

LINK:

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No.	CV 14-02194 BRO (AGR_x)	Date	June 10, 2014
Title	CHERYL C. CROWLEY v. AMERIPRISE FINANCIAL INC., ET AL.		

Present: The Honorable **BEVERLY REID O’CONNELL, United States District Judge**

Renee A. Fisher

Not Present

N/A

Deputy Clerk

Court Reporter

Tape No.

Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:

Attorneys Present for Defendants:

Not Present

Not Present

Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE FAILURE TO OPPOSE

Pending before the Court is Defendant Ameriprise Financial Inc.’s motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim. (Dkt. No. 8.) When Ameriprise filed its motion, it set the hearing date for June 30, 2014. (*See id.*) Under the Court’s Local Rule 7-9, a party must oppose a motion at least 21 days prior to the scheduled hearing date. C.D. Cal. L.R. 7-9. Accordingly, Plaintiff’s opposition to Ameriprise’s motion was due no later than June 9, 2014. Yet as of today, Plaintiff has filed no opposition. Pursuant to the Court’s Local Rule 7-12, failure to file an opposition “may be deemed consent to the granting . . . of the motion.” C.D. Cal. L.R. 7-12.

Accordingly, Plaintiff is ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE why Ameriprise’s motion should not be granted. Plaintiff’s response to this order to show cause must be filed **no later than Friday, June 13, 2014, at 4:00 p.m.** An appropriate response to this order will include reasons demonstrating good cause for Plaintiff’s failure to file an opposition to Ameriprise’s motion. Failure to respond to this motion may be deemed a failure to prosecute, resulting in dismissal of the entire lawsuit. *See Chambers v. NASCO Inc.*, 501 U.S. 32, 44 (1991).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Initials of Preparer

rf