

E-Filed

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No. CV 13-4460-GHK (MRWx)

Date August 16, 2016

Title *Good Morning to You Productions Corp., et al. v. Warner/Chappell Music, Inc., et al.*

Presiding: The Honorable

GEORGE H. KING, U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

Paul Songco

N/A

N/A

Deputy Clerk

Court Reporter / Recorder

Tape No.

Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:

Attorneys Present for Defendants:

None

None

Proceedings: (In Chambers) Order re: Application to File Unredacted Reply Brief Under Seal (Dkt. 365)

On July 19, 2016, Defendants filed a reply brief regarding class counsel’s billing records. (Dkt. 366.) Because the billing records are filed under seal, Defendants request that we allow them to file an unredacted version of the reply under seal. (Dkt. 365.) We **GRANT** the request. Defendants submit, however, that there is “no good cause for [their] opening and reply briefs to remain under seal and publicly available only in redacted form,” given that Plaintiffs filed their response brief regarding the billing records in the public record. (*See id.* at 1-2.) Defendants contend that Plaintiffs’ decision to file their brief publicly shows that Plaintiffs “do not believe that quotes from, paraphrasing of, or analyses of the contents of the billing records are confidential.” (*Id.* at 1.)

Within **ten days hereof**, Plaintiffs **SHALL** state whether their response brief, (Dkt. 364), should be filed under seal and, if so, provide a redacted version of the brief to be filed publicly. Failing this, it shall be deemed Plaintiffs’ position that Defendants’ unredacted response and reply briefs regarding the billing records, (Dkts. 354, 366), need not be filed under seal. In that case, those briefs will be unsealed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Initials of Deputy Clerk

PS