EXHIBIT A

| | | Page 1 |
|----|--|--------|
| 1 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 2 | CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 3 | WESTERN DIVISION | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | x | |
| 6 | GOOD MORNING TO YOU PRODUCTIONS CORP., | |
| 7 | et al., | |
| 8 | Plaintiffs, | |
| 9 | VS. | |
| 10 | WARNER/CHAPPELL MUSIC INC., et al., | |
| 11 | Defendants. | |
| 12 | x | |
| 13 | Lead Case No. CV 13-04460-GHK (MRWx) | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | DEPOSITION OF THOMAS B. MARCOTULLIO | |
| 18 | New York, New York | |
| 19 | Tuesday, June 3, 2014 | |
| 20 | CONFIDENTIAL | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | Reported by: David Henry | |
| 25 | JOB NO. 80120 | |
| | | |

| | | Page 2 |
|----|---|--------|
| 1 | | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | June 3, 2014 | |
| 4 | 9:00 a.m. | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | Videotaped 30(b)(6) Deposition of | |
| 8 | THOMAS B. MARCOTULLIO, held at the | |
| 9 | offices of Wolf Haldenstein Adler Freeman | |
| 10 | & Herz, 270 Madison Avenue, New York, New | |
| 11 | York, pursuant to Notice, before David | |
| 12 | Henry, a Certified Court Reporter and | |
| 13 | Notary Public of the State of New York. | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| | | |

| | | | Page 3 |
|----|-------|---|--------|
| 1 | A P 1 | PEARANCES: | |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER FREEMAN & HERZ | |
| 4 | | Attorneys for Plaintiffs 270 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10016 | |
| 5 | | BY: MARK RIFKIN, ESQ. AND: BETH LANDES, ESQ. | |
| 6 | | AND. DETH HANDES, ESQ. | |
| 7 | | RANDALL S. NEWMAN | |
| 8 | | Attorneys for Plaintiffs 37 Wall Street | |
| 9 | | New York, NY 10005 BY: RANDALL NEWMAN, ESQ. | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | | MUNGER ROLLES & OLSON Attorneys for Defendants and the Witness | |
| 12 | | 355 South Grand Avenue | |
| 13 | | Los Angeles, CA 90071 BY: KELLY KLAUS, ESQ. | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | ALSO | PRESENT: | |
| 20 | | ELLEN HOCHBERG, ESQ., Warner Music Group | |
| 21 | | - - | |
| 22 | | ALI TOUMADJ, Summer Associate, Wolf Haldenstein Adler & Herz | |
| 23 | | MANUEL GARCIA, Videographer | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |

| | | Page | 4 |
|----|--|------|---|
| 1 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the | | |
| 2 | start of the tape labelled number one | | |
| 3 | in the videotaped deposition of Mr. | | |
| 4 | Thomas B. Marcotullio in the matter of | | |
| 5 | Good Morning To You Productions versus | | |
| 6 | Warner/Chappell Music, on June 3rd, | | |
| 7 | 2014, at approximately 9:06 a.m. My | | |
| 8 | name is Manuel Garcia for TSG | | |
| 9 | Reporting, Inc, and I am the legal | | |
| 10 | video specialist. The court reporter | | |
| 11 | is David Henry in association with TSG | | |
| 12 | Reporting. Counsel, will you please | | |
| 13 | introduce yourselves. | | |
| 14 | MR. RIFKIN: Mark Rifkin for the | | |
| 15 | plaintiffs. | | |
| 16 | MR. NEWMAN: Randall Newman, for | | |
| 17 | the plaintiffs. | | |
| 18 | MS. LANDES: Beth Landes for the | | |
| 19 | plaintiffs, and with us is our summer | | |
| 20 | associate Ali Toumadj. | | |
| 21 | MR. KLAUS: Kelly Klaus for the | | |
| 22 | defendants, and with me is Ellen | | |
| 23 | Hochberg from the Warner Music Group. | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |

- 1 THOMAS MARCOTULLIO,
- 2 called as a witness, having first been duly
- 3 sworn, was examined and testified as
- 4 follows:
- 5 EXAMINATION BY MR. RIFKIN:
- 6 Q. Mr. Marcotullio, good morning.
- 7 My name is Mark Rifkin, we met a few
- 8 moments ago, but for the record I represent
- 9 the plaintiffs in a lawsuit that has been
- 10 brought concerning the copyright to the
- 11 song Happy Birthday To You. I'm going to
- 12 be asking you a series of questions today
- 13 which you will be answering under oath.
- 14 The court reporter will be transcribing
- 15 what happens today, and there is a
- 16 videographer here who is also making a
- 17 videotape record of the deposition. If at
- 18 any point in time you have not heard a
- 19 question, or you think you don't understand
- 20 the question, please let me know, and I
- 21 will either repeat the question or have the
- 22 court reporter read it back or rephrase the
- 23 question so that the answers you give are
- 24 the best and most truthful answers to the
- 25 questions I ask, okay?

- 1 A. I did.
- 2 Q. You did. Before you spoke with
- 3 all of those people, Mr. Klaus,
- 4 Ms. Hochberg, Mr. Blietz, Mr. Meade and
- 5 Mr. Osher, did you know anything about the
- 6 copyright applications for the song Happy
- 7 Birthday To You?
- 8 A. Not a lot of information, but
- 9 some passing information.
- 10 O. Is it fair to say that everything
- 11 you know about the copyright applications
- 12 for the song Happy Birthday To You, you
- 13 learned from others?
- MR. KLAUS: Object to the form
- of the question. You may answer.
- 16 A. It was a combination of reviewing
- 17 the materials themselves as well as
- 18 discussions with the aforementioned
- 19 individuals including Jeremy Blietz.
- 20 Q. Okay. And before you began to
- 21 prepare for giving testimony at this
- 22 deposition, did you know anything about the
- 23 basis for Warner/Chappell's claim of
- 24 copyright ownership for the song Happy
- 25 Birthday To You?

- 1 application which is now the bottom half of
- 2 Marcotullio 11, what does it say the new
- 3 matter for which copyright was claimed?
- 4 A. As I mentioned earlier, it's
- 5 arrangement as easy piano solo with text.
- 6 Q. And what exactly was the text?
- 7 A. I'm sorry?
- 8 O. What was the text?
- 9 A. Oh, my reading the face of it,
- 10 whatever that was referred to as the
- 11 musical composition there registering for
- 12 the new copyright matter. So there is --
- 13 obviously they were registering it.
- 14 Q. Okay. Turn back if you would to
- 15 the document we marked for identification
- 16 as Marcotullio Exhibit 5. This is the
- 17 deposit copy for 51988, right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Did you find -- and we talked
- 20 about the fact that there was a stamp on it
- 21 from the Copyright Office with 51988 on it,
- 22 right? On Marcotullio 5. You don't have a
- 23 document like that with a stamp on it from
- 24 the Copyright Office for 51990, correct?
- 25 MR. KLAUS: I think it's been

```
1
          asked and answered, but you can answer
 2
          again.
 3
               I have not received a copy of a
          Α.
 4
     deposit copy.
 5
          Ο.
               Okay. And you are aware that
     there was some effort to find out whether
 6
 7
     there was a deposit copy or not, correct?
               I received a copy of a letter,
 8
          Α.
     yes, in connection with a request for a
 9
10
     deposit copy.
11
               (Marcotullio Exhibit 12, WC405,
12
          marked for identification.)
               Mr. Marcotullio, the court
13
          0.
14
     reporter has marked for identification as
15
     Exhibit Marcotullio 12 a one-page document
     with the production WC405. This appears to
16
     be a letter from William Lichtenwanger or
17
18
     something like that from the Copyright
     Office to Robert G. Olson of
19
20
     Summy-Birchard Publishing Company dated
21
     January 23, 1961. Is this the document you
     were referring to just a moment ago when
22
23
     you said you saw a letter about the deposit
24
     copy?
```

This is the letter I was

25

Α.

- 1 referring to when I was referencing other
- 2 materials. I also was referencing the
- 3 record of registration which identifies the
- 4 two deposit copies were provided.
- 5 Q. Okay. And in this letter the
- 6 acting head of the reference section of the
- 7 Copyright Office whose last name I won't
- 8 try to pronounce again says that the
- 9 Copyright Office does not have a copy of
- 10 the work that was deposited with 51990,
- 11 correct?
- 12 A. That's what it says, correct.
- 13 Q. When you made your inquiry in
- 14 December of 2013, did you ask again for a
- 15 deposit copy?
- 16 A. Not that I am aware, but my
- 17 understanding is we asked for the letters
- 18 relating to the copyright registration
- 19 51990.
- 20 Q. Okay. What did you do if
- 21 anything to search the records of either
- 22 Warner/Chappell or Summy-Birchard or anyone
- 23 to see if somewhere there was a copy of the
- 24 work deposited with E51990?
- 25 MR. KLAUS: Object to the form,

Page 144 1 outside the scope. I believe you can 2 testify to what your factual 3 investigation told you about the search for documents in the case. 4 5 Α. Sure. So as I mentioned, I spoke 6 with Jeremy Blietz and others at 7 Warner/Chappell. I understand, I think, I believe we may have received this in 8 9 connection with our request from the 10 Copyright Office. In any case they conducted an extensive investigation of 11 12 their records, including microfiche files that they had, hard copy files that were 13 14 retained by Warner/Chappell, and other 15 digital files that they had already copied and pdf'd into a digital file. So they 16 went through a fairly extensive review of 17

18 those materials including with respect to the copyrights, the Hills, Summy-Birchard 19 and otherwise, in addition as I mentioned 20 21 earlier the requests to the Copyright 22 Office.

23 Okay. And as a result of all Q. 24 that you were told, or you learned that you 25 do not have a copy of the deposit copy

- 1 either, correct?
- 2 MR. KLAUS: Object to the form.
- You can answer.
- <u>A.</u> My understanding is they could
- 5 not find a copy of it either.
- 6 Q. Okay. Were you told what that
- 7 work was?
- 8 A. What work was?
- 9 Q. What the deposited work was.
- 10 MR. KLAUS: Object to the form.
- 11 You can answer.
- 12 A. I think we believe that the work
- 13 was the Happy Birthday To You, I think you
- 14 called it the familiar Happy Birthday To
- 15 You.
- 16 Q. Well, why do you think -- what is
- 17 your basis for thinking that?
- 18 A. Sure, so obviously we know from
- 19 both this letter and the record that there
- 20 were deposit copies received. We know from
- 21 the registration that those were I think on
- 22 December 9th they were received. We know
- 23 that on the same day they registered --
- 24 they applied for and registered for the
- 25 51988, which as I mentioned earlier is

- 1 have any different information.
- 2 A. I can only speak to what I've
- 3 read in my investigation, including the
- 4 responses in the interrogatories and such
- 5 that I have read also and I understand from
- 6 the plain language of them. I don't
- 7 understand the legal implications and how
- 8 the process works, but as far as I've seen
- 9 on the face of the registration and on the
- 10 documents I reviewed, that it refers to
- 11 Mildred J. Hill and Preston Ware by Mildred
- 12 J. Hill, arrangement of the piano solo with
- 13 text, Mr. Preston Ware Orem. That's the
- 14 information I have.
- 15 Q. So do you now believe that
- 16 Preston Ware Orem did write the familiar
- 17 lyrics to the song Happy Birthday To You?
- 18 A. Do I believe?
- 19 O. Yes, sir. I'm asking you if
- 20 Warner/Chappell believes that. It's really
- 21 very simple. I'm asking you if
- 22 Warner/Chappell believes that Preston Ware
- 23 Orem wrote the familiar lyrics to the song
- 24 Happy Birthday To You.
- A. Again, what I do know is what I

- 1 can see on the face of the documentation.
- 2 I can't really speak to anything further
- 3 than that.
- 4 O. And from the information that
- 5 you've seen on the face of the
- 6 documentation, do vou now believe that
- 7 Preston Ware Orem wrote the familiar lyrics
- 8 to the song Happy Birthday To You?
- 9 MR. KLAUS: Object to the form
- of the question. You can answer
- 11 again.
- 12 A. Sure, I can tell you what I've
- 13 seen and what it says, and what the
- 14 documentation I've been provided indicate,
- and the registration has, you know, the
- 16 information as I've stated.
- 17 O. Well, what indicates that Preston
- 18 Ware Orem wrote the familiar lyrics to the
- 19 song Happy Birthday To You? What exactly
- 20 indicates that?
- 21 MR. KLAUS: Object to the form
- of the question. You can answer.
- A. And again I'm not familiar with
- 24 the specifics of the Copyright Office
- 25 process and procedure, but the face of the

- 1 registration clearly indicates that Preston
- 2 Ware Orem, you know, was identified on it.
- 3 So that's the information I have.
- 4 O. That he wrote what?
- 5 A. All I know is that he is
- <u>6</u> <u>identified on the face of the registration.</u>
- 7 O. Does it say that he wrote the
- 8 familiar lyrics to the song on the face of
- 9 the registration?
- 10 MR. KLAUS: Object to the form
- of the question. You can answer.
- 12 A. Sure, I mean, I can only tell you
- 13 what I see, which is again, I don't know
- 14 how the process works or how the form is
- interpreted, but I can tell you what the
- 16 statement is from the copyright certificate
- 17 as well. I'm sure you are familiar with it
- 18 as much as I am. But it says Happy
- 19 Birthday To You by Mildred J. Hill, Preston
- 20 Ware Orem employed for hire by Clayton F.
- 21 Summy Co. of US piano solo with words,
- 22 copyright is claimed on arrangement as easy
- 23 piano solo with text.
- 24 Q. And which part of that says that
- <u>25</u> <u>Preston Ware Orem wrote the familiar lyrics</u>

- 1 Happy birthday to you, happy birthday to
- 2 you, happy birthday dear blank, happy
- 3 birthday to you?
- 4 MR. KLAUS: Object to the form,
- <u>5</u> <u>calls for a legal conclusion.</u> <u>You can</u>
- answer the question.
- 7 A. Sure. Again, I can't interpret
- 8 this document for you or the processes of
- 9 the Copyright Office. I can just tell you
- 10 what this says, and it just -- it
- 11 references Preston Ware Orem as part of the
- 12 copyright registration.
- 13 Q. Did you ask anybody if it meant
- 14 that, if the certificate of copyright
- 15 registration, and now I'm reading directly
- 16 from Marcotullio 10, if the copyright
- 17 registration means that Preston Ware Orem
- 18 wrote the lyrics Happy birthday to you,
- 19 happy birthday to you, happy birthday dear
- 20 blank, happy birthday to you.
- 21 MR. KLAUS: Objection, calls
- for a legal conclusion. You can
- answer the question.
- 24 A. Yeah, again, was there anything
- 25 in our investigations, did you say? I'm

```
Page 311
            A C K N O W L E D G M E N T
 1
 2
 3
     STATE OF NEW YORK
 4
                          : SS
 5
     COUNTY OF
 6
 7
               I, THOMAS B. MARCOTULLIO, hereby
 8
     certify that I have read the transcript of
 9
     my testimony taken under oath in my
     deposition of June 3, 2014; that the
10
11
     transcript is a true, complete and correct
12
     record of my testimony, and that the
13
     answers on the record as given by me are
14
     true and correct.
15
16
17
                 THOMAS B. MARCOTULLIO
18
19
     Signed and subscribed to before
20
     me, this
                           day
21
     of
                           , 2014.
22
23
24
25
     Notary Public, State of New York
```

Page 312 1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 STATE OF NEW YORK 4) ss.: 5 COUNTY OF NEW YORK 6 7 I, DAVID HENRY, a Notary Public within 8 and for the State of New York, do hereby 9 certify: 10 That THOMAS B. MARCOTULLIO, the 11 witness whose deposition is hereinbefore 12 set forth, was duly sworn by me and that 13 such deposition is a true record of the 14 testimony given by such witness. 15 I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this 16 action by blood or marriage; and that I am 17 18 in no way interested in the outcome of this 19 matter. 20 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto 21 set my hand this 13th day of June, 2014. 22 23 24 25 DAVID HENRY

| | | | | | | | | Page | 313 |
|----|--------|--------|-------|---------|-----------|-------------|---|------|-----|
| 1 | | | | ERF | R A T A | | | | |
| 2 | PAGE | LINE | EME | ENDATIO | ON | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | | | _ | | |
| 4 | | | | | | | _ | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | _ | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | _ | | |
| 7 | | | | | | | _ | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | _ | | |
| 9 | | | | | | | _ | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | _ | | |
| 11 | | | | | | | _ | | |
| 12 | | | | | | | _ | | |
| 13 | | | | | | | _ | | |
| 14 | | | | | | | _ | | |
| 15 | | | | | | | _ | | |
| 16 | | | | | | | _ | | |
| 17 | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | Signature | of Deponent | | | |
| 20 | SUBSCF | RIBED | AND | SWORN | BEFORE ME | | | | |
| 21 | THIS _ | | DAY | OF | | , 2014. | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | _ | | | |
| 24 | (Notar | ry Puk | olic) | | | | | | |
| 25 | MY COM | MISSI | ON E | EXPIRES | S: | | | | |

EXHIBIT B

```
Page 1
1
              UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL
                      DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
                         WESTERN DIVISION
    GOOD MORNING TO YOU
    PRODUCTIONS CORP., et al.,
6
                     Plaintiffs,
                                    ) Lead Case Number
7
                                       CV 13-04460-GHK
             VS.
                                        (MRWx)
8
    WARNER/CHAPPELL MUSIC INC.,
    et al.,
10
                     Defendants.
11
12
13
14
                   DEPOSITION OF JEREMY BLIETZ
15
                     Los Angeles, California
16
                     Thursday, July 10, 2014
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
    Job No: 81817
24
    Reported by: NIKKI ROY
25
                    CSR No. 3052
```

```
Deposition of JEREMY BLIETZ, taken on behalf of
2
    the Plaintiffs, at 355 South Grand Avenue,
    35th Floor, Los Angeles, California, on Thursday,
    July 10, 2014 at 10:36 a.m., before NIKKI ROY,
    CSR No. 3052.
    APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
10
    FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:
11
               WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER FREEMAN & HERZ
               BY:
                    BETSY C. MANIFOLD, Attorney at Law
12
               Symphony Towers
               750 B Street
13
               San Diego, California 92101
14
15
               DONAHUE FITZGERALD ATTORNEYS
                    DANIEL SCHACHT, Attorney at Law
               BY:
16
               1999 Harrison Street
               Oakland, California 94612
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
    ///
25
```

```
Page 3
     APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (CONTINUED):
3
     FOR THE DEFENDANTS:
               MUNGER TOLLES & OLSON
               BY: MELINDA EADES LeMOINE, Attorney at Law
5
               355 South Grand Avenue
               Los Angeles, California 90071
6
7
               MUNGER TOLLES & OLSON
                     ADAM KAPLAN, Attorney at Law
               BY:
9
               560 Mission Street
               San Francisco, California 94105
10
11
12
13
    ALSO PRESENT:
14
               NATHAN OSHER
               Vice President Legal & Business Affairs
15
               Warner/Chappell Music, Inc.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

| | | | Page 4 |
|-----|----------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| 1 | | INDEX | |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | WITNESS | EXAMINATION | PAGE |
| 4 | JEREMY BLIETZ | | |
| 5 | | MS. MANIFOLD | 6, 111, 160 |
| 6 | | MS. LeMOINE | 157 |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | | EXHIBITS | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | NUMBER | DESCRIPTION | PAGE |
| 11 | Exhibit 42 | Plaintiffs' Second Amended | 8 |
| | | Notice Continuing the Taking | of |
| 12 | | Deposition of Jeremy Blietz | |
| | | Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. | |
| 13 | | 30(b)(1) | |
| 14 | Exhibit 43 | Color photocopy of Applicatio | n 89 |
| | | for Copyright | |
| 15 | | | |
| 1.0 | Exhibit 44 | Letter from William | 137 |
| 16 | | Lichtenwanger to Robert Olsen | , |
| 17 | | January 23, 1961 | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | (Exhibite prev | riously marked for identificati | on• |
| | _ | ibit 3, Exhibit 4, Exhibit 5, | |
| 20 | | ibit 9, Exhibit 10, Exhibit 12 | · |
| | · | hibit 17, Exhibit 19, Exhibit | |
| 21 | | hibit 40, and Exhibit 41) | , |
| 22 | · · | , | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| | | | |

| | | Page | 5 |
|----|------------------------------------|------|---|
| 1 | I N D E X (CONTINUED): | | |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | QUESTIONS INSTRUCTED NOT TO ANSWER | | |
| 4 | Page Line | | |
| 5 | 86 18 | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | INFORMATION REQUESTED | | |
| 9 | None | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| | | | |

- MS. LeMOINE: Objection to the extent it
- 2 calls for a legal conclusion. Objection to form.
- You can answer if you can.
- THE WITNESS: I mean, all I can say is I
- 5 could read this to you, but it looks fairly different
- from how registrations look today, so I couldn't
- ⁷ testify as to the intent here. I see the same number
- 8 that appears on the previous picture, but I can't --
- 9 can't speak to the content here.
- 10 BY MS. MANIFOLD:
- Q. Okay. I notice in the upper right-hand
- corner of Bates-stamped 386 of Plaintiffs' Exhibit 4
- for identification, there's a number 27970. Do you
- know what that number means?
- 15 A. I do not.
- Q. Okay. And it says on this registration,
- copy of registration for E51988, it says (reading):
- Published musical composition by
- Mildred J. Hill.
- Do you see that?
- 21 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Do you have an understanding of what that
- means on the registration?
- MS. LeMOINE: Objection; that's asked and
- $\frac{25}{2}$ answered. That's asked and answered.

- THE WITNESS: I don't know the intent of it.
- I can read it, you know, here, but, as I said, this
- looks fairly different from the forms that we fill
- out today, so I can't truly confirm that I know the
- <u>intent of that.</u>
- 6 BY MS. MANIFOLD:
- ⁷ Q. When you say "know the intent of that," what
- 8 do you mean?
- A. Because there's no, you know, composer,
- dash, or there's nothing in front of it. I couldn't
- say what, you know, the legal meaning is behind that.
- 12 Q. I'm not asking you for the legal meaning.
- As part of your duties, you review databases
- to make determinations as to whether royalties are
- owed, licensing issues. I'm just asking you if you
- have an understanding of what by Mildred J. Hill
- means in this copy of the registration E51988.
- MS. LeMOINE: We're -- okay. The witness
- has testified he's not familiar with forms that look
- like this. This is a document he received from the
- copyright office. And that he does not know several
- times. So your questions to him are unfair, and I
- object to them.
- MS. MANIFOLD: Okay.
- MS. LeMOINE: It lacks foundation, calls for

- 1 speculation. He's reading the document as he sits
- ² here today.
- MS. MANIFOLD: He obtained the document in
- December of 2013, so he's not really reading the
- 5 document as he sits here today. But with that said,
- ⁶ I'm asking him if he has an understanding of it.
- ⁷ He's the vice president of administration at
- 8 Warner/Chappell. I'm not going to argue with you.
- If you don't understand the question, you
- can tell me.
- THE WITNESS: No, I understand the question
- 12 I just -- I can't speak to the intent here or the
- meaning. I'd have to speculate. All I can do is
- read it and tell you what it says.
- 15 BY MS. MANIFOLD:
- Q. So when you say I don't know the intent of
- it, is -- are you telling me I'm not a lawyer, so I
- don't know what this means? Is that what you're
- telling me?
- ²⁰ A. No.
- MS. LeMOINE: Objection; it's argumentative,
- and he's asked and answered these line of questions,
- Betsy.
- 24 BY MS. MANIFOLD:
- Q. What do you mean by intent?

- 1 BY MS. MANIFOLD:
- Q. Are you aware of any other evidence within
- the archives of Warner/Chappell with regard to what
- 4 the deposit copy is for E51990?
- ⁵ A. No.
- Q. I'm sorry. I'm losing my voice a little
- bit. I'm sorry. And your answer?
- 8 A. No, I'm not aware of any.
- 9 Q. Excuse me.
- So pull Plaintiffs' Exhibit 9 for
- identification which is the copy of registration of
- 51990. We're going to look at the second page, which
- $\frac{13}{1}$ is WC 389.
- And to the best of your recollection, did
- you receive a copy of this from the copyright office
- with the handwriting on it?
- 17 A. This was received from the copyright office.
- I can't recall if the handwriting was on it.
- <u>Q.</u> Do you have any reason to believe that
- 20 someone at Warner/Chappell added the handwriting to
- this document?
- A. No, I don't have any knowledge about that.
- 23 Q. And you'll notice at the bottom there's a
- 24 C with a circle in it, and it says "C is claimed on
- 25 arrangement as Easy Piano Solo with text."

- In the context of that statement, do you
- have an understanding of what "arrangement" means?
- MS. LeMOINE: Just object to the extent he's
- speculating. He's reading the document.
- <u>But you can answer.</u>
- THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, the fact that
- this was done in 1935, I don't have an understanding
- as to what "arrangement" meant to them at that time,
- <u>so I can't -- I can't speak to the intent of what's</u>
- $\frac{10}{10}$ listed there.
- 11 BY MS. MANIFOLD:
- Q. So if this copyright had been from 2014 and
- it had the same notation "Copyright is claimed on
- arrangement as Easy Piano Solo with text," would it
- make a difference if the copyright was recorded in
- ¹⁶ 2013 -- 2014, today?
- MS. LeMOINE: Just objection on the same
- grounds, it's incomplete hypothetical, but you can
- ¹⁹ answer.
- THE WITNESS: I think you would need to look
- 21 at the deposit copy. You'd need to understand what
- the meaning is of that phrase, and without, you know,
- knowing what the intent was here, I couldn't say.
- BY MS. MANIFOLD:
- Q. If you wanted to figure out what the intent

| | Page 172 |
|----|---|
| 1 | DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY |
| 2 | |
| 3 | I, JEREMY BLIETZ, do hereby certify under |
| 4 | penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing |
| 5 | transcript of my deposition taken July 10, 2014; that |
| 6 | I have made such corrections as appear noted herein, |
| 7 | in ink, initialed by me; that my testimony as |
| 8 | contained herein, as corrected, is true and correct. |
| 9 | |
| 10 | DATED this, |
| 11 | 2014, at, California. |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | JEREMY BLIETZ |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | |

23

24

25

| | | | Page | 174 |
|----|-------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----|
| 1 | ERRATA SHEET F | OR THE TRANS | CRIPT OF: | |
| 2 | Case Name: Good Morning | g to All vs. | Warner/Chappell | |
| 3 | Depo. Date: July 10, 2 | 014 | | |
| 4 | Deponent: JEREMY BLIET | Z | | |
| 5 | Reason codes: | | | |
| 6 | 1. To clarify the recor | d. | | |
| 7 | 2. To conform to the fa | cts. | | |
| 8 | 3. To correct transcrip | tion errors. | | |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10 | Pg. Ln. Now Reads | Should Read | Reason | |
| 11 | | | | |
| 12 | | | | |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| | | | | |

ERRATA SHEET FOR THE TRANSCRIPT OF:

Case Name: Good Morning to All vs. Warner/Chappell

Depo. Date: July 10, 2014

Deponent: JEREMY BLIETZ

Reason codes:

1. To clarify the record.

2. To conform to the facts.

3. To correct transcription errors.

| Pg. | Ln. | Now Reads | Should Read | Reason |
|-----|-----------|--|---|--------|
| 14 | 22- 23 | "US copyright royalties and archives" | "US Copyright, Royalties and Archives" | 3 |
| 19 | 1 | "Calderon" | "Calderone" | 3 |
| 20 | 10- 13 | "that come in from our licensing departments from our affiliate offices or from outside third parties related to our song catalog." | "that we receive from our Licensing departments, from our affiliate offices and from outside third parties related to our song catalog." | 1 |
| 25 | 2-4 | "So handled phone calls, requests for correspondence. Any tasks that they would assign to me I would be responsible for completing." | "I handled phone calls, requests for correspondence and any other task assigned by my supervisors." | 1, 3 |
| 26 | 14 | "Berkeley" | "Berklee" | 3 |

| Pg. | Ln. | Now Reads | Should Read | Reason |
|-----|-----------|--|--|--------|
| 50 | 5-9 | "day because the process of reviewing files is something in my role I do on a daily basis across the catalog for all songs. So I can't point pinpoint the specific date I reviewed the file." | "day. I review files across the catalog on a daily basis as part of my role in the company. I can't recall the specific day when I first reviewed the file." "Patty" | 1 3 |
| 57 | 22 | "Patti" | "Patty" | 3 |
| 63 | 8-9 | "In general terms, it's possible." | "In general terms, it's possible for legal files to contain the documents you're referring to, but I don't know if these specific documents exist in the files." | 1 |
| 78 | 25 | "can" | "can't" | 3 |
| 87 | 15- 16 | "some questions to them on a nearly daily basis so." | "questions to our legal department on a regular basis." | 1 |
| 153 | 4- 10 | "we've on a regular basis pursue lack parties that have used our catalog without getting it licensed. So in terms of the entire catalog, you know, the things that I'm aware of, the larger like LimeWire cases, things like of, the larger like LimeWire cases, things like our entire catalog, I would say yes." | "we have on a regular basis pursued legal action against parties that have used our catalog without getting a license. So in terms of the entire catalog, you know, the things that I'm aware of, the larger like LimeWire cases, things like that, that we're pursuing against a party that's infringed our entire catalog, I would say yes." | 1, 3 |

EXHIBIT 23

[Jt. App'x at 3:534-544, Dkt. 189-2]

1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in the State of California and I am counsel for Plaintiffs in the above-entitled action. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein based upon my review of the documents produced in this action and, if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently thereto.

The Incorporation of Educational Music Bureau in Illinois in 1923

- 2. A Certificate of Incorporation for a corporation known as Educational Music Bureau ("EMB") was filed with the Illinois Secretary of State on or about August 11, 1923. Evidentiary Appendix ("App'x"), Exhibit ("Ex.") 17 at 503-507.
- 3. Paragraph ("¶") 9 of the Certificate of Incorporation of EMB lists 9 shareholders who were issued a total of 200 shares of capital stock in EMB. *Id.* at 505.
- 4. John F. Sengstack's ("John Sengstack") name does not appear in ¶ 9 of the Certificate of Incorporation. *Id.* at 505.

The Incoporation of Clayton F. Summy Co. in Illinois in 1925

5. Articles of Incorporation for a corporation known as Clayton F. Summy Co. were filed with the Illinois Secretary of State on or about April 15, 1925. Ex. 20 at 518-523.

The 1931 Agreement between Clayton F. Summy and John Sengstack

- 6. Clayton F. Summy ("Clayton Summy") and John Sengstack entered into an agreement dated August 7, 1931. Ex. 92 at 1059-1068.
- 7. Paragraph (b) of the "WHEREAS" clause of that Agreement stated that "Summy intends to cause to be incorporated a new company under the laws of the State of Delaware under the name of Clayton F. Summy Company..." Ex. 92 at 1060.
- 8. Paragraph (b) of the "WHEREAS" clause of that Agreement stated that the capitalization of the Delaware company would consist of 1,500 shares of Preferred Stock with a par value of \$100 per share (\$150,000) and 50 shares of common stock of no par value. Ex. 92 at 1060.
- 9. Paragraph (b) of the "WHEREAS" clause of that Agreement stated that the "Preferred Stock shall be entitled to dividends at the rate of six per cent per annum...and that

- 10. Paragraph (c) of the "WHEREAS" clause of that Agreement required Clayton Summy to transfer all of the assets of Summy Co. (Illinois) to the Delaware company. *Id.* at 1061.
- 11. In Paragraph 2 of that Agreement Clayton Summy agreed to sell and John Sengstack agreed to purchase the 50 shares of common stock in the Delaware company. *Id.* at 1063.
- 12. In Paragraph 3 of that Agreement John Sengstack agreed that immediately after he purchased the 50 shares of the common stock in the Delaware company, he would cause the capitalization of the Delaware company to be increased to 1,500 shares of common stock and John Sengstack agreed to purchase the additional 1,450 shares. *Id.*
- 13. Therefore, pursuant to the Agreement, after the Delaware corporation was formed the parties agreed that Clayton Summy would own 1,500 shares of Preferred Stock and John Sengstack would own 1,500 shares of common stock in the Delaware corporation. *Id.* at 1059-1063.
- 14. Pursuant to the terms of the Agreement, the Delaware corporation could redeem Clayton Summy's Preferred Shares at any time by paying Clayton Summy \$150,000 plus accrued dividends. *Id.* at 1060.

The Incorporation of Clayton F. Summy Co. in Delaware in 1931

- 15. It is undisputed that Clayton F. Summy Co. was incorporated in the State of Delaware on or about August 31, 1931. ("Summy (DE)"). Ex. 1 at ¶ 68, Ex. 2 at ¶ 68.
- 16. It is undisputed that Summy (DE) is the corporation that filed the Copyright Applications for E51988 and E51990.¹

In fact, the Summy (DE) was the only "Summy" corporation in existence in 1935 because the previous two Summy Corporations were dissolved in 1920 and 1933 respectively.

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

24 25

23

26 27

28

- 17. As discussed above, pursuant to the August 7, 1931 Agreement between Clayton Summy and John Sengstack, the parties agreed that Clayton Summy owned 1,500 shares of Preferred Stock and John Sengstack owned 1,500 shares of common stock in Summy (DE). Ex. 92 at 1059-1063.
- 18. Clayton Summy died on February 10, 1932, shortly after the incorporation of Summy (DE). Ex. 93 at 1070.
- 19. There is no documentation in the record that proves Summy (DE) paid Clayton Summy \$150,000 plus accrued dividends to redeem Clayton Summy's Preferred Shares prior to Clayton Summy's death on February 10, 1932 or at any time thereafter.

The Amendment to EMB in 1948

- 20. Articles of Amendment to EMB were filed with the Illinois Secretary of State on or about June 2, 1948. Ex. 59 at 744-748.
- 21. Article Third of the Articles of Amendment states that 400 shares of common in EMB were outstanding at the time of the 1948 Amendment. *Id.* at 746.
- However, as discussed in ¶ 2 supra, only 200 shares of EMB's common stock 22. were issued at the time of EMB's incorporation.
- There is no documentation in the record that proves who was issued the 23. additional 200 shares of common stock between EMB's 1923 incorporation and the 1948 amendment.
- 24. There is no documentation in the record that proves when the additional 200 shares of EMB common stock were issued between EMB's 1923 incorporation and the 1948 amendment.
- 25. There is no documentation in the record that proves that any of the original EMB shareholders transferred any of their shares between EMB's 1923 incorporation at the 1948 amendment.
- 26. There is no documentation in the record that proves who owned the 400 shares of EMB's common stock outstanding at the time of the 1948 amendment.

The Summy (DE) Name Changes

- 28. The Certificate of Amendment changed the name of Summy Co. to Summy Publishing Company ("SPC"). *Id.* at 760.
- 29. On September 27, 1957, SPC filed a Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation with the Delaware Secretary of State. Ex. 65 at 800-802.
- 30. The Certificate of Amendment changed the name of SPC to Summy-Birchard Publishing Company (SBPC). *Id.* at 800.
- 31. On December 22, 1961, SBPC filed a Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation with the Delaware Secretary of State. Ex. 66 at 804-806.
- 32. The Certificate of Amendment changed the name of SBPC to Summy ("Summy"). *Id.* at 804.

The Death of John Sengstack on October 11, 1970

- 33. John F. Sengstack died on October 11, 1970. Ex. 69 at 816.
- 34. There is no documentation in the record that proves who inherited John Sengstack's property, including any shares of stock that he may have owned in any corporation, including Summy, the Delaware corporation originally incorporated in 1931 as the Clayton F. Summy Co.

The Amendment to EMB in 1973

- 35. On July 31, 1973, EMB filed Articles of Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of EMB with Illinois Secretary of State. Ex. 70 at 818-820.
- 36. Article Third of the Articles of Amendment states that 367 shares of common stock in EMB were outstanding at the time of the amendment. *Id.* at 820.
- 37. Article Third of the Articles of Amendment also states that "[p]reviously there were 400 shares issued and outstanding, 33 of which were reacquired by the corporation, held as treasury stock, and subsequently retired." *Id*.
- 38. There is no documentation in the record that proves who owned the 367 outstanding shares of common stock in EMB at the time of the 1973 amendment.

6 7 8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

39. There is no documentation in the record that proves when and which shareholder(s) EMB acquired the 33 retired shares from.

The 1973 Merger between Summy (Delaware) and EMB (Illinois)

- On December 28, 1973, EMB filed Articles of Merger with the Illinois Secretary of State to merge with Summy, the Delaware corporation. Ex. 71 at 824-828.
- 41. Article Three of the Articles of Merger stated that "[t]he name of the surviving corporation shall be Summy-Birchard Company and it shall be governed by the laws of the State of Illinois". Id. at 825.
- 42. Article Four of the Articles of Merger stated that "[t]he plan of merger is as follows: See Exhibit A attached hereto and made a part hereof." Ex. 71 at 825.
 - 43. The first sentence of the Plan and Agreement of Merger states that:

THIS PLAN and AGREEMENT OF MERGER is made and entered into this 21st day of December, 1973.by and between SUMMY-BIRCHARD COMPANY, a Delaware corporation (hereinafter called "SUMMY") and EDUCATIONAL MUSIC BUREAU, an Illinois Corporation (hereinafter called "EMB"). Ex. 72 at 830.

- Paragraph 1 of the Plan and Agreement of Merger states, in relevant part, that "SUMMY shall be merged into EMB as a single corporation which shall be the surviving corporation." Id.
- 45. Article Five of the Articles of Merger states that 367 shares of common stock in EMB were outstanding at the time of the merger. Ex. 71 at 826.
- 46. Article Five of the Articles of Merger states that 1,500 shares of common stock in Summy were outstanding at the time of the merger. *Id.*
- 47. As discussed in Paragraphs 13-14, *supra*, Summy (fka Clayton F. Summy Co.) was originally capitalized with 1,500 shares of Preferred Stock with a par value of \$10 each (\$150,000 total) and 1,500 shares of common stock. According to the August 7, 1931 Agreement between Clayton F. Summy and John Sengstack, Summy owned the 1,500 shares of Preferred Stock and John Sengstack owned the 1,500 shares of common stock.

Ex. 23 539

6

13

14

15 16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

25 26

24

- 48. There is no documentation in the record to prove that the Delaware corporation paid \$150,000 plus accrued dividends to Clayton Summy to redeem the 1,500 shares of Preferred Stock that were owned by Clayton Summy prior to or after Clayon Summy's death on February 10, 1932, which was just a few months after Clayton F. Summy Co. (Delaware) was incorporated.
- 49. There is no documentation in the record to prove who owned the 367 shares of EMB at the time of the merger.
- Even if the Defendants were able to prove that the Delaware corporation paid 50. Clayton F. Summy (or his estate) the \$150,000 plus accrued dividends to redeem his Preferred Shares, there is no documentation in the record to prove who owned the 1,500 shares of Summy, the Delaware corporation, at the time of the merger because John Sengstack, who entered into the 1931 agreement with Clayton Summy to purchase the 1,500 shares of Clayton F. Summy Co. (Delaware), died in 1970 and there is no documentation in the record to prove who inherited John Sengstack's shares of the Delaware corporation.
- Paragraph 6(a) of the Plan and Agreement of Merger ("Merger Plan") stated 51. that "on the effective date of the merger and without further act on the part of either the corporation or its stockholders, 2.712 shares of the common stock, without par value, of SUMMY issued and outstanding on the effective date of the merger shall be converted into one share [illegible] of EMB". Ex. 72 at 831.
- 52. Pursuant to Paragraph 6(a) of the Merger Plan, the 1,500 shares of common stock in Summy were converted into 553 shares of EMB common stock on the effective date of the merger (1,500/2.712 = 553). *Id*.
- 53. Therefore, after the effective date of the merger, 920 shares of common stock in EMB were outstanding: 367 shares of common stock owned by the EMB shareholders prior to the effective date of the merger and 553 shares of common stock in EMB that were issued to the shareholders of Summy after the effective date of the merger.
- 54. There is no documentation in the record to prove who owned any of the 920 outstanding shares of common stock in Summy (f/k/a EMB) after the merger.

- 55. New Summy-Birchard Company ("New Summy") was incorporated in the State of Wyoming on March 8, 1976. Ex. 74 at 848, Ex. 75 at 855, Ex. 76 at 859.
 - 56. There is no documentation in the record to prove who owned New Summy.
- 57. On March 19, 1976, New Summy filed Articles of Merger with the Wyoming Secretary of State. Ex. 75 at 854-857.
 - 58. Article One of the Articles of Merger states that:

The names of the corporations proposing to merge and the names of the States under the law of which such corporations are organized, are as follows:

SUMMY Illinois
NEW SUMMY Wyoming

Ex. 75 at 855.

- 59. Article Three of the Articles of Merger states that "[t]he name of the surviving corporation shall be SUMMY-BIRCHARD COMPANY and it shall be governed by the laws of the State of Wyoming." *Id*.
- 60. Article Five of the Articles of Merger states that 953 shares of common stock in Summy (Illinois) were outstanding prior to the merger. *Id.* at 856.
- 61. As discussed in Paragraphs 37-38, *supra*, after the effective date of the 1973 merger, 920 shares of common stock in Summy (Illinois) were outstanding.
- 62. There is no documentation in the record that proves Summy (Illinois) issued 33 shares of common stock after the effective date of the 1973 merger until the time of the 1976 merger or to whom those shares were issued.
- 63. Article Five of the Articles of Merger states that 10 shares of common stock in New Summy (Wyoming) were outstanding prior to the merger. Ex. 75 at 856.
- 64. There is no documentation in the record that proves who owned the 10 shares of common stock in New Summy (Wyoming) that were outstanding prior to the merger or what happened to those shares after the merger.

Ex. 23

- 65. The Plan of Merger states that "...the parties hereto agree that SUMMY-BIRCHARD COMPANY, be merged into NEW SUMMY..." Ex. 76 at 859-860.
 - 66. Paragraph C(1) of the Plan of Merger states that:

The shares of Common Stock of the surviving corporation, whether authorized or issued on the effective date of the merger shall not be converted or exchanged as a result of the merger, but upon said date all shares of Common Stock of the surviving corporation theretofore authorized (whether issued or unissued) shall be deemed to be shares of Common Stock of the surviving corporation, and all such shares of the surviving corporation outstanding on the effective date of the merger shall remain outstanding, shall be and be deemed fully-paid and non-assessable and shall retain all rights to accrued and unpaid dividends, if any.

Id. at 860-861.

- 67. Pursuant to Paragraph C(1) of the Plan of Merger, the owner(s) of the 10 shares of common stock outstanding in New Summy (Wyoming) prior to the merger owned 10 shares of common stock of Summy (Wyoming) after the effective date of the merger. *Id.*
 - 68. Paragraph C(2) of the Plan of Merger states that:

Each share of Common Stock of the absorbed corporation issued and outstanding on the effective date of the merger, and all rights in respect thereof, shall, on said date, be converted into and exchanged for one share of the presently authorized and unissued Common Stock of the surviving corporation.

Ex. 76 at 861.

- 69. Pursuant to Paragraph C(2) of the Plan of Merger, the owner(s) of the 953 shares of common stock outstanding in Summy (Illinois) prior to the merger owned 953 shares of common stock of Summy (Wyoming) after the effective date of the merger. *Id.*
- 70. There is no documentation in the record that proves who owned the 963 shares of common stock in Summy (Wyoming) after the effective date of the merger.
- 71. Summy (Wyoming) filed Articles of Amendment to Articles of Incorporation with the Wyoming Secretary of State on November 9, 1978. Ex. 77 at 867-869
- 72. The Articles of Amendment changed the name of Summy (Wyoming) to SUMCO Corporation. *Id.* at 867.

9

13 14

12

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

26

25

- 73. Paragraph Third of the Articles of Amendment state that 963 shares of common stock were outstanding as of the date of the Amendment. *Id.* at 867.
- SUMCO Corporation (Wyoming) filed Articles of Amendment to Articles of Incorporation dated May 1, 1979, with the Wyoming Secretary of State on an unknown date in 1979. Ex. 78 at 871-872.
- 75. The Articles of Amendment changed the name of SUMCO Corporation (Wyoming) to Summy. *Id.* at 871.
- Paragraph Third of the Articles of Amendment state that 963 shares of common 76. stock were outstanding as of the date of the Amendment. Id.
- 77. Summy (Wyoming) filed Articles of Amendment to Articles of Incorporation with the Wyoming Secretary of State on August 3, 1979. Ex. 79 at 874-875.
- 78. Pursuant to the Articles of Amendment, Summy changed its name to The Birch Tree Group Ltd. Id. at 874.
- Paragraph Third of the Articles of Amendment state that 963 shares of common 79. stock were outstanding as of the date of the Amendment. Ex. 79 at 874.
- The Birch Tree Group Ltd. filed Articles of Amendment to Articles of 80. Incorporation with the Wyoming Secretary of State on October 12, 1979. Ex. 80 at 877-878.
- 81. Pursuant to the Articles of Amendment, The Birch Tree Group Ltd. changed its name to Birch Tree Group Ltd. Id. at 877.
- Paragraph Third of the Articles of Amendment state that 963 shares of common 82. stock were outstanding as of the date of the amendment. Id.
- 83. Birch Tree Group Ltd. filed Articles of Amendment to Articles of Incorporation with the Wyoming Secretary of State on December 27, 1988. Ex. 82 at 949-951.
- 84. Pursuant to the Articles of Amendment, Birch Tree Group Ltd. changed its name to Summy-Birchard, Inc. Id. at 949.
- 85. Paragraph 4 of the Articles of Amendment state that 953 shares of common stock were outstanding as of the date of the amendment. *Id.* at 949.

- 86. There is no documentation in the record that proves how the outstanding common stock of the Wyoming corporation was reduced from 963 outstanding shares as of October 12, 1979 to 953 outstanding shares as of December 27, 1988.
- 87. In a Stock Power dated January 3, 1989, David K. Sengstack purported to transfer 953 shares of Summy-Birchard, Inc. (formerly known as Birch Tree Group Limited), a Wyoming corporation to Warner/Chappell Music, Inc. Ex. 84 at 955.
- 88. The Stock Power was accompanied by a Stock Certificate dated February 12, 1986 that shows David K. Sengstack as the owner of 953 shares of common stock in Birch Tree Group Limited. Ex. 83 at 953.
- 89. On May 31, 2006, Summy-Birchard, Inc. was administratively dissolved by the State of Wyoming. Ex. 85 at 957.
- 90. On September 14, 2009, Summy-Birchard, Inc. was incorporated in the State of Wyoming. Ex. 85 at 957.
- 91. On January 8, 2010, Summy-Birchard, Inc. filed Articles of Merger with the Wyoming Secretary of State. *Id.* at 957-961.
- 92. Pursuant to the Articles of Merger, the Summy-Birchard, Inc. dissolved in 2006 was merged into Summy-Birchard, Inc. that was incorporated on September 14, 2009. *Id.*

I hereby declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing statements are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Executed this 25st day of November, 2014, at New York, New York.

By: RANDALLS NEWMAN

WARNER/CHAPPELL:21350v3.RSN.decl.

EXHIBIT 44

[Jt. App'x at 3:652-653, Dkt. 189-3]



This is to certify that the statements set forth in the attached have been made a part of the records of the Copyright Office with claim of copyright registered under number

In testimony whereof, the seal of this office is affixed hereto on

E 51988

June 12, 2014

Register of Copyrights and Associate Librarian for Copyright Services

c-731 · 06/2011—10,000

Ex. 44

626

Ex. 44 54

P006334

| Happy birthday to you; unison song. Fee red s APPLICATION FOR COPYRIGH FOR REPUBLISHED MUSICAL COMPOSITION WITH NEW REGISTER OF COPYRIGHTS, Washington, D. C. Date | |
|--|---|
| APPLICATION FOR COPYRIGHTS Washington D. C. | 8096 DEC-9'35 |
| APPLICATION FOR COPYRIGHTS Washington D. C. | |
| REDISTER OF COPYRIGHTS WAShington D. C. | P. Brand |
| the REPUBLISHED MUSICAL COMPOSITION named hereis matter, by a citizen of the United States. TWO complete copies of the date states herein are herewith deposited to secure copyright registration of the Act of Mar. 4, 1909. \$2 (statutory fee for registration and cert copyright is claimed upon the new copyright matter as fated below, the or subject of the country stated plow. The congright is claimed by (1) Name of copyright owner. (2) Address # 19 . Walls of the congright matter of the congright matter of the congright owner. (3) Author of new copyright matter Thes. | m, containing new copyright best edition published on the m according to the provisions ificate) is also inclosed. The s author of which is a citizen |

| 1X3 14 H - 10 KH | COMPOSITION | THIS BLANK REPUBLISHED or of the new matter | CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE | DPYRIGHT MATTE | ir |
|------------------|---------------------|---|---|----------------|--------------------------|
| (6) Title of C | ppscal composition | in the United States, DEC 27 1985 | state where Birthday | & you | / |
| Charu | ward | revised | Corragen Loger | anber | ributed) 1935 |
| (9) Send cer | tificate of registr | A Ave | Chicago | to 2 Se | tate) into |
| (July, 193 | (Street) | U.S. ODYERHERT PRINTING OF | (City) | | tate), urn this over] |