

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

FILED
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
JUL 16 2013
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
BY <i>[Signature]</i> DEPUTY

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
WESTERN DIVISION**

ROBERT SIEGEL, On Behalf of)
Himself and All Others Similarly)
Situating,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.)
)
WARNER/CHAPPELL MUSIC,)
INC.,)
)
Defendant.)

Case No. CV 13-04418-GHK (MRWx)
CV 13-4460-GHK (MRWx)
[PROPOSED] ORDER

NOTE CHANGES MADE BY THE COURT

[Caption Continued On Next Page]

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

RUPA MARYA, On Behalf of)
Herself and All Others Similarly)
Situating,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.)
)
WARNER/CHAPPELL MUSIC,)
INC.,)
)
Defendant.)

Case No. CV 13-04460-GHK (MRWx)

1 GOOD CAUSE APPEARING and pursuant to the parties' stipulation, IT IS
2 SO ORDERED:

3 1. *Siegel v. Warner/Chappell Music, Inc.*, Case No. CV-13-04418 GHK
4 (MRW) and *Marya v. Warner/Chappell Music, Inc.*, Case No. CV-13-04460 GHK
5 (MRW) are consolidated for all purposes.

6 2. Nothing in Defendant's agreement to consolidation under Fed. R. Civ.
7 P. 42(a) shall be construed to indicate Defendant's agreement that class certification
8 is appropriate for either of the Related Actions, for the proposed consolidated class
9 action, or for any other related action.

10 3. Plaintiffs shall file a Consolidated Complaint within ten (10) days of
11 the entry of this order consolidating the aforementioned cases.

12 4. Defendant shall respond to the Consolidated Complaint within thirty
13 (30) days of the filing of a Consolidated Complaint, or on or before August 30,
14 2013, whichever date is later.

15 ~~5. If Defendant responds to the Consolidated Complaint by motion,~~
16 ~~Plaintiffs shall oppose such motion within thirty (30) days of Defendant's filing its~~
17 ~~motion, or on or before September 30, 2013, whichever date is later.~~

18 ~~6. If Defendant files a reply to Plaintiffs' opposition, Defendant's reply~~
19 ~~shall be filed within thirty (30) days, or on or before October 30, 2013, whichever~~

20 date is later. 5. Parties SHALL follow the briefing schedule
21 per local Rule & NOT deviate therefrom. We see
22 DATED: 7/16/13

23 THE HONORABLE GEORGE H. KING,
24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CHIEF JUDGE

25 5. The low #1'd case, CV13-4418-GHK is
26 hereby dismissed w/o prejudice due to its
27 consolidation w/ CV13-4460-GHK.

28 K no need for extended briefing inasmuch
as the parties will have met & conferred
pursuant to L.R. 7-3 & will be fully advised
of each other's arguments & authorities. If the
parties fail to fully discharge their obligation
under L.R. 7-3 in good faith, any motion will
be denied.