Ш

1			
2			
3		DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE	
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	ΙΙΝΙΤΕΝ ΟΤΑΤΕς Ι	DISTRICT COURT	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	WESTERN DIVISION (LOS ANGELES)		
11			
12	JONATHAN SCHWARTZ and	Case No.: CV13-03305-ABC (VBKx)	
13	JENNIFER JEFFERS-SCHWARTZ,	[Action Filed: January 24, 2013]	
14	individuals,	[PROPOSED] ORDER ON	
15	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATED PROTECTIVE	
16	VS.	ORDER	
17		Judge: Hon. Audrey B. Collins	
18	SMITH & NEPHEW, INC., a Delaware Corporation, and DOES 1 to 100,	Courtroom: 680	
19	inclusive,		
20	Defendants.		
21			
22	Plaintiffs Jonathan Schwartz and Jennifer Jeffers-Schwartz ("Plaintiffs") and defendant Smith & Nephew, Inc. ("Defendant") having stipulated and good		
23			
24	cause appearing therefor,		
25	///		
26			
27			
28			
		1	
	[PROPOSED] ORDER ON STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER Dockets.Justia.com		

1	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil		
2	Procedure 26(c), the Stipulated Protective Order agreed to by Plaintiffs and		
3	Defendant, through their counsel of record, is entered by the Court.		
4	DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.		
5			
6	Para 15: Wrong Court. Must follow L.R. 79-5.		
7			
8	Para 26: Court will not retain jurisdiction after termination of action.		
9	Para 27: Delete OSC procedure.		
10	Parties may submit an amended Proposed Protection Order separate from an		
11	amended Stipulation.		
12 13	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
13			
15			
16	Dated: February 13, 2014 /s/ Honorable Victor B. Kenton		
17	United States Magistrate Judge		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	2		
	[PROPOSED] ORDER ON STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER		