1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	CASEY D. MAYER,) NO. CV 13-7814-DMG(E)
12	Plaintiff,
13	v.) ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS,
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS et al.,)
15	Defendants.) OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
16)
17	
18	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 636, the Court has reviewed the
19	Complaint, all of the records herein and the Report and

20 Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge. Further, the Court 21 has engaged in a <u>de novo</u> review of those portions of the Report and 22 Recommendation to which any objections have been made. The Court 23 accepts and adopts the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. 24

IT IS ORDERED that: (1) Plaintiff's "Motion to Strike Defendant's [sic] Expert's Declaration" is denied; (2) Plaintiff's "Motion to Strike the Defendant's [sic] Newly Raised Evidence" is denied; (3) the individual Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment

1	is granted; and (4) Plaintiff's <u>Bivens</u> claims are dismissed with
2	prejudice. Therefore, the case will proceed only on Plaintiff's
3	claim against Defendant United States under the Federal Tort Claims
4	Act.
5	
6	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk serve copies of this Order
7	and the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation on Plaintiff and
8	on counsel for Defendants.
9	
10	DATED: July 15, 2016
11	
12	All my
13	Dolly M. GEE
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	