- 1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in the States of California, New York, and Wisconsin, and before this Court. I am a partner with the law firm Wolf Haldenstein Adler Freeman & Herz LLP, interim lead class counsel for plaintiffs and the class. I have personal knowledge of the following facts, and if called upon to do so, I could and would competently testify as to them.
- 2. I submit this declaration in support of the motion by plaintiffs Good Morning To You Productions Corp., Robert Siegel, Rupa Marya d/b/a Rupa & The April Fishes, and Majar Productions, LLC ("Plaintiffs") for an order granting leave to amend the operative complaint.

PRE-FILING CONFERENCE OF COUNSEL

- 3. On October 8, 2015, Plaintiffs provided Defendants with a draft Fifth Amended Complaint and asked Defendants to stipulate to its filing without prejudice to Defendants' right to file a Rule 12 motion. Plaintiffs followed up with a revised draft on October 14, 2015 adding additional detail.
- 4. On October 16, 2015, Defendants responded that they were inclined to agree with Plaintiffs' request to stipulate but required a discovery stay for any responsive documents prior to 2009 pending the Court's resolution of any Rule 12 motion. Plaintiffs did not accept this offer.

October 19, 2015 Status Conference

5. At the October 19, 2015, Status Conference, the Court directed the parties to stipulate and lodge the proposed Fifth Amended Complaint on or before October 26, 2015 if an agreement could be reached. *See* Dkt. 248. On October 23, 2015, Defendants provided a draft stipulation which again requested a discovery stay for any responsive documents prior to 2009 pending the Court's resolution of Defendants' Rule 12 motion, despite the short timeline for completing this case set by the Court at the Status Conference on October 19, 2015.

- 7. Staying any discovery *pending the Court's decision* on such a motion would put Defendants' proposed discovery stay out to the January 18, 2016, deadline for Plaintiffs' class certification motion. Defendants declined to stipulate to the filing of the proposed Fifth Amended Complaint absent a discovery stay, and Plaintiffs could not agree to any stay.
- 8. Absent agreement of the parties, the Court directed Plaintiffs to file their motion for leave to amend within twenty-one (21) days or on or before November 16, 2015. Plaintiffs are filing their motion now and, because of the need to move the litigation forward, concurrently file with their motion a joint stipulation to shorten the briefing and hearing schedule by approximately two weeks to permit the Court to hear and decide this motion on November 16, 2015.

PROPOSED AMENDED PLEADING ATTACHED – L.R. 15-1 AND 15-2

9. A copy of Plaintiffs' Fifth Amended Complaint is attached hereto as **Exhibit A** pursuant to L.R. 15-1.

25 | /// 26 | ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

27 ///

28 | ///

10. A redlined copy of Plaintiffs' [Proposed] Fifth Amended Complaint is attached hereto as **Exhibit B** in addition to the clean copy attached as Exhibit A, so the Court can easily view all of the amendments and additions given the limited changes Plaintiffs seek to make by this amendment.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 29th day of October 2015, in the City of San Diego, State of California.

By: /s/ Betsy C. Manifold
BETSY C. MANIFOLD

WARNER/CHAPPELL:22344.decl.bcm