UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	CV 13-7417 PA Date Dece				December 30, 2013		
Title	In re Contine	ental Coin Corp.					
Present: The Honorable PERCY ANDERSON, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE							
Paul Songco			None		N/A		
Deputy Clerk			Court Reporter		Tape No.		
Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:			Attorneys Present for Defendants:				
None				None			
Proceedin	Proceedings: IN CHAMBERS — COURT ORDER						

The appeal in this matter is brought by Nancy Hoffmeier Zamora, the bankruptcy trustee ("Trustee"), to challenge the Bankruptcy Court's September 18, 2013 Order resolving a Motion for Terminating Sanctions or Alternative Sanctions and for Fees and Costs filed by Rodger Virtue ("Virtue") in an adversary proceeding currently pending in the Bankruptcy Court. The Bankruptcy Court's September 18, 2013 Order denied Virtue's request for terminating sanctions against Trustee but imposed a lesser monetary sanction of \$4,105.51 against Trustee for discovery violations.

Trustee filed a Notice of Appeal and elected to have the appeal heard by the District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 158. Section 158 provides:

- (a) The district courts of the United States shall have jurisdiction to hear appeals
 - (1) from final judgments, orders, and decrees;
 - (2) from interlocutory orders and decrees issued under section 1121(d) of title 11 increasing or reducing the time periods referred to in section 1121 of such title; and
 - (3) with leave of the court, from other interlocutory orders and decrees;

And, with leave of the court, from interlocutory orders and decrees, of bankruptcy judges entered in cases and proceedings referred to the bankruptcy judges under section 157 of this title.

28 U.S.C. § 158. The Bankruptcy Court's September 18, 2013 Order is not a final judgment, order, or decree. See Johnny Pflocks, Inc. v. Firestone Tire & Rubber Co., 634 F.2d 1215, 1216 (9th Cir. 1980) ("Discovery orders and sanctions in the nature of civil penalties are normally deemed interlocutory and thus nonappealable by the parties."); see also Koninklijke Philips Elecs. N.V. v. KXD Tech., Inc., 539 F.3d 1039, 1042 (9th Cir. 2008). Nor does it appear that Trustee has obtained leave of court to pursue an interlocutory appeal of that order. As a result, a party must ordinarily wait until a final judgment to

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	CV 13-7417 PA	Date	December 30, 2013
Title	In re Continental Coin Corp.		

appeal a discovery sanction. <u>Id.</u> at 1217 (granting motion to dismiss appeal for lack of jurisdiction and holding that "a party may not appeal this type of discovery order prior to final judgment"); <u>see also Koninklijke Philips Elecs. N.V.</u>, 539 F.3d at 1046 (noting that "defendants will have the opportunity to appeal the sanctions imposed after a final judgment").

For all of the foregoing reasons, the Court orders Trustee to show cause in writing why this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. Trustee's response to this order to show cause shall be filed no later than January 13, 2014. Failure to file a response by that date may result in the dismissal of the this appeal.

IT IS SO ORDERED.