UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case

No.: <u>2:13-cv-05852-BRO-JEM</u> Date: <u>7/14/2014</u>

Title: PARIS HILTON ET AL V. PERFECTION PERFUME INC ET AL

Present: The Honorable BEVERLY REID O'CONNELL

Deputy Clerk: Renee Fisher
Court Reporter/Recorder: None

Tape No.: N/A

Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs: Attorneys Present for Defendants:

None None

Proceedings: (In Chambers)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION

Plaintiff(s) are **ORDERED** to show cause why this case should not be dismissed, for lack of prosecution. <u>Link v. Wabash R. Co.</u>, 370 U.S. 626 (1962) (court has inherent power to dismiss for lack of prosecution on its own motion). In this matter:

Plaintiff(s) have failed to file a proof of service within 120 days of the filing of the Complaint. Plaintiff(s) can satisfy this order by showing that service was effectuated within the 120 day deadline or by showing good cause for the failure to do so. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m).

Plaintiffs must respond to this order within 20 days. <u>Failure to respond to this OSC</u> will be deemed consent to the dismissal of the action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Initials of Deputy Clerk: rfi