28

1 0 2 FILED
CLERK, U.S.D.C. SOUTHERN DIVISION 3 I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS DOCUMENT WAS SERVED BY OCT 23 2013 FIRST CLASS MAIL. POSTAGE PREPAID, TO ALL GOUNSELE TT 4 (OR PARTIES) AT THEIR RESPECTIVE MOST RECENT ADDRESS OF RECORD IN THIS ACTION ON THIS DATE. 5 10-23-13 6 DEPUTY CLERK 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 GABRIEL MANGUM, Case No. CV 13-4276-MWF (RNB) 12 Petitioner, ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS 13 AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED STATES VS. 14 MAGISTRATE JUDGE RICHARD B. IVES, Warden, 15 16 Respondent. 17 18 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, records 19 on file herein, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. Further, the Court has engaged in a de novo review of those portions of the 20 Report and Recommendation to which objections have been made. The Court accepts 21 22 the findings and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. 23 The magistrate judge correctly determined that petitioner has not 24 exhausted his administrative remedies. Petitioner objects that he has completed the 25 third stage of review, and in his objections offers an Exhibit A as proof. The Court rejects petitioner's objection for two reasons: First, this evidence was not presented 26 27 to the magistrate judge. Second, even if this Court accepted petitioner's new

evidence, his Exhibit A is a further admission that the fourth stage of his

1	administrative remedies is not completed.
2	IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that (1) respondent's Motion to
3	Dismiss is granted; and (2) Judgment be entered dismissing this action without
4	prejudice.
5	and wife of
6	Michael W. Eugenale
7	DATED: October 22, 2013
8	MICHAEL W. FITZGERALD UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
. 9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20 21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
- 11	