GLENN D. POMERANTZ (State Bar No. 112503)	
KELLY M. KLAUS (State Bar No. 161091)	
kelly.klaus@mto.com ADAM I. KAPLAN (State Bar No. 2681	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
adam.kaplan@mto.com	
355 South Grand Avenue	
Los Ángeles, California 90071-1560	
Facsimile: (213) 687-3702	
Attorneys for Defendants Warner/Chappell Music, Inc. and	
Summy-Birchard, Inc.	
LINITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA
	Lead Case No. CV 13-04460-GHK
27-027 242 ARVINGO	(MRWx)
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO
	DISMISS SECOND AMENDED CONSOLIDATED CLASS ACTION
	COMPLAINT
Defendant.	
	Date: September 30, 2013 Time: 9:30 a.m.
	Courtroom: 650 (Roybal) Judge: Hon. George H. King, Chief Judge
MAJAR PRODUCTIONS, LLC, On Behalf of Itself and All Others	
Similarly Situated,	
Plaintiff,	Case No. CV 13-05164-GJK (MRWx)
v.	
WARNER/CHAPPELL MUSIC, INC., and SUMMY-BIRCHARD, INC.,	
Defendants.	
	,
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
	WARNER/CHAPPELL'S MOTION TO DISMISS
	glenn.pomerantz@mto.com KELLY M. KLAUS (State Bar No. 1610 kelly.klaus@mto.com ADAM I. KAPLAN (State Bar No. 2681 adam.kaplan@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 355 South Grand Avenue Thirty-Fifth Floor Los Angeles, California 90071-1560 Telephone: (213) 683-9100 Facsimile: (213) 687-3702  Attorneys for Defendants Warner/Chappell Music, Inc. and Summy-Birchard, Inc.  UNITED STATES CENTRAL DISTRI WESTER  RUPA MARYA, et al.  Plaintiffs,  v.  WARNER/CHAPPELL MUSIC, INC.,  Defendant.  MAJAR PRODUCTIONS, LLC, On Behalf of Itself and All Others Similarly Situated,  Plaintiff,  v.  WARNER/CHAPPELL MUSIC, INC., and SUMMY-BIRCHARD, INC.,

- 1	
1	After considering all of the arguments and briefing presented by the parties in
2	connection with Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Second Amended Consolidated
3	Class Action Complaint and/or Motion to Strike Plaintiffs' Proposed Class
4	Definition, the Court hereby GRANTS Defendants' Motion.
5	1. Plaintiffs' third, fourth, fifth, sixth and seventh claims for relief in
6	Plaintiffs' Second Amended Consolidated Class Action Complaint are dismissed
7	with prejudice.
8	2. Plaintiffs Majar Productions, LLC and Robert Siegel, and their
9	complaints, are dismissed with prejudice.
10	3. Plaintiffs' proposed class definition is dismissed with prejudice [or
11	stricken] to the extent that it exceeds three years prior to the first of the complaints
12	filed in these cases.
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.
14	
15	DATED:
16	THE HONORABLE GEORGE H. KING
17	CHIEF JUDGE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
18	
19	Respectfully submitted by:
20	
21	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
22	
23	By: /s/ Kelly M. Klaus KELLY M. KLAUS
24	
25	Attorneys for Defendants Warner/Chappell Music. Inc. and Summv-Birchard. Inc.
26	
27	

28