	Exhibit 8 to the Expert Report of Dr. Bruce R. Isaacson			
1048	Plaintiff			4/0/2014	Exhibit 9 to the Expert Report of Dr. Bruce R. Isaacson			
1049	Plaintiff			4/15/2014	Exhibit 1 to the Expert Report of Dr. Larry Chiagouris			
1050	Plaintiff			4/0/2014	Exhibit 2 to the Expert Report of Dr. Larry Chiagouris			
1051	Plaintiff			4/0/2014	Exhibit 3 to the Expert Report of Dr. Larry Chiagouris			
1052	Plaintiff			6/3/2014	Tab 1 to the Supplemental Expert Report of Alan Goedde, Ph.D.	Relevance, FRE 402; prejudicial, FRE 403. This version of the Goedde report has been expressly superseded by the 2nd supplemental report		
1053	Plaintiff			6/3/2014	Tab 2 to the Supplemental Expert Report of Alan Goedde, Ph.D.	Relevance, FRE 402; prejudicial, FRE 403. This version of the Goedde report has been expressly superseded by the 2nd supplemental report		
1054	Plaintiff			6/3/2014	Tab 3 to the Supplemental Expert Report of Alan Goedde, Ph.D.	Relevance, FRE 402; prejudicial, FRE 403. This version of the Goedde report has been expressly superseded by the 2nd supplemental report		
1055	Plaintiff			10/0/2014	Part 1 to the Second Supplemental Expert Report of Alan Goedde, Ph.D.			
1056	Plaintiff			10/0/2014	Part 2 to the Second Supplemental Expert Report of Alan Goedde, Ph.D.			
1057	Plaintiff			10/0/2014	Part 3 to the Second Supplemental Expert Report of Alan Goedde, Ph.D			
1058	Plaintiff			10/0/2014	Part 4 to the Second Supplemental Expert Report of Alan Goedde, Ph.D.			
1059	Plaintiff				LegalZoom's First Request for Production of Documents			
1060	Plaintiff				LegalZoom's Second Set of Requests for Production of Documents			
1061	Plaintiff				LegalZoom's Third Set of Requests for Production of Documents			
1062	Plaintiff				LegalZoom's First Set of Interrogatories to Rocket Lawyer			
1063	Plaintiff				LegalZoom's Second Set of Interrogatories to Rocket Lawyer			

963958.1 20 of 25

No. of Exhibit	Offering Party/Parties	Beg. Bates	End Bates	Date	Description	Non Offering Party's Objections	Date Identified	Date Admitted
1064	Plaintiff				LegalZoom's First Set of Request for Admissions to Rocket Lawyer			
1065	Plaintiff			10/24/2014	LegalZoom's Amended Rule 26(a)(1) Disclosures			
1066	Plaintiff				Amended Notice of Deposition of Alisa Weiner			
1067	Plaintiff				Amended Notice of Deposition of Paul Hollerbach			
1068	Plaintiff				Amended Notice of Deposition of Mark Sedlacek			
1069	Plaintiff				Amended Notice of Deposition of Pete Franco			
1070	Plaintiff				Amended Deposition Notice of David Baga			
1071	Plaintiff				Amended Deposition Notice of Charley Moore			
1072	Plaintiff				LegalZoom's Notice of 30(b)(6) Deposition			
1073	Plaintiff				Rocket Lawyer's Responses and Objections to LegalZoom's Notice of 30(b)(6) Deposition			
1074	Plaintiff				Rocket Lawyer's Incorporated's Objections and Responses to Plaintiff's			
					First Set of Requests for Production of Document and Things (Nos. 1-55)			
1075	Plaintiff				Rocket Lawyer's Incorporated's Objections and Responses to Plaintiff's			
1076	TOT 1 1100				First Set of Special Interrogatories			
1076	Plaintiff				Rocket Lawyer's Incorporated's Objections and Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Admission			
1077	Plaintiff				Defendant's Objections and Responses to Plaintiff's Second Set of			
1070	D1 : .100				Requests for Production and Things (Nos. 56-59)			
1078	Plaintiff				Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's Supplemental Objections and Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Special Interrogatories			
1079	Plaintiff				Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's Supplemental Objections and Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production and Things (Nos. 1-55)			
1080	Plaintiff				Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's Supplemental Objections and Responses to Plaintiff's Second Set of Requests for Production and Things (Nos. 56-59)			
1081	Plaintiff				Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's Supplemental Objections and Responses to Interrogatory No. 24			
1082	Plaintiff				Rocket Lawyer Incorporated Supplemental Objections and Responses to Plaintiff's Third Set of Requests for Production of Documents and Things (Nos. 60-89)			
1083	Plaintiff				Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's Objections and Responses to Plaintiff's Second Set of Special Interrogatories			
1084	Plaintiff			9/4/2013	Exhibit A to the September 4, 2013 Declaration of Mary Ann T. Nguyen in support of Plaintiff LegalZoom's Motion for Summary Judgment			
1085	Plaintiff			9/4/2013	Exhibit B to the September 4, 2013 Declaration of Mary Ann T. Nguyen in support of Plaintiff LegalZoom's Motion for Summary Judgment	Incompete and misleading, FRE 106 and 403, to that the ads that other ads that appear on search engine results are not included and to the extent that the screenshot is not clear as to whether the image shows a paid advertisement or an organic search result		

963958.1 21 of 25

No. of Exhibit	Offering Party/Parties	Beg. Bates	End Bates	Date	Description	Non Offering Party's Objections	Date Identified	Date Admitted
1086	Plaintiff			9/4/2013	Exhibit C to the September 4, 2013 Declaration of Mary Ann T. Nguyen in support of Plaintiff LegalZoom's Motion for Summary Judgment	Incomplete, FRE 106; misleading, FRE 403; to extent it is offered to show the only disclosure of state filing fees on Rocketlawyer.com and only a portion of the user journey		
1087	Plaintiff			9/4/2013	Exhibit D to the September 4, 2013 Declaration of Mary Ann T. Nguyen in support of Plaintiff LegalZoom's Motion for Summary Judgment	Incomplete, FRE 106; misleading, FRE 403; to extent it is offered to show the only disclosure of state filing fees on Rocketlawyer.com and only a portion of the use journey (missing summary of order and payment pages)		
1088	Plaintiff			9/4/2013	Exhibit E to the September 4, 2013 Declaration of Mary Ann T. Nguyen in support of Plaintiff LegalZoom's Motion for Summary Judgment	Incomplete, FRE 106; misleading, FRE 403 to the extent that the first page is used to show what services are included in the free trial and as to the second page, it is unclear what the status of the user is at the time this screenshot was taken and the offer for free legal review was made.		
1089	Plaintiff			9/4/2013	Exhibit F to the September 4, 2013 Declaration of Mary Ann T. Nguyen in support of Plaintiff LegalZoom's Motion for Summary Judgment	Incompete and misleading, FRE 106 and 403, 407 to the extent used to show negligence; culpable conduct; a defect in a product or its design; or a need for a warning or instruction through remedial action		
1090	Plaintiff			9/4/2013	Exhibit G to the September 4, 2013 Declaration of Mary Ann T. Nguyen in support of Plaintiff LegalZoom's Motion for Summary Judgment	Incompete and misleading, FRE 106 and 403, 407 to the extent used to show negligence; culpable conduct; a defect in a product or its design; or a need for a warning or instruction through remedial action		
1091	Plaintiff			9/4/2013	Exhibit H to the September 4, 2013 Declaration of Mary Ann T. Nguyen in support of Plaintiff LegalZoom's Motion for Summary Judgment	Incomplete, FRE 106; misleading, FRE 403 to the extent that the first page is used to show what services are included in the free trial and as to the second page		

963958.1 22 of 25

No. of Exhibit	Offering Party/Parties	Beg. Bates	End Bates	Date	Description	Non Offering Party's Objections	Date Identified	Date Admitted
1092	Plaintiff			9/4/2013	Exhibit I to the September 4, 2013 Declaration of Mary Ann T. Nguyen in support of Plaintiff LegalZoom's Motion for Summary Judgment	Incompete and misleading, FRE 106 and 403, to the extent that it is offered to show that this is the only disclosure of Rocket Lawyer's free trial terms		
1093	Plaintiff			9/4/2013	Exhibit J to the September 4, 2013 Declaration of Mary Ann T. Nguyen in support of Plaintiff LegalZoom's Motion for Summary Judgment			
1094	Plaintiff			9/4/2013	Exhibit K to the September 4, 2013 Declaration of Mary Ann T. Nguyen in support of Plaintiff LegalZoom's Motion for Summary Judgment			
1095	Plaintiff			9/4/2013	Exhibit L to the September 4, 2013 Declaration of Mary Ann T. Nguyen in support of Plaintiff LegalZoom's Motion for Summary Judgment			
1096	Plaintiff				Declaration of Mary Ann T. Nguyen in support of Plaintiff LegalZoom's Motion for Summary Judgment	Hearsay, FRE 801, Misleading, FRE 403, to the extent that it suggests that RLI charges fees outside of state processing fees or that RLI's free trial is not actually free		
1097	Plaintiff			7/21/2014	Exhibit A to the July 21, 2014 Declaration of Patricia J. Winograd in support of LegalZoom.com, Inc.'s Opposition to Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's Motion for Summary Judgment and/or Summary Adjudication	Hearsay, FRE 801, Jerry Wind's deposition transcript; incomplete and thus misleading, FRE 106 and 403		
1098	Plaintiff				Intentionally Left Blank	misicading, FRE 100 and 403		
1099	Plaintiff			7/21/2014	Exhibit B to the July 21, 2014 Declaration of Patricia J. Winograd in support of LegalZoom.com, Inc.'s Opposition to Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's Motion for Summary Judgment and/or Summary Adjudication	Misleading as to date - metadata has 7/20/2011		
1100	Plaintiff			7/21/2014	Exhibit C to the July 21, 2014 Declaration of Patricia J. Winograd in support of LegalZoom.com, Inc.'s Opposition to Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's Motion for Summary Judgment and/or Summary Adjudication			
1101	Plaintiff			7/21/2014	Exhibit D to the July 21, 2014 Declaration of Patricia J. Winograd in support of LegalZoom.com, Inc.'s Opposition to Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's Motion for Summary Judgment and/or Summary Adjudication			
1102	Plaintiff			7/21/2014	Exhibit E to the July 21, 2014 Declaration of Patricia J. Winograd in support of LegalZoom.com, Inc.'s Opposition to Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's Motion for Summary Judgment and/or Summary Adjudication			
1103	Plaintiff			7/21/2014	Exhibit F to the July 21, 2014 Declaration of Patricia J. Winograd in support of LegalZoom.com, Inc.'s Opposition to Rocket Lawyer Incorporated's Motion for Summary Judgment and/or Summary Adjudication			

963958.1 23 of 25

No. of Exhibit	Offering Party/Parties	Beg. Bates	End Bates	Date	Description	Non Offering Party's Objections	Date Identified	Date Admitted
1104	Plaintiff			7/21/2014	Exhibit G to the July 21, 2014 Declaration of Patricia J. Winograd in			
					support of LegalZoom.com, Inc.'s Opposition to Rocket Lawyer			
					Incorporated's Motion for Summary Judgment and/or Summary			
					Adjudication			
1105	Plaintiff			7/21/2014	Exhibit H to the July 21, 2014 Declaration of Patricia J. Winograd in			
					support of LegalZoom.com, Inc.'s Opposition to Rocket Lawyer			
					Incorporated's Motion for Summary Judgment and/or Summary			
					Adjudication			
1106	Plaintiff			7/21/2014	Exhibit I to the July 21, 2014 Declaration of Patricia J. Winograd in	Hearsay, FRE 801, to extent LZ		
					support of LegalZoom.com, Inc.'s Opposition to Rocket Lawyer	offers customer response to		
					Incorporated's Motion for Summary Judgment and/or Summary	show actual customer		
					Adjudication	confusion; prejudicial, FRE		
						403; irrelevant 401, 402 ("a		
						handful of customer statements		
						on one online review site is not		
						sufficient to		
						demonstrate that a 'significant		
						portion' of customers were		
						deceived and is not necessarily		
						a		
						reliable consumer survey or		
						market research" SJ Order.		
						ECF 44 AT 10.		
1107	Plaintiff			7/21/2014	Exhibit J to the July 21, 2014 Declaration of Patricia J. Winograd in	Hearsay, 801		
					support of LegalZoom.com, Inc.'s Opposition to Rocket Lawyer			
					Incorporated's Motion for Summary Judgment and/or Summary			
					Adjudication			
1108	Plaintiff			7/21/2014	Exhibit K to the July 21, 2014 Declaration of Patricia J. Winograd in	Hearsay, 801, Relevance, FRE		
					support of LegalZoom.com, Inc.'s Opposition to Rocket Lawyer	402; prejudicial, FRE 403.		
					Incorporated's Motion for Summary Judgment and/or Summary	This version of the Goedde		
					Adjudication	report has been expressly		
						superseded by the 2nd		
						supplemental report		
1109	Plaintiff	RLI0005744	RLI0005746	5/18/2011	Email between John Whelan and Matt Kaufman re Advertisement of	Hearsay, FRE 801, to extent LZ		
					Rocket Lawyer On Call	offers customer response to		
						show actual customer		
						confusion; prejudicial, FRE		
						403; irrelevant 401, 402 ("a		
						handful of customer statements		
						on one online review site is not		
						sufficient to		
						demonstrate that a 'significant		
						portion' of customers were		
						deceived and is not necessarily		
						a		
						reliable consumer survey or		
						market research" SJ Order.		
						ECF 44 AT 10.		
1110	Plaintiff				Demonstrative Evidence			

963958.1 24 of 25

No. of Exhibit	Offering	Beg. Bates	End Bates	Date	Description	Non Offering Party's	Date Identified	Date Admitted
	Party/Parties					Objections		
1111	Plaintiff				Demonstrative Evidence			
1112	Plaintiff				Demonstrative Evidence			
1113	Plaintiff				Demonstrative Evidence			
1114	Plaintiff				Demonstrative Evidence			
1115	Plaintiff				Demonstrative Evidence			

963958.1 25 of 25