

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Glaser Weil Fink Jacobs
Howard Avchen & Shapiro LLP

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
WESTERN DIVISION

LEGALZOOM.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROCKET LAWYER INCORPORATED,
a Delaware corporation,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: CV 12-9942-GAF (AGR_x)

Hon. Gary A. Feess
Courtroom: 740

**[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT
GRANTING PLAINTIFF
LEGALZOOM.COM, INC.'S
MOTION FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT**

1 Plaintiff LegalZoom.com, Inc.’s (“Plaintiff”) Motion for Summary Judgment
2 came on for hearing before this Court on October 7, 2013 at 9:30 a.m., Hon. Gary A.
3 Feess, District Judge presiding. Appearances by counsel for both parties are noted for
4 the record.

5 After considering the moving, opposition and reply briefs, all supporting
6 evidence and arguments of counsel, and all other matters presented to the Court, this
7 Court finds that:

8 Plaintiff has demonstrated that there are no genuine issues of material fact as to
9 the liability element of its false advertising claim under the Lanham Act and false
10 advertising and unfair competition claims under California Business and Professions
11 Code Sections 17500 *et seq.* and 17200 *et seq.*, leaving only the computation of
12 damages to be determined at trial.

13 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

14 Plaintiff’s motion for summary judgment is GRANTED.

15 Or, in the alternative,

16 Plaintiff’s motion for summary judgment is GRANTED as to the liability
17 element of Plaintiff’s following claims: [FIRST – Lanham Act False Advertising]
18 [SECOND – California False Advertising] [THIRD – California Unfair Competition].
19
20

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22
23 DATED: _____

HON. GARY A. FEES
United States District Judge