## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## **CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL**

| Case No.  | CV 13-4312   | CV 13-4312 FMO (PJWx)                                    |              |                                   | August 28, | 2013 |  |
|---|--|--|--------------|-----------------------------------|------------|------|--|
| Title   | Speculative F  | Speculative Product Design LLC v. Ulises Martinez et al. |              |                                   |            |      |  |
|   |  |  |              |                                   |            |      |  |
| Present: The Honorable Fernando M. Olguin, United States District Judge   |  |  |              |                                   |            |      |  |
| i rosont. I   | Julieta Lozar  |  | None Present |                                   |            |      |  |
| Deputy Cler   |  |  |              | Court Reporter / Recorder         |            |      |  |
| Attorneys Present for   |  |  |              | Attorneys Present for Defendants: |            |      |  |
|   | None Pres  |  | •            | None Present                      |            |      |  |
| Proceedings: (In Chambers) Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal Re: Lack of Prosecution  |  |  |              |                                   |            | of   |  |
| Absent a showing of good cause, an action must be dismissed without prejudice if the summons and complaint are not served on a defendant within 120 days after the complaint is filed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). Generally, a defendant must answer the complaint within 21 days after service (60 days if the defendant is the United States). Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a). The court may dismiss the action prior to the 120 days, however, if plaintiff(s) has/have not diligently prosecuted the action.                          |  |  |              |                                   |            |      |  |
| In the present case, it appears that one or more of these time periods has not been met. Accordingly, the court, on its own motion, orders plaintiff(s) to show cause in writing on or before <b>September 6, 2013</b> , why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 78(b), the court finds that this matter is appropriate for submission without oral argument. The Order to Show Cause will stand submitted upon the filing of plaintiff's response, if plaintiff files |  |  |              |                                   |            |      |  |
| □ Proc  | Proof(s) of service of summons and complaint on the following defendant(s):                      |  |              |                                   |            |      |  |
| □ An a  | nswer by the following defendant(s):   |  |              |                                   |            |      |  |
|   | Plaintiff's application for entry of default pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(a):  Ulises Martinez |  |              |                                   |            |      |  |
| □ Plair   | Plaintiff's motion for default judgment pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(b):                       |  |              |                                   |            |      |  |
| on or before the date indicated above, the court will consider this a satisfactory response to the Order to Show Cause.   |  |  |              |                                   |            |      |  |
|   |  |  |              |                                   | 00 :       | 00   |  |
| Initials of Preparer jloz   |  |  |              |                                   |            |      |  |