Case 2:13-cv-02501-JVS-E Document 9 Filed 07/23/13 Page 1 of 6 Page ID #:72

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STA	ATES DISTRICT COURT
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	HEATH D. LAURIE,) NO. CV 13-2501-JVS(E)
12	Petitioner,	
13	V.) REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF
14	CONRAD GRABER,) UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
15		
16	Respondent.	
17	··	
18	This Report and Recommendation is submitted to the Honorable	
19	James V. Selna, United States District Judge, pursuant to 28 U.S.C.	
20	section 636 and General Order 05-07 of the United States District	
21	Court for the Central District of California.	
22		
23	P	PROCEEDINGS
24		
25	Petitioner filed a "Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28	
26	U.S.C. Section 2241" on April 9, 2013. Respondent filed an Answer or	
27	May 6, 2013. Petitioner failed to file a Reply within the allotted	
28	time.	

Case 2:13-cv-02501-JVS-E Document 9 Filed 07/23/13 Page 2 of 6 Page ID #:73

The Magistrate Judge then ordered Petitioner to file a Reply within twenty (20) days of June 17, 2013. The Magistrate Judge's Order cautioned: "Failure timely to do so may result in the denial and dismissal of the Petition." Nevertheless, Petitioner again failed to file a Reply within the allotted time.

DISCUSSION

9 The Petition should be denied and dismissed without prejudice for 10 failure to prosecute. Petitioner has failed to file a timely Reply, 11 despite a court order that Petitioner do so. The Court has inherent 12 power to achieve the orderly and expeditious disposition of cases by 13 dismissing actions for failure to prosecute. Link v. Wabash R.R., 370 14 U.S. 626, 629-30 (1962); see Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

RECOMMENDATION

For all of the foregoing reasons, IT IS RECOMMENDED that the Court issue an Order: (1) accepting and adopting this Report and Recommendation; and (2) directing that Judgment be entered denying and dismissing the Petition without prejudice.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

15

16

17

6

7

8

DATED: July 23, 2013.

/S/______CHARLES F. EICK UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2

1 NOTICE

Reports and Recommendations are not appealable to the Court of
Appeals, but may be subject to the right of any party to file
objections as provided in the Local Rules Governing the Duties of
Magistrate Judges and review by the District Judge whose initials
appear in the docket number. No notice of appeal pursuant to the
Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure should be filed until entry of
the judgment of the District Court.

- 1

- ΤŪ

- _

- ~

- _ .