1		0
2		
3		
4	United States District Court	
5	Central District of California	
6		
7	JORGE AVILA,	Case No. 2:13-cv-03570-ODW(JCGx)
8	Plaintiff,	
9	V.	ORDER RE. DEFICIENT
10	CITY OF SANTA MONICA, SCOTT	STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS IN SUPPORT OF
11	MATSUDA, GEORGE MENDEZ,	DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR
12	SCOTT McGOWAN, MARILYN	SUMMARY JUDGMENT [27]
13	AMIACHE and Does 1-10, inclusive,	
14	Defendants.	
15		
16		-

On March 3, 2014, Defendants filed a Motion for Summary Judgment on Jorge
Avila's claims for negligence and municipal liability. (ECF No. 27.) Defendants'
proffered statement of undisputed facts (SUF) is grossly deficient. Local Rule 56-1
provides in relevant part,

A party filing a notice of motion for summary judgment or partial summary judgment shall lodge a proposed "Statement of Uncontroverted Facts and Conclusions of Law." Such proposed statement *shall set forth the material facts as to which the moving party contends there is no genuine dispute.*

26 (emphasis added).

21

22

23

24

25

Defendants' proffered SUF provides no material facts at all. Plaintiff Jorge Avila's opposing SUF outlines in detail the altercation between Avila and Defendant Officer Matsuda. (ECF No. 28.) In contrast, Defendants paltry eight "facts" merely
 iterate unsubstantiated legal conclusions regarding Defendants' liability. For example,
 Defendants' SUF number 6 states "Plaintiff has failed to adequately plead a Monell
 Claim against the City in his Fourth Cause of Action." (ECF No. 27.) This is not
 what the local rules envision. Defendants are required by the local rules to provide the
 court with a proposed statement of uncontroverted *facts*.

Accordingly, Defendants are **ORDERED** to file an amended SUF with the Court no later than **Friday, March 14, 2014**. Further failure to comply with the Local Rules may subject the parties to sanctions within this Court's discretion. L.R. 83-7. **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

March 12, 2014

OTIS D. WRIGHT, II UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE