Consent Judgment

Dockets.Justia.com

1 2 3	KENDALL DAY Chief, Asset Forfeiture and Money Laundering Section (AFMLS) DANIEL H. CLAMAN, Assistant Deput WOO S. LEE, Senior Trial Attorney DELLA SENTILLES, Trial Attorney	y Chief JS-6
4 5	Criminal Division United States Department of Justice 1400 New York Avenue, N.W., 10th Flo Washington, D.C. 20530 Telephone: (202) 514-1263	
6	Woo.Lee@usdoj.gov	
7	STEPHANIE YONEKURA Acting United States Attorney	22)
8	STEVEN R. WELK (Cal. Bar No. 14988 Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Asset Forfeiture Section	
10	KATHARINE SCHONBACHLER (Cal. 312 North Spring Street, 14th Floor	Bar No. 222875)
11	KATHARINE SCHONBACHLER (Cal. 312 North Spring Street, 14 <sup>th</sup> Floor Los Angeles, California 90012 Telephone: (213) 894-3172	
12	Katie.Schonbachler@usdoj.gov	
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
14	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT
15	FOR THE CENTRAL D	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) No. CV 14-3140-RGK-SS
17	Plaintiff,	)
18	V.	) Hon. R. Gary Klausner
19	\$726,951.45 IN UNITI BANK FUNDS	<i>)</i> )
20		) PROPOSED CONSENT JUDGMENT
21	Defendants.	) OF FORFEITURE
22		)
23		)
24		) )
25		, )
26		)
27		)
		<i>)</i>
28		

04579.23529/5570370 2

Whereas this action (the "California Action") was filed on April 24, 2014, against the defendant \$726,951.45 in funds held at Uniti Bank ("Defendant Funds"). Jae Yong Chun, Sang Ah Park, Yoon Yang Ja, and the Port Manleigh Trust (collectively "Claimants") claim an interest in the Defendant Funds. No other parties other than Claimants have appeared in this case and the time for filing statements of interest and answers has expired. The Defendant Funds are in the custody and control of the United States Marshal Service ("USMS").

Whereas Plaintiff United States of America and Claimants have reached a

Settlement Agreement that is dispositive of the California Action and the parties

hereby request that the Court enter this Consent Judgment of Forfeiture ("Forfeiture

Judgment") in the California Action. The Settlement Agreement is filed as Exhibit

A to this Consent Judgment of Forfeiture.

## WHEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

- This Court has jurisdiction over the Parties and the subject matter of the California Action.
- 2. Notice of this California Action has been given in accordance with law.

  All potential claimants other than Claimants are deemed to have admitted the allegations of the Complaint. The allegations in the Complaint -- which, as set forth in the attached Settlement Agreement are neither admitted nor denied by Claimants -- are sufficient to provide a basis for forfeiture on the terms described herein.

- 3. As set forth in the Settlement Agreement, Claimants, jointly and individually, and the United States consent to the entry of this Forfeiture Judgment and agree to take all reasonable steps necessary to execute its terms.
- 4. Claimants, jointly and individually, represent that they are the owners of the Defendant Funds in the California Action. Claimants further represent and warrant, jointly and individually, that they have the legal right to transfer the Defendant Funds without the intervention, consent or approval of any other third party.
- 5. One hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000.00) of the Defendant Funds, without interest, shall be returned to Claimants through their counsel. Claimants' counsel shall provide any and all information to the United States needed to process the distribution of these funds according to federal law. No funds shall be distributed pursuant to this Paragraph prior to April 27, 2015.
- 6. The United States of America shall have judgment as to \$626,951.45 of the Defendant Funds and all interest earned on the entirety of the Defendant Funds since seizure, and no other person or entity shall have any right, title or interest therein. The United States is ordered to dispose of said funds in accordance with law, subject to the terms agreed to in the Settlement Agreement. All right, title, and interest of Claimants, and all

other potential claimants, in the Defendant Funds described in this

Paragraph is hereby condemned and forfeited to the United States of

America. The United States Marshals Service is ordered to dispose of said

funds in accordance with law.

- 7. The Parties agree that this Forfeiture Judgment is conditioned upon satisfaction of the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 8. Upon entry of the Forfeiture Judgment by the Court, the Forfeiture Judgment shall constitute the final judgment between and among the United States and Claimants.
- 9. As it pertains to this Forfeiture Judgment, all rights of appeal are hereby waived by all Parties. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Parties do not waive their rights to enforce the terms of this Forfeiture Judgment, which rights are expressly retained.
- 10. This Forfeiture Judgment, and any other dispute arising thereof, shall be governed by the laws of the United States and the laws of the State of California. The Parties agree that the exclusive jurisdiction and venue for any dispute arising between and among the Parties under this Forfeiture Judgment is the United States District Court for the Central District of California. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce this Forfeiture Judgment.

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 1	1. In the event that any disputes arise about the interpretation of or
	compliance with the terms of this Forfeiture Judgment, the Parties will
	endeavor in good faith to resolve any such disputes between themselves
	before bringing it to the Court for resolution. However, in the event of
	either a failure by one of the parties to this Forfeiture Judgment to comply
	with its terms or an act by one of the Parties in violation of any provision
	hereof, the Parties may move this Court to impose any remedy authorized
	by law or equity, including awarding attorney's fees, issuing contempt
	citations and ordering monetary sanctions and penalties.

12. The Court finds that there was reasonable cause for the seizure of the Defendant Funds and institution of these proceedings. This judgment shall be construed as a certificate of reasonable cause pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2465.

M. KENDALL DAY, Chief ASSET FORFEITURE AND MONEY LAUNDERING SECTION, Criminal Division

By: \_S/Woo S. Lee\_

WOO S. LEE, Senior Trial Attorney DELLA SENTILLES, Trial Attorney Criminal Division Attorneys for the Plaintiff United States of America

1	
2	By: <u>S/Benjamin J. Razi</u>
3	Benjamin J. Razi (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) Caitlin R. Cottingham
4	COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
5	One CityCenter
6	850 Tenth Street, NW
	Washington, DC 20001 Tel: (202) 662-6000
7	Fax: (202) 778-5463
8	Email: brazi@cov.com
9	Attorneys for Claimants Jae Yong Chun,
10	Sang Ah Park, Yang Ja Yoon, and the Port
11	Manleigh Trust
12	
13	
15	
1.4	
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.
14 15	
15	gay Klaum
15 16	R. GARY KLAUSNER
15 16 17	R. GARY KLAUSNER United States District Judge
15 16 17 18 19	R. GARY KLAUSNER
15 16 17 18 19 20	R. GARY KLAUSNER United States District Judge
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	R. GARY KLAUSNER United States District Judge
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. GARY KLAUSNER United States District Judge
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. GARY KLAUSNER United States District Judge
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	R. GARY KLAUSNER United States District Judge
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	R. GARY KLAUSNER United States District Judge
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	R. GARY KLAUSNER United States District Judge