

This action came on for trial before the Court and the jury on October 9, 2018, in Courtroom 7B of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Honorable André Birotte, Jr. presiding. The plaintiffs, Adela Ramirez and Vallarta Food Enterprises, Inc., were represented by Frank J. D'Oro and David M. Ferrante of Wesierski & Zurek LLP. The defendant, ITW Food Equipment Group LLC, was represented by Jan Dodd of Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP, Andrew Cox of Thompson Hine LLP, and Ralph Campillo of Mintz Levin Cohn Ferris Glovsky and Popeo.

A jury of eight persons was regularly impaneled and sworn. Witnesses were sworn and testified. After hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel, the jury was duly instructed by the Court, and the cause was submitted to the jury with directions to return a special verdict. The jury deliberated and thereafter, on October 18, 2018, returned to the Court its special verdict on a Special Verdict Form, filed on the docket in this matter as ECF No. 268, which specifically provides as follows:

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

We answer the questions submitted to us as follows:

ADELA RAMIREZ'S CLAIM FOR STRICT LIABILITY

1. Did ITW Food Equipment Group, LLC ("ITW FEG") manufacture, distribute or sell the mixer/grinder on which plaintiff Adela Ramirez was injured?

X	Yes	No

If your answer to Question 1 is yes, then answer Question 2. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

1	2. Was the mixer/grinder misused or modified after it left ITW FEG's
2	possession in a way that was so highly extraordinary that it was not
3	reasonably foreseeable to it?
4	X
5	If your answer to Question 2 is yes, then answer Question 3. If you answered
6	no, skip Question 3 and answer Question 4.
7	
8	3. Was the misuse or modification the sole cause of Adela Ramirez's harm?
9	Yes No
10	If your answer to Question 3 is no, then answer Question 4. If you answered
11	yes, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date
12	this form.
13	
14	4. Is the mixer/grinder one about which an ordinary consumer can form
15	reasonable minimum safety expectations?
16	X Yes No
17	If your answer to Question 4 is yes, then answer Question 5. If your answer is
18	no, skip Question 5 and Answer Question 6.
19	
20 21	5. Did the mixer/grinder fail to perform as safely as an ordinary consumer
21	would have expected when used or misused in a reasonably foreseeable way?
22	XNo
22 23 24 25 26 27 28	Regardless of your answer to Question 5, answer Question 6.
24	
25	6. Did the risk of the mixer/grinder's design outweigh the benefits of the
26	design?
27	Yes No
28	If your answer to either Question 5 or Question 6 is yes, then answer Question
	- 3 -

1	7. If you answered no to both Question 5 and Question 6, then, stop here, answer no
2	further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.
3	
4	7. Was the mixer/grinder's design a substantial factor in causing harm to Adela
5	Ramirez?
6	Yes No
7	If your answer to Question 7 is yes, then answer Question 8. If you answered
8	no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and
9	date this form.
10	
11	DAMAGES OF THE PROPERTY OF TH
12	8. What are Vallarta Food Enterprises, Inc.'s ("Vallarta") total damages?
13	a. Past economic loss
14	Benefits paid to or on behalf of Adela
15	Ramirez: \$
16	O What are Adala Daminaz's total damages?
17 18	9. What are Adela Ramirez's total damages?
10	a. Past economic loss
20	Past lost earnings \$ b. Future economic loss
$\begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ 21 \end{bmatrix}$	
$\begin{bmatrix} 21\\22 \end{bmatrix}$	Future lost earnings \$ Future medical expenses: \$
23	c. Past noneconomic loss, including physical
24	pain/mental suffering/loss of enjoyment/
25	disfigurement/physical impairment
26	/inconvenience/grief/anxiety/humiliation/
27	emotional distress:
28	d. Future noneconomic loss, including
	_ 4 -

1	physical pain/mental suffering/loss of		
2		enjoyment/ disfigurement/physical	
3		impairment /inconvenience/grief/anxiety	
4		/humiliation/emotional distress:	
5		TOTAL \$	
6			
7			
8		If Adela Ramirez has proved any damages, answer Question 10. If Adela	
9	Ramirez has not proved any damages, then stop here, answer no further questions,		
10	and h	nave the presiding juror sign and date this form.	
11			
12	ITW FEG'S CLAIM OF CONTRIBUTORY NEGLIGENCE		
13	10.	Was Adela Ramirez negligent?	
14		Yes No	
15		If your answer to Question 10 is yes, then answer Question 11. If you	
16	answered no, go to Question 12.		
17			
18	11.	Was Adela Ramirez's negligence a substantial factor in causing her harm?	
19		Yes No	
20		Regardless of your answer to Question 11, answer Question 12.	
21			
22	12.	Was Vallarta negligent?	
23		Yes No	
24		If your answer to Question 12 is yes, then answer Question 13. If you	
25	answ	rered no, go to Question 14.	
26			
27	13.	Was Vallarta's negligence a substantial factor in causing harm to Adela	
28		Ramirez?	
		- 5 -	

1	Yes No
2	Now answer Question 14.
3	
4	14. What percentage of responsibility for Adela Ramirez's harm do you assign
5	to:
6	ITW FOOD EQUIPMENT GROUP, LLC:%
7	VALLARTA FOOD ENTERPRISES, INC.:%
8	ADELA RAMIREZ:%
9	TOTAL 100%
10	
11	Signed: /s/ Presiding Juror Date: Date:
12	Presiding Juroi
13	After the verdict form has been signed, notify the clerk that you are ready to
14	present your verdict in the courtroom.
15	****
16	
17	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the plaintiffs,
18	Adela Ramirez and Vallarta Food Enterprises, Inc., take nothing; that the action be
19	dismissed on the merits; and that the defendant, ITW Food Equipment Group LLC,
20	have judgment. The defendant, ITW Food Equipment Group LLC, has agreed to
21	waive its costs, and the plaintiffs, Adela Ramirez and Vallarta Food Enterprises, Inc.,
22	have agreed to forgo any appeal of the judgment.
23	
24	(d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d
25	Dated: The Honorable André Birotte Jr.
26	
27	
28	

- 6 -