

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARSHA BRANDON,	)	NO. CV 13-7613-PSG(E)
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF
	)	
LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF	)	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
DEPARTMENT "DEPUTY MORALES,"	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	

---

This Report and Recommendation is submitted to the Honorable Phillip S. Gutierrez, United States District Judge, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 636 and General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California.

In this pro se civil rights action, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit filed a Memorandum on November 27, 2015, remanding the action to this Court for further proceedings. The Ninth Circuit's Mandate was filed on December 22, 2015.

///  
///

1 On the same date, mail previously sent to Plaintiff at her  
2 address of record was returned to this Court undelivered. Thus,  
3 Plaintiff evidently has failed to keep this Court apprised of her  
4 current address. Accordingly, this action should be dismissed without  
5 prejudice. See Carey v. King, 856 F.2d 1439 (9th Cir. 1988) (pro se  
6 civil rights plaintiff's failure to advise court of change in address  
7 warrants dismissal without prejudice); L.R. 41-6 (authorizing  
8 dismissal of an action fifteen days after the return of undelivered  
9 mail where a party proceeding pro se has failed to keep the court  
10 apprised of the pro se party's current address); see also Fed. R. Civ.  
11 P. 41(b); Link v. Wabash R.R., 370 U.S. 626, 629-30 (1962) (court has  
12 inherent power to achieve the orderly and expeditious disposition of  
13 cases by dismissing actions for failure to prosecute).

14  
15 For the foregoing reasons, IT IS RECOMMENDED that the Court issue  
16 an Order: (1) accepting and adopting this Report and Recommendation;  
17 and (2) directing that Judgment be entered dismissing the action  
18 without prejudice.

19  
20 DATED: January 7, 2016.  
21  
22

23 /s/  
24 CHARLES F. EICK  
25 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
26  
27  
28

1 **NOTICE**

2 Reports and Recommendations are not appealable to the Court of  
3 Appeals, but may be subject to the right of any party to file  
4 objections as provided in the Local Rules Governing the Duties of  
5 Magistrate Judges and review by the District Judge whose initials  
6 appear in the docket number. No notice of appeal pursuant to the  
7 Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure should be filed until entry of  
8 the judgment of the District Court.

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28