

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Locke Lord LLP
300 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2600
Los Angeles, CA 90071

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARV W. DURMENT, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

THE BURLINGTON INSURANCE
COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 2:14-cv-01231 JAK-E

Hon. John A. Kronstadt; Courtroom 750

JUDGMENT

JS-6

1 Plaintiff Marv W. Durment (“Durment”) filed a Motion for Partial Summary
2 Judgment and Defendant Endurance American Specialty Insurance Company
3 (“Endurance”) filed a Motion for Summary Judgment which were both heard on
4 October 27, 2014 before this Court. Durment’s Motion for Partial Summary
5 Judgment was denied and Endurance’s Motion for Summary Judgment was granted.

6 Durment then filed a Motion for Reconsideration regarding the Order on the
7 Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and Motion for Summary Judgment which was
8 denied.

9 Defendant the Burlington Insurance Company (“Burlington”) filed a Motion for
10 Summary Judgment which was granted.

11 Judgment is now entered in favor of Defendant Endurance and against Plaintiffs
12 Marv W. Durment, Minadora Holdings LLC, and Jarvis Enterprises, Inc., d/b/a
13 Orange Precision Metal Fabrication as to each and every cause of action asserted
14 against Defendant Endurance set forth in Plaintiffs’ First Amended Complaint.

15 Judgment is now entered in favor of Defendant Burlington and against Plaintiffs
16 Marv W. Durment, Minadora Holdings LLC, and Jarvis Enterprises, Inc., d/b/a
17 Orange Precision Metal Fabrication as to each and every cause of action asserted
18 against Defendant Burlington set forth in Plaintiffs’ First Amended Complaint.

19 An award of costs may be recovered, in an amount to be determined, following
20 the filing of the appropriate application and the consideration of any objections.

21
22
23 Dated: April 8, 2015



HON. JOHN A. KRONSTADT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE