1 2

3

5

4

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

25

26

27

28

be remanded for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. Defendant 19 20 21 22 23 2.4

removed this employment action to this court on the basis of diversity jurisdiction. Defendant's calculation of the amount in controversy is based upon a speculative projection of \$79,000 in lost wages at the time of a hypothetical future trial date. (Notice of Removal at 6-8.) At the time of removal, however,

MARISELA VEGA, an

THE COCA-COLA COMPANY, a

Delaware corporation,

individual,

Plaintiff's alleged lost wages totaled less than \$16,000. therefore not clear to the court that the amount in controversy in this matter exceeds \$75,000, as is required to establish diversity

jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332.

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Defendant is ordered to show cause why this action should not

Case No. CV 13-00983 DDP (AGRx)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: AMOUNT IN

CONTROVERSY

Accordingly, Defendant is ordered to file a brief, not to 2 exceed ten pages, by Monday, June 10, 2013 showing a nonspeculative reason why this action should not be remanded for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. Defendant shall also deliver a courtesy copy to chambers, Room 244-J, Second Floor, 312 N. Spring Street, Los Angeles. Failure to file a brief in accordance with this Order will be deemed consent to remand of this action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 31, 2013

United States District Judge