

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

JS-6

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION

THE CITY OF BEVERLY HILLS, a
municipal corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

FEDERAL TRANSIT
ADMINISTRATION, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. CV 13-1144-GW(SSx)
Consolidated with
No. CV 13-8621-GW(SSx)

Final Judgment

1 In accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54 and the Court's Order
2 Regarding Satisfaction of Remand and Further Litigation (Docket No. 275) ("Order"), IT
3 IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

4 1. On August 12, 2016, the Court issued a Final Decision on Motions for
5 Summary Judgment and Ruling in Regards to Remedy (Docket No. 188) ("Final
6 Decision"), granting in part and denying in part the motion for summary judgment filed
7 by Plaintiff The City of Beverly Hills ("the City") and granting in part and denying in
8 part the motion for summary judgment filed by Federal Defendants Federal Transit
9 Administration *et al.* ("Federal Defendants");

10 2. On December 8, 2017, the Federal Defendants filed a Notice of Satisfaction
11 of Remand (Docket No. 273) ("Notice") indicating they had completed the procedural
12 tasks outlined in the Court's Final Decision.

13 3. As to the claims on which the City prevailed, as identified in the Final
14 Decision, judgment is entered in favor of the City. Such claims are dismissed as moot in
15 light of the Order.

16 4. As to all other claims, judgment is entered in favor of the Federal
17 Defendants. Such claims are dismissed with prejudice.

18 5. All parties are to bear their own costs and attorney's fees.

19 6. Nothing herein modifies the Order.

20
21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22
23 DATED: January 24, 2018

24
25 

26 GEORGE H. WU
27 United States District Judge
28