UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 11

8

9

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

22

23

21

24 25

26 27

28

GUARDIAN MEDIA TECHNOLOGIES, LTD, Plaintiff, V. AMAZON.COM, INC., et al. Defendant.

Case No. 2:13-cv-08369 PSG (PLAx)

PROTECTIVE ORDER

Honorable Paul L. Abrams

GOOD CAUSE STATEMENT

Disclosure and discovery activity in this action are likely to involve production of confidential license and settlement agreements, sales information, schematics, source code, technical documentation or other confidential research, development, commercial, proprietary, or private information for which special protection from public disclosure and from use for any purpose other than this litigation may be warranted. Accordingly, the parties hereby stipulate to and request that the Court enter the following Protective Order pursuant to Rule 26(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

The parties believe that good cause exists for the entry of this Order because protected material constitutes confidential or proprietary information, the disclosure of which is likely to have the effect of harming the competitive position

of the designating party or violating an obligation of confidentiality owed to a third party.

Protected material designated under the terms of this Order shall be used by a receiving party solely for this litigation and shall not be used directly or indirectly for any other purpose whatsoever, and its disclosure is prohibited except as expressly provided in this Order.

The parties acknowledge that this Order does not confer blanket protections on all disclosures or responses to discovery. Designations of confidentiality shall be made with care and shall not be made absent a good faith belief that the Protected Material satisfies the criteria set forth below for each category.

1. PURPOSE AND LIMITS OF THIS ORDER

Discovery in this action is likely to involve confidential, proprietary, or private information containing or reflecting financial information, contractual terms, or the design and operation of products such as financial statements and records, license and settlement agreements, design specifications, source code, etc. Such information may require special protection from public disclosure and from use for any purpose other than this litigation. Thus, the Court enters this Protective Order. This Order does not confer blanket protections on all disclosures or responses to discovery, and the protection it gives from public disclosure and use extends only to the specific material entitled to confidential treatment under the applicable legal principles.

This Order does not automatically authorize the filing under seal of material designated under this Order. Instead, the parties must comply with L.R. 79-5.1 if they seek to file anything under seal. *See* Section 10 ("Filing Under Seal") below.

This Order applies to all pre-trial proceedings but does not govern the use at trial of material designated under this Order. The parties agree that a separate confidentiality agreement will govern the trial in this matter. The parties agree to negotiate such agreement prior to trial to govern the use of information designated

27

28

under this Order and documents during trial. Counsel for the parties will submit such agreement (or their respective proposals, if no agreement can be reached) to the Court for consideration.

2. <u>DESIGNATING PROTECTED MATERIAL</u>

- 2.1 **Over-Designation Prohibited**. Any party or non-party who designates information or items for protection under this Order as "CONFIDENTIAL," "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEY EYES ONLY," or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – SOURCE CODE" (a "designator") must only designate specific material that qualifies under the appropriate standards. To the extent practicable, only those parts of documents, items, or oral or written communications that require protection shall be designated. Designations with a higher confidentiality level when a lower level would suffice are prohibited. Mass, indiscriminate, or routinized designations are prohibited. Unjustified designations expose the designator to sanctions, including the Court's striking all confidentiality designations made by that designator. Designation under this Order is allowed only if the designation is necessary to protect material that, if disclosed to persons not authorized to view it, would cause competitive or other recognized harm. Material may not be designated if it has been made public, or if designation is otherwise unnecessary to protect a secrecy interest. If a designator learns that information or items that it designated for protection do not qualify for protection at all or do not qualify for the level of protection initially asserted, that designator must promptly notify all parties that it is withdrawing the mistaken designation.
- **2.2 Manner and Timing of Designations**. Designation under this Order requires the designator to affix the applicable legend ("CONFIDENTIAL," "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY EYES ONLY," or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE CODE") to each page that contains protected material. For testimony given in deposition or other proceeding, the designator shall specify all protected testimony and the level of protection being asserted. It

may make that designation during the deposition or proceeding, or may invoke, on the record or by written notice to all parties on or before the next business day, a right to have up to 21 days from the deposition or proceeding to make its designation.

- 2.2.1 A party or non-party that makes original documents or materials available for inspection need not designate them for protection until after the inspecting party has identified which material it would like copied and produced. During the inspection and before the designation, all material shall be treated as HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY EYES ONLY. After the inspecting party has identified the documents it wants copied and produced, the producing party must designate the documents, or portions thereof, that qualify for protection under this Order.
- 2.2.2 Parties shall give advance notice if they expect a deposition or other proceeding to include designated material so that the other parties can ensure that only authorized individuals are present at those proceedings when such material is disclosed or used. The use of a document as an exhibit at a deposition shall not in any way affect its designation.

 Transcripts containing designated material shall have a legend on the title page noting the presence of designated material. The designator shall inform the court reporter of these requirements. Any transcript that is prepared before the expiration of the 21-day period for designation shall be treated during that period as if it had been designated HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY EYES ONLY unless otherwise agreed. After the expiration of the 21-day period, the transcript shall be treated only as actually designated.
- **2.3 Inadvertent Failures to Designate**. An inadvertent failure to designate does not, standing alone, waive protection under this Order. Upon timely assertion or correction of a designation, all recipients must make reasonable efforts

first make a written request to the designator providing the full name of the in-house counsel, the city and state of such counsel's residence, and such counsel's current and reasonably foreseeable future primary job duties and responsibilities in sufficient detail to determine present or potential involvement in any competitive decision-making; supervising litigation, including participating in decisions regarding settlement of litigation, does not constitute competitive decision-making. In-house counsel are not authorized to receive material designated HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL — SOURCE CODE.

4.4.2 A party seeking to disclose to an expert retained by outside counsel of record any information or item that has been designated CONFIDENTIAL, HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEY EYES ONLY or HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – SOURCE CODE must first make a written request to the designator that (1) identifies the category or categories of information, i.e., CONFIDENTIAL, HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL -ATTORNEY EYES ONLY or HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – SOURCE CODE information that the receiving party seeks permission to disclose to the expert, (2) sets forth the full name of the expert and the city and state of his or her primary residence, (3) attaches a copy of the expert's current resume, (4) identifies the expert's current employer(s), (5) identifies each person or entity from whom the expert has received compensation or funding for work in his or her areas of expertise (including in connection with litigation) in the past five years, and (6) identifies (by name and number of the case, filing date, and location of court) any litigation where the expert has offered expert testimony, including by declaration, report, or testimony at deposition or trial, in the past five years. If the expert believes any of this information at (4) - (6) is subject to a confidentiality obligation to a third party, then the expert should provide whatever information the expert

believes can be disclosed without violating any confidentiality agreements, and the party seeking to disclose the information to the expert shall be available to meet and confer with the designator regarding any such confidentiality obligations.

- **4.4.3** A party that makes a request and provides the information specified in paragraphs 4.4.1 or 4.4.2 may disclose the designated material to the identified in-house counsel or expert unless, within seven days of delivering the request, the party receives a written objection from the designator providing detailed grounds for the objection.
- **4.4.4** All challenges to objections from the designator shall proceed under L.R. 37-1 through L.R. 37-4.

5. SOURCE CODE

- **5.1 Designation of Source Code**. If production of source code is necessary, a party may designate it as HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE CODE if it is, or includes, confidential, proprietary, or trade secret source code.
- 5.2 Location and Supervision of Inspection. Any HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE CODE produced in discovery shall be made available for inspection, in a format allowing it to be reasonably reviewed and searched, during normal business hours or at other mutually agreeable times, at an office of the designating party's counsel or another mutually agreeable location. The source code shall be made available for inspection on a secured computer in a secured room, and the inspecting party shall not copy, remove, or otherwise transfer any portion of the source code onto any recordable media or recordable device. The designator may visually monitor the activities of the inspecting party's representatives during any source code review, but only to ensure that there is no unauthorized recording, copying, or transmission of the source code.
- **5.3 Paper Copies of Source Code Excerpts**. The inspecting party may request paper copies of limited portions of source code that are reasonably

///

///

necessary for the preparation of court filings, pleadings, expert reports, other papers, or for deposition or trial. The designator shall provide all such source code in paper form, including Bates numbers and the label "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – SOURCE CODE."

5.4 Access Record. The inspecting party shall maintain a record of any individual who has inspected any portion of the source code in electronic or paper form, and shall maintain all paper copies of any printed portions of the source code in a secured, locked area. The inspecting party shall not convert any of the information contained in the paper copies into any electronic format other than for the preparation of a pleading, exhibit, expert report, discovery document, deposition transcript, or other Court document. Any paper copies used during a deposition shall be retrieved at the end of each day and must not be left with a court reporter or any other unauthorized individual.

6. **PROSECUTION BAR**

Absent written consent from the designator, any individual who receives access to HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEY EYES ONLY or HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – SOURCE CODE information shall not be involved in the prosecution of patents or patent applications concerning the field of the invention of the patents-in-suit for the receiving party or its acquirer, successor, predecessor, or other affiliate during the pendency of this action and for one year after its conclusion, including any appeals. "Prosecution" means drafting, amending, advising on the content of, or otherwise affecting the scope or content of patent claims or specifications. These prohibitions shall not preclude counsel from participating in reexamination or *inter partes* review proceedings to challenge or defend the validity of any patent, but counsel may not participate in the drafting of amended claims in any such proceedings.

7. PROTECTED MATERIAL SUBPOENAED OR ORDERED PRODUCED IN OTHER LITIGATION

- 7.1 Subpoenas and Court Orders. This Order in no way excuses non-compliance with a lawful subpoena or court order. The purpose of the duties described in this section is to alert the interested parties to the existence of this Order and to give the designator an opportunity to protect its confidentiality interests in the court where the subpoena or order issued.
- 7.2 Notification Requirement. If a party is served with a subpoena or a court order issued in other litigation that compels disclosure of any information or items designated in this action as CONFIDENTIAL, HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY EYES ONLY, or HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE CODE, that party must:
 - **7.2.1** Promptly notify the designator in writing. Such notification shall include a copy of the subpoena or court order;
 - **7.2.2** Promptly notify in writing the party who caused the subpoena or order to issue in the other litigation that some or all of the material covered by the subpoena or order is subject to this Order. Such notification shall include a copy of this Order; and
 - **7.2.3** Cooperate with all reasonable procedures sought by the designator whose material may be affected.
- **7.3 Wait For Resolution of Protective Order.** If the designator timely seeks a protective order, the party served with the subpoena or court order shall not produce any information designated in this action as CONFIDENTIAL, HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY EYES ONLY or HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL SOURCE CODE before a determination by the court where the subpoena or order issued, unless the party has obtained the designator's permission. The designator shall bear the burden and expense of seeking protection of its confidential material in that court.

8. UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE OF DESIGNATED MATERIAL

If a receiving party learns that, by inadvertence or otherwise, it has disclosed designated material to any person or in any circumstance not authorized under this Order, it must immediately (1) notify in writing the designator of the unauthorized disclosures, (2) use its best efforts to retrieve all unauthorized copies of the designated material, (3) inform the person or persons to whom unauthorized disclosures were made of all the terms of this Order, and (4) use reasonable efforts to have such person or persons execute the Agreement to Be Bound (Exhibit A).

9. <u>INADVERTENT PRODUCTION OF PRIVILEGED OR</u> OTHERWISE PROTECTED MATERIAL

When a producing party gives notice that certain inadvertently produced material is subject to a claim of privilege or other protection, the obligations of the receiving parties are those set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(5)(B). This provision is not intended to modify whatever procedure may be established in an e-discovery order that provides for production without prior privilege review pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d) and (e).

10. FILING UNDER SEAL

Without written permission from the designator or a Court order, a party may not file in the public record in this action any designated material. A party seeking to file under seal any designated material must comply with L.R. 79-5.1. Filings may be made under seal only pursuant to a court order authorizing the sealing of the specific material at issue. The fact that a document has been designated under this Order is insufficient to justify filing under seal. Instead, parties must explain the basis for confidentiality of each document sought to be filed under seal. In addition, if the filing relates to a dispositive motion, the party seeking to file under seal must show "compelling reasons" for sealing such materials. *See Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1180-81 (9th Cir. 2006). Because a party other than the designator will often be seeking to

1	file designated material, cooperation between the parties in preparing, and in
2	reducing the number and extent of, requests for under seal filing is essential. If a
3	receiving party's request to file designated material under seal pursuant to L.R. 79-
4	5.1 is denied by the Court, then the receiving party <i>may file the material in the</i>
5	public record unless (1) the designator seeks reconsideration within four days of
6	the denial, or (2) as otherwise instructed by the Court.
7	11. <u>FINAL DISPOSITION</u>
8	Within 60 days after the final disposition of this action, each party shall
9	return all designated material to the designator or destroy such material, including
10	all copies, abstracts, compilations, summaries, and any other format reproducing or
11	capturing any designated material. The receiving party must submit a written
12	certification to the designator by the 60-day deadline that (1) identifies (by
13	category, where appropriate) all the designated material that was returned or
14	destroyed, and (2) affirms that the receiving party has not retained any copies,
15	abstracts, compilations, summaries, or any other format reproducing or capturing
16	any of the designated material, except as permitted by this Order. This provision
17	shall not prevent counsel from retaining an archival copy of all pleadings, motion
18	papers, trial, deposition, and hearing transcripts, legal memoranda,

correspondence, deposition and trial exhibits, expert reports, attorney work

designated material. Any such archival copies remain subject to this Order.

product, and consultant and expert work product, even if such materials contain

22

21

19

20

IT IS SO ORDERED.

24

23

DATED: November 24, 2014

26

25

Paul L. Abrams

United States Magistrate Judge

Paul Z. alramst

27

EXHIBIT A

AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND

1

2	AUKEEMENT TO BE DOUND
3	I, [print or type full name], of
4	[print or type full address], declare under penalty of perjury
5	that I have read in its entirety and understand the Protective Order that was issued
6	by the United States District Court for the Central District of California on
7	[date] in the case of [insert formal name of the case and
8	the number and initials assigned to it by the court]. I agree to comply with and
9	to be bound by all the terms of this Protective Order, and I understand and
10	acknowledge that failure to so comply could expose me to sanctions and
11	punishment for contempt. I solemnly promise that I will not disclose in any manner
12	any information or item that is subject to this Protective Order to any person or
13	entity except in strict compliance with this Order.
14	I further agree to submit to the jurisdiction of the United States District
15	Court for the Central District of California for the purpose of enforcing this Order,
16	even if such enforcement proceedings occur after termination of this action.
17	I hereby appoint [print or type full name] of
18	[print or type full address and
19	telephone number] as my California agent for service of process in connection with
20	this action or any proceedings related to enforcement of this Order.
21	
22	Date:
23	City and State where sworn and signed:
24	
25	Printed name:
26	[printed name]
27	Signature:
28	[signature]
	- 13 -
	[PROPOSED] PROTECTIVE ORDER