FLED CLEWK U.S. DISTRICT COURT

**DEC** 1 6 2013 STUART LIBICKI, Esq. (SBN 57626) SCHWARTZ, STEÍNSÁPIR. 2 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA **DOHRMANN & SOMMERS LLP** 6300 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 2000 Los Angeles, CA 90048-5268 Telephone: (323) 655-4700 - Facsimile: (323) 655-4488 4 sl@ssdslaw.com 5 WILLIAM T. PAYNE (SBN 90988) PAMINA EWING (PA Bar # 59244) 6 FEINSTEIN DOYLE PAYNE & KRAVEC, LLC 429 Forbes Avenue, 17th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Phone: (412) 281-8400 - Fax: (412) 281-1007 wpayne@stemberfeinstein.com 10 pewing@stemberfeinstein.com 11 Arthur Grebow, Esq. (SBN 41374) GREBOW & RUBIN LLP 12 16133 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 260 Encino, CA 91436 13 Phone: 818-783-1100 - Fax: (818) 783-1103 agrebow@grebowrubinlaw.com 14 15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 16 WESTERN DIVISION 17 CASE NO.: 2:12-CY-10214-SVW-MAN NATHANIEL WILSON, et al., 18 †PROPOSED-AMÉNDEDÍ FINDINGS 19 Plaintiffs. AND ORDER PRELIMINARILY VS. **CERTIFYING A CLASS FOR** 20 SETTLEMENT PURPOSES THE MILL GROUP INC., et al., PRELIMINARILY APPROVING 21 PROPOSED CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT, APPROVING FORM AND MANNER OF CLASS NOTICE, Defendants. 22 AND SETTING DATE FOR 23 HEARING ON FINAL APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND AWARD OF 24 ATTORNEYS' FEES AND **EXPENSES AND CASE** 25 **CONTRIBUTION AWARDS** 26 Date: September 9, 2013 Time: 1:30 p.m. 27 Place: Courtroom 6 28

This class action involves claims for alleged violation of the California Labor Code and the California Business and Professions Code, and for conversion. Plaintiffs seek recovery of wages and penalties from Defendants The Mill Group, Inc. dba The Mill ("the Mill") and The Churchill Benefit Corporation dba Yurcor ("Yurcor").

The terms of the Settlement are set out in the Second Amended Settlement Agreement, dated December 11, 2013 (the "Agreement" or "Settlement Agreement"), executed by counsel on behalf of Plaintiffs and Defendants.

The Court has preliminarily considered the Settlement to determine, among other things, whether the Settlement is sufficient to warrant the issuance of notice to members of the Settlement Class. Upon reviewing the Settlement Agreement, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED as follows:

- 1. Class Findings: Solely for the purposes of the Settlement, the Court finds that the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States Constitution, the Rules of the Court and any other applicable law have been met as to the Settlement Class defined below, in that:
- (a) The Court preliminarily finds that, as required by FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a)(1), the Settlement Class is ascertainable from records kept by Defendants, and the members of the Settlement Class are so numerous that their joinder before the Court would be impracticable.
- (b) The Court preliminarily finds that, as required by FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a)(2), there are one or more questions of fact and/or law common to the Settlement Class.

<sup>1</sup> Capitalized terms not otherwise defined in this Order shall have the same meaning as ascribed to them in the Settlement Agreement.

(c) The Court preliminarily finds that, as required by FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a)(3), the claims of the Named Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class.

- (d) The Court preliminarily finds, as required by FED. R. CIV. P. 23(a)(4), that the Named Plaintiffs who are participants in the Plans and members of the Settlement Class will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Settlement Class in that (i) the interests of the Named Plaintiffs and the nature of their alleged claims are consistent with those of the members of the Settlement Class, (ii) there appear to be no conflicts between Named Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class, and (iii) the Named Plaintiffs and the members of the Settlement Class are represented by qualified, reputable counsel who are experienced in preparing and prosecuting class actions of this type.
- (e) The Court preliminarily finds that, as required by FED. R. CIV. P. 23(b)(1), the prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Settlement Class would create a risk of (i) inconsistent or varying adjudications as to individual class members that would establish incompatible standards of conduct for the parties opposing the claims asserted in this action, or (ii) adjudications as to individual class members that would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of the other members not parties to the adjudications, or substantially impair or impede those persons' ability to protect their interests.
- (f) The Court preliminarily finds that as required by FED. R. CIV. P. 23(b)(3), Named Plaintiffs' claims are based on allegations that Defendants, through their alleged scheme to willfully misclassify and treat Class Members as independent contractors and/or unlawfully deduct "Yurcor's overhead costs" (employer payroll taxes) from Class Members' wages earned as employees of Defendants pose questions of law and/or fact common to class members predominating over questions affecting only individual members, and that a class

action is superior to other available methods for fairly and efficiently adjudicating the controversy.

- (g) The Court preliminarily finds that, as required by FED. R. CIV. P. 23(g), Class Counsel are capable of fairly and adequately representing the interests of the Settlement Class, in that Class Counsel have done extensive work identifying or investigating potential claims in the action, Class Counsel are experienced in handling class actions and claims; Class Counsel are knowledgeable of the applicable law; and Class Counsel have committed the necessary resources to represent the Settlement Class.
- 2. Class Certification The Court certifies the following Settlement Class under FED. R. CIV. P. 23(b)(1) and FED. R. CIV. P. 23(b)(2):

All individuals who on or after September 14, 2009 and at any time up to and including March 14, 2013 worked or performed and completed services in California for The Mill Group, Inc. ("Mill") in the preproduction, production or post-production processes of motion pictures, television programs advertising, game art or other visual content, as to whom The Churchill Benefit Corporation dba Yurcor ("Yurcor") performed services for the Mill and/or the respective individual (for example, payroll processing services, "Employer of Record" services or "Yurcor's third party payroll services program").

The Court appoints the Named Plaintiffs as class representatives for the Settlement Class and appoints Schwartz, Steinsapir, Dohrmann & Sommers LLP, Grebow and Rubin LLP, and Stember Feinstein Doyle Payne & Kravec, LLC as Class Class Counsel for the Plaintiffs in the Action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(g).

3. Preliminary Findings Regarding Proposed Settlement — The Court preliminarily finds that (i) the proposed Settlement resulted from extensive arms'-length negotiations, (ii) the Settlement Agreement was executed only after Class Counsel had conducted extensive investigation into the facts and merits of their claims, (iii) Class Counsel have diligently investigated and aggressively litigated this case to be fully apprised of the strengths and weaknesses of the Named Plaintiffs' claims, and (iv) the proposed Settlement is sufficiently fair, reasonable, and adequate to warrant sending notice of the proposed Settlement to the Settlement Class.

- **4.** Fairness Hearing A hearing is scheduled for April 21, 2014 at (the "Fairness Hearing") to determine, among other things:
- Whether the Settlement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate;
- Whether the Action should be dismissed with prejudice pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement;
- Whether the notice, summary notice and notice method proposed by the Parties (i) constitutes the best practicable notice, (ii) constitutes notice reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise members of the Settlement Class of the pendency of the litigation, their right to object to the Settlement, and their right to appear at the Fairness Hearing, (iii) are reasonable and constitute due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to notice, and (iv) meet all applicable requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any other applicable law;
- Whether Class Counsel adequately represented the Settlement Class for purposes of entering into and implementing the Settlement;
- Whether the proposed Plan of Allocation should be ordered by this Court for implementation; and

- Whether any application(s) for attorneys' fees and expenses and compensation to Named Plaintiffs is fair and reasonable and should be approved. Plaintiffs shall file their motion for final approval of the Settlement, attorney's fees, costs and case contribution awards by March 24, 2014. Further, at least ten (10) days prior to the Fairness Hearing, Class Counsel will file with the Court a supplemental declaration certifying that they have the distributed the Notice in full compliance with this Order. Further, Class Counsel shall also apprise the Court of any objections that have been filed to date.
- 5. Settlement Class Notice The Parties have presented to the Court a proposed form of Class Notice, appended hereto as Exhibit A. The Court finds that such form fairly and adequately (a) describes the terms and effect of the Settlement Agreement and the Plan of Allocation, (b) notifies the Settlement Class that Class Counsel will seek attorneys' fees from the Settlement Fund not to exceed 25% of the Settlement Fund plus litigation costs of approximately \$10,000, reimbursement of expenses, and compensation up to \$1,500 for each of the Named Plaintiffs, (c) gives notice to the Settlement Class of the time and place of the Fairness Hearing, and (d) describes how the recipients of the Class Notice may object to any of the relief requested. The Parties have proposed the following manner of communicating the notice to members of the Settlement Class, and the Court finds that such proposed manner is the best notice practicable under the circumstances. Accordingly, the Court directs that Class Counsel shall:
- By no later than January 14, 2014, cause the Class Notice, with such non-substantive modifications thereto as may be agreed upon by the Parties, to be mailed, by first-class mail, postage prepaid, to the last known address of each member of the Settlement Class who can be identified by reasonable effort. Individual Defendants (if it has not already done so) shall use commercially

27

3

4

5

6

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

To Named Plaintiffs Co-Lead Settlement Class Counsel:

1	Arthur Jeffrey Grebow
2	Grebow and Rubin LLP
3	16133 Ventura Boulevard Suite 260
4	Encino, CA 91436
5	818-783-1100
6	Fax: 818-783-1103
7	Email: agrebow@grebowrubinlaw.com
8	
9	Pamina Ewing
10	Stember Feinstein Doyle Payne and Kravec LLC
11	1705 Allegheny Building
12	429 Forbes Avenue 17th Floor
13	Pittsburgh, PA 15219
14	412-281-8400
15	Fax: 412-281-1007
16	Email: pewing@stemberfeinstein.com
17	
18	Stuart Libicki
19	Schwartz Steinsapir Dohrmann and Sommers LLP
20	6300 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 2000
21	Los Angeles, CA 90048-5268
22	323-655-4700
23	Fax: 323-655-4488
24	Email: sl@ssdslaw.com
25	
26	William T Payne
27	Stember Feinstein Doyle Payne and Kravec LLC
28	

1	Allegheny Building
2	429 Forbes Avenue 17th Floor
3	Pittsburgh, PA 15219
4	412-281-8400
5	Fax: 412-492-8978
6	Email: wpayne@stemberfeinstein.com
7	
8	To Defendant's Counsel:
9	Michele J Beilke
10	Julia Yenha Trankiem
11	Reed Smith LLP
12	355 South Grand Avenue Suite 2900
13	Los Angeles, CA 90071
14	213-457-8000
15	Fax: 213-457-8080
16	Email: mbeilke@reedsmith.com
17	
18	
19	Gregory V Mersol
20	Sabrina L Shadi
21	Baker and Hostetler LLP
22	12100 Wilshire Boulevard 15th Floor
23	Los Angeles, CA 90025-7120
24	310-820-8800
25	Fax: 310-820-8859
26	Email: sshadi@bakerlaw.com
27	
28	

The objector or his, her, or its counsel (if any) must effect service of copies of the objection on counsel listed above and file it with the Court by no later than February 28, 2014. If an objector hires an attorney to represent him, her, or it for the purposes of making such objection pursuant to this paragraph, the attorney must both effect service of a notice of appearance on counsel listed above and file it with the Court by no later than February 28, 2014. Any member of the Settlement Class or other Person who does not timely file and serve a written objection complying with the terms of this paragraph shall be deemed to have waived, and shall be foreclosed from raising, any objection to the Settlement, and any untimely objection shall be barred.

- 7. Appearance at Fairness Hearing Any objector who files and serves a timely, written objection in accordance with paragraph 6 above may also appear at the Fairness Hearing either in person or through counsel retained at the objector's expense. Objectors or their attorneys intending to appear at the Fairness Hearing must effect service of a notice of intention to appear setting forth, among other things, the name, address, and telephone number of the objector (and, if applicable, the name, address, and telephone number of the objector's attorney) on Class Counsel and Defendants' counsel (at the addresses set out above) and file it with the Court by no later than March 17, 2014. Any objector who does not timely file and serve a notice of intention to appear in accordance with this paragraph shall not be permitted to appear at the Fairness Hearing, except for good cause shown.
- 8. Notice Expenses The expenses of printing and mailing and publishing all notices required hereby shall be paid from the Settlement Fund.
- 9. Service of Papers Defendants' counsel and Class Counsel shall promptly furnish each other with copies of any and all objections that come into their possession.

- 10. Termination of Settlement This Order shall become null and void, and shall be without prejudice to the rights of the Parties, all of whom shall be restored to their respective positions existing immediately before this Court entered this Order as well as the Tentative Settlement entered on March 14, 2013, if the Settlement is terminated in accordance with the Settlement Agreement.
- admission, concession, or declaration by or against Defendants of any finding of fiduciary status, fault, wrongdoing, breach, or liability. This Order shall not be construed or used as an admission, concession, or declaration by or against Named Plaintiffs or the Settlement Class that their claims lack merit or that the relief requested in the Action is inappropriate, improper or unavailable, or as a waiver by any party of any arguments, defenses, or claims he, she, or it may have, including, but not limited to, any objections by Defendants to class certification in the event that the Settlement Agreement is terminated.
- 12. Jurisdiction The Court hereby retains jurisdiction for purposes of implementing this Settlement, and reserves the power to enter additional orders to effectuate the fair and orderly administration and consummation of the Settlement as may from time to time be appropriate and to resolve any and all disputes arising thereunder.
- 13. Continuance of Hearing The Court reserves the right to continue the Fairness Hearing without further written notice.

  SO ORDERED this // day of // , 2013.

HONESTEPHEN V. WILSON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

ID. #