1 2 3 4 5	BRIAN A. VOGEL, SBN 167493 brian@bvogel.com THE LAW OFFICES OF BRIAN A. VOGEL, PC 770 County Square Dr., Ste. 104 Ventura, CA 93003 Phone: (805) 654-0400 Facsimile: (805) 654-0326	
6	Attorney for Plaintiff JOSEPH M. HICKS	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8 9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JOSEPH M. HICKS, an individual,	Case No. CV 13-9016 FMO (RZx)
12	Plaintiff, vs.	[PROPOSED] PROTECTIVE ORDER ON CONFIDENTIAL
14 15 16 17	CITY OF SANTA BARBARA, SANTA BARBARA POLICE DEPARTMENT OFFICER JUSTIN CRUZ, an individual, DEPARTMENT OF ALCOHOL BEVERAGE CONTROL OFFICER LUIS MADRIZ, an individual, and DOES 1-10, inclusive,	INFORMATION NOTE: CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE TO THIS DOCUMENT
19 20	Defendants.	
21 22 23 24	Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties and good cause being shown therefore, THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS THE FOLLOWING: 1. The information and items subject to this agreement ("Confidential")	
25	Information") are as follows:	
26 27 28	Defendant Justin Cruz, including but not limited to, all documents relating to the history	

of employment from inception to the present such as job application, position job description, performance appraisals, employee development plans, employee self assessments, records of formal counseling sessions, notes on attendance, performance improvement plan documentation, disciplinary action, reports, and employee recognition documents. Defendant Cruz will produce these documents with redactions of all information relating to Cruz's personal residence information, past and present, as well as personal identifying information such as Social Security number, and any information relating to his personal relationships outside of his employment at SBPD including with his spouse, family members, friends and significant others.

- b. The City of Santa Barbara Human Resources Department personnel file pertaining to Defendant Cruz, including application of for employment, W-4 forms, personnel action forms, military leave and deployment records.
- c. Documents referring or relating to the compensation and employments benefits paid to the Defendant Cruz for his services as an SBPD employee.
- d. SBPD Internal Affairs file pertaining to the complaints of Joseph Hicks and Craig Jenkins related to this incident, including but not limited to, citizen complaints, investigations, audio and/or video recordings and transcripts of those recordings, of interviews conducted, witness statements, reports, and dispositions.
- e. SBPD Internal Affairs files pertaining to Defendant Cruz on incidents other than on December 7, 2011, including but not limited to, citizen complaints, investigations, audio and/or video recordings and transcripts of those recordings, of interviews conducted, witness statements, reports, and dispositions.

- f. Documents referring or relating to discipline, including, but not limited to, verbal and written reprimands or loss of compensation or any other employment benefit, that Defendant Cruz received during the term of his employment as a law enforcement officer at SBPD.
- g. Documents referring or relating to education and training the Defendant CRUZ has received relating to his duties as a law enforcement officer at SBPD.
- 2. The Confidential Information delineated in the previous paragraph is the proper subject of a privilege. The Court, therefore, orders that the information and items are deemed confidential.
- 3. The Court may modify the protective order at any time for good cause shown and upon notice to the affected parties. The parties themselves may further stipulate to add or delete item or information subject to the protective order, subject to court approval..
- 4. Defendants' concerns of privilege and other objections raised in their response and outlines in their privilege logs are adequately protected by this protective order.
- 5. The production of the Confidential Information referenced shall be subject to the following protections:
- (a) The Confidential Information will be considered confidential information. This confidential information shall be used solely in connection with this case and the preparation and trial of this case, any settlement conference, private mediation or arbitration conducted in connection with this case, or any related appellate

appropriately marked "Confidential Item produced in *Hicks v. City of Santa Barbara, et al.*, United States District Court Case No. CV 13-9016 FMO (RZx), subject to protective order."

- 6. All copies of the Confidential Information shall be returned to the disclosing party within a reasonable time period after the conclusion of this action.
- 7. The admissibility of the Confidential Information herein disclosed is not conceded, and the parties each have the right to seek exclusion of the Confidential Information or their existence either in limine or during trial.
- 8. Disclosure of the Confidential Information shall be made within ten (10) days of receipt by Defendants of this signed and conformed order of the Court.

This protective order shall not govern in connection with dispositive motions or at trial. If protection is desired in connection with those proceedings, a separate application must be made, and directed to the judicial officer who will preside in connection with those proceedings.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 18, 2014

Hon. Ralph Zarefsky Magistrate Judge

United States District Court

all Saryshy

Central District of California