26

27

28

FILED

## 2013 APR 18 PM 5: 16

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF. RIXERSIDE

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CANTERBURY LOTS 68, LLC, Plaintiff,

VS.

BENJAMIN DELGADILLO, et

Defendants.

Case No. CV 13-2201-UA (DUTYx)

ORDER SUMMARILY REMANDING IMPROPERLY-REMOVED ACTION

The Court will remand this unlawful detainer action to state court summarily because defendant removed it improperly.

On March 27, 2013, defendant Janine Delgadillo, having been sued in what appears to be a routine unlawful detainer action in California Superior Court, lodged a Notice of Removal of that action to this Court, and also presented an application to proceed in forma pauperis. The Court has denied the latter application under separate cover because the action was not properly removed. To prevent the action from remaining in jurisdictional limbo, the Court issues this Order to remand the action to state court.

Simply stated, plaintiff could not have brought this action in federal court in the first place, in that defendant does not competently allege facts supplying either

diversity or federal question jurisdiction, and therefore removal is improper. 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a); see Exxon Mobil Corp v. Allapattah Svcs., Inc., 545 U.S. 546, 563, 125 S. Ct. 2611, 162 L. Ed. 2d 502 (2005). Here, defendant has asserted both federal question and diversity jurisdiction as her basis for removal. But as described in more detail in the Order Denying Defendant's Request to Proceed Without Prepayment of Filing Fee, because the unlawful detainer action to be removed does not actually raise any federal claim, and because the amount in controversy does not exceed \$75,000 and there is no allegation of diversity of citizenship, there is no basis to assert either federal question or diversity jurisdiction. See 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332, 1441.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that: (1) this matter be REMANDED to the Superior Court of California, Los Angeles County, Northeast District, 300 East Walnut Street, Pasadena, CA 91101, for lack of subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c); (2) that the Clerk send a certified copy of this Order to the state court; and (3) that the Clerk serve copies of this Order on the parties.

DATED: 4/15/2013

HONORABLE GEORGE II. KING CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE HONORABLE DEALD PREGIERSON