[REVISED PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING A PERMANENT INJUNCTION

Dockets.Justia.com

7

10 11

12

13

17 18

19 20

21 22

24

23

25 26

27

14 15 16

Based upon a review of the pleadings, declarations, evidence presented, and the Stipulation filed by Plaintiff MAGPUL INDUSTRIES, CORP. ("MAGPUL") and Defendants FRANK TRAN, FLUX CREATIONS, and non-party KELVIN TRAN (collectively "DEFENDANTS"), this Court makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

- MAGPUL and DEFENDANTS ("Parties") have jointly filed a Stipulation, seeking entry of this Permanent Injunction. The Parties are requesting this Order in accordance with the terms of a Confidential Settlement Agreement that the Parties have each signed and agreed to, with the advice of counsel. The Parties have agreed to all of the terms for this Permanent Injunction. They have requested the Court enter this Order granting the Permanent Injunction in order to satisfy the terms of that Confidential Settlement Agreement.
- 2. Non-party KELVIN TRAN is subject to this permanent injunction by Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(d)(2)(C) which grants the Court authority to bind any person who acted in concert or participated with a party to the injunction.
- 3. The balance of the parties' prospective harms and public interest favors restricting DEFENDANTS' unauthorized use of MAGPUL'S name and marks. Based on the foregoing, MAGPUL is entitled to a permanent injunction against DEFENDANTS on the terms that are described below.

Terms of Permanent Injunction

- FRANK TRAN, FLUX CREATIONS, and KELVIN TRAN, are 1. forever restrained from:
 - manufacturing, importing, advertising, promoting, marketing, a. offering to sell, selling, distributing, or transferring any products bearing MAGPUL'S name or marks, or any confusingly similar trademarks, other than those actually manufactured or distributed by

28

1	MAGPUL;
2	b. making or employing any commercial use of MAGPUL'S name
3	or marks as well as any reproductions, counterfeit copies, derivations,
4	or colorable imitations thereof, or any mark confusingly similar thereto
5	or likely to dilute or detract from MAGPUL'S name or marks;
6	c. using any other false designation of origin, false description or
7	representation, or any other use of MAGPUL'S name or marks, logo or
8	trade dress, or counterfeit versions thereof, in a manner calculated to
9	falsely advertise counterfeit products as being manufactured,
10	distributed, sponsored, authorized, or endorsed by MAGPUL, or
11	otherwise associated with MAGPUL in any way;
12	d. committing any other acts calculated or likely to cause confusion
13	or mistake among the consuming public or to deceive the public by
14	misleading consumers and purchasers to the mistaken belief that
15	counterfeit products are genuine MAGPUL products, or were somehow
16	licensed, sponsored, endorsed, authorized, affiliated, or otherwise
17	associated with MAGPUL;
18	e. secreting, concealing, destroying, selling off, transferring,
19	returning, or otherwise moving, storing, or disposing of:
20	i. any products, not manufactured or distributed by
21	MAGPUL, bearing MAGPUL'S name or marks, or any
22	confusingly similar trademarks; or
23	//
24	//
25	//
26	
27	
28	

1	ii. any evidence of future transactions, including products
2	and records, relating to the manufacture, importation, sale, offer
3	for sale, distribution, transfer, circulation, advertising, marketing,
4	or promotion of any products that infringe MAGPUL'S name or
5	marks.
6	SO ORDERED on May 8, 2014
7	Dale S. Jischer
8	United States District Judge
9	emica states Bistret vaage
10	
11	7758-5\2081068v1
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

CV 13-04353