- 1. The undersigned, Jennifer Nelson, under penalty of perjury, hereby declares and states as follows:
- 2. I am the President of Good Morning to You Productions Corp. ("GMTY"), one of the four Plaintiffs in this Action. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein and, if called upon, I could and would competently testify thereto.
- 3. I submit this Declaration in support of Plaintiffs' motions for final approval of the Settlement and for an incentive compensation award to the Plaintiffs. These motions and supporting memoranda of law are filed concurrently herewith.
- 4. GMTY is producing a documentary film about the history and origin of the song *Happy Birthday to You* ("*Happy Birthday*" or the "Song"). In the course of making the film, I researched the Song, including biographical research of Mildred Hill and her sister Patty Hill, who wrote the original musical composition *Good Morning to All* on which *Happy Birthday* is based. In the course of my research, I discovered many facts that led me to believe Defendant Warner/Chappell Music, Inc. ("Warner") and its predecessors did not own a copyright to the Song. I also learned of a scholarly article written by Robert Brauneis, Professor of Law at George Washington School of Law, raising various technical issues with Warner's copyright claim.
- 5. I discussed these issues with my attorney, Randall S. Newman, Esquire, and I provided all my research and relevant documents to him. Mr. Newman and I met with Mr. Brauneis to speak with him about his legal theories as well as his own historical research, and we conducted additional research of our own to confirm my initial doubts about the scope of Warner's copyright. Based upon our research, as well as the scholarly article written by Prof. Brauneis, we concluded that Warner did not own a copyright to the Song's melody or its familiar lyrics, but at most only owned a copyright to specific piano arrangements and a second verse of the Song,

written by R.R. Forman as an employee of the Clayton F. Summy Co., one of Warner's predecessors.

- 6. At the time, I was aware of Warner's claim to own a copyright to the Song, and at that time I understood GMTY could only use the Song safely in my movie if it obtained a synchronization license to do so. I could not be certain that I would prevail in a dispute with Warner over the copyright. Because I could not be certain I would prevail and because I risked liability for a large damages award for willful infringement, and because I could not release my movie without licensing the Song from Warner, GMTY obtained a synchronization license from Defendant Warner/Chappell Music, Inc. ("Warner") on or about March 26, 2013, for which it paid Warner the sum of \$1,500.
- 7. After GMTY paid for a license for *Happy Birthday*, Mr. Newman introduced me to Mark C. Rifkin, Esquire, another lawyer with whom he had worked on other matters in the past. Mr. Newman and I met with Mr. Rifkin and his colleagues and discussed the copyright dispute in detail with them. Mr. Newman and I provided all of my documents and all the historical evidence we had gathered to Mr. Rifkin and his colleagues, which we discussed at length.
- 8. I also helped Mr. Newman and Mr. Rifkin and his colleagues conduct even more historical research. For example, we traveled to Louisville, Kentucky, where the Hill Sisters lived, we examined the Hill Sisters' personal papers at the Filson Historical Society in Louisville, we met with the curator of the Little Loom House, where the Song was supposedly first sung, and we met with local historians to discuss the Song's history.
- 9. After my complaint was filed, I communicated frequently with Mr. Newman and Mr. Rifkin and his colleagues in person, by telephone, and by email, both to continue our independent historical research and to discuss the status of the Action. We constantly reviewed the ongoing investigation, as well as the progress of the litigation, so that I could monitor the Action for myself and for the absent Class

members.

- 10. My attorneys have kept me extremely well-informed of the progress of the litigation throughout the pendency of the Action so that I could oversee the Action for the benefit of the Class. In addition, I documented the progress of the action as part of my work on my documentary film.
- 11. In particular, my attorneys and I reviewed the consolidated and amended complaints before they were filed, we reviewed the Defendants' motion to dismiss and Plaintiffs' response to it, we reviewed the Court's decision denying Defendants' motion to dismiss, we reviewed the exchange of discovery, we reviewed the crossmotions for summary judgment and the Court's hearings on the cross-motions, we reviewed the Court's decision granting partial summary judgment in favor of the Plaintiffs, and we discussed the possible settlement of the Action.
- 12. I had extensive communication with my attorneys about the proposed Settlement as it was being negotiated. Ultimately, after those communications, I reviewed, approved, and executed the Settlement Agreement. Thereafter, I have continued to review the settlement process.
- 13. Since the time of my early investigation of the Song, I have spent several hundred hours investigating the origin of the Song and the scope of Defendants' copyright. Since my first complaint was filed alone, I have spent at least 300 hours in performing my duties as Plaintiff in the Action. I have spent all that time at my own expense, and without any compensation or promise of compensation of any kind.
- 14. I believe my role in the Action was crucial in achieving the excellent results we have obtained for the Class and for the public.
- 15. I hereby certify, under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the Unitted States, that the foregoing statements are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Executed this 26th day of April, 2016, at New York, New York.

JENNIFER NELSON