

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHANDRA JACKSON,)	Case No. CV 13-3925-PJW
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER
v.)	
)	
CAROLYN W. COLVIN,)	
ACTING COMMISSIONER OF THE)	
SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff appeals a decision by Defendant Social Security Administration ("the Agency"), denying her application for Supplemental Security Income ("SSI"). She claims that the Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") erred when he found that she could perform certain jobs identified by the vocational expert. For the reasons discussed below, the Court affirms the ALJ's decision.

II. DISCUSSION

This case is back before the Court following remand to the Agency for further proceedings. In those further proceedings, the ALJ determined that Plaintiff had the residual functional capacity to perform work that required frequent handling and fingering and only

1 brief or superficial contact with the general public that was
2 incidental to the work performed. (AR 341.) Relying on a vocational
3 expert's testimony, the ALJ found that Plaintiff could perform the
4 jobs of garment folder, shoe packer, and advanced material
5 distributor. (AR 350.)

6 Plaintiff takes exception to this finding. She argues that she
7 cannot perform the jobs of garment folder or shoe packer because,
8 according to the Dictionary of Occupational Titles ("DOT"), they
9 require constant handling and fingering. And she contends that she
10 cannot perform the job of advanced material distributor because that
11 job requires extensive public contact. (Joint Stip. at 6-9.)

12 The Agency all but concedes that the ALJ erred when he determined
13 that Plaintiff could perform the garment folder and shoe packer jobs
14 because they require constant reaching and fingering and Plaintiff is
15 limited to frequent reaching and fingering. (Joint Stip. at 9.) It
16 argues, however, that Plaintiff is capable of performing the advanced
17 material distributor job despite a limitation on contact with the
18 public and, therefore, any error by the ALJ was harmless. (Joint Stip.
19 at 9-10.)

20 It appears that the Agency is right. The advanced material
21 distributor job involves distributing things like handbills and/or
22 coupons from house to house, business to business, or to people on the
23 street. (DOT No. 230.687-010.) According to the DOT, it does not
24 involve any significant dealings with people. (DOT No. 230.687-010.)

25 Plaintiff contends that it is possible that, while handing out
26 handbills to people on the street, she could be confronted by someone
27 who was angry at her for handing them a handbill. (Joint Stip. at 7.)
28

