

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ACTING)
BY AND THROUGH ITS BOARD OF)
HARBOR COMMISSIONERS,)

Plaintiff,)

v.)

BAE SYSTEMS SAN DIEGO SHIP)
REPAIR INC., a California Corporation;)
and Does 1 thru 10, Inclusive,)

Defendants.)

CASE NO. 13-CV-8810 CBM (AGR_x)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL [JS-6]

**[First Amended Complaint Served
8/18/14]**

BAE SYSTEMS SAN DIEGO SHIP)
REPAIR INC., a California Corporation,)

Counterclaimant,)

v.)

CITY OF LOS ANGELES, ACTING)
BY AND THROUGH ITS BOARD OF)
HARBOR COMMISSIONERS,)

Counterdefendant.)

1 The City of Los Angeles (the “City”) and BAE Systems San Diego Ship
2 Repair Inc. (“BAE Systems”) (collectively, the “Parties”) have submitted a
3 stipulation (“Stipulation”) to dismiss this action.
4

5 NOW, THEREFORE, good cause appearing from the Stipulation, the Court
6 orders as follows:
7

8 1. This action, including all claims and counterclaims stated between
9 the Parties, is dismissed with prejudice.

10 2. The Court will retain jurisdiction to interpret and enforce the terms of
11 the settlement agreement.
12

13 IT IS SO ORDERED:
14

15 Dated: March 1, 2017



16 Consuelo B. Marshall
17 United States District Judge
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28