1		
2		JS-6
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JUSTIN MAGHEN, INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF ALL OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED,	Case No. : CV 14-03840-DMG (FFMx)
12		
13	Plaintiff,	JUDGMENT [51]
14	V.	
15	QUICKEN LOANS INC.,	
16	Defendant.	
17		
18		
19 20		
20		
21		
22		
23 24		
24 25		
23 26		
20 27		
27		
20		

1	Pursuant to this Court's Amended Order granting Quicken Loans' motion for		
2	summary judgment [Doc. # 53], IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED THAT:		
3	Judgment is entered in favor of Defendant Quicken Loans and against		
4	Plaintiff as to the sole claim in the Complaint;		
5	Judgment also is entered in favor of Quicken Loans on its Counterclaim for		
6	Declaratory Judgment on the ground that Plaintiff consented to the recording of		
7	calls by Quicken Loans.		
8	To the extent Quicken Loans' Counterclaim for Declaratory Judgment		
9	requests a declaration that "California law does not apply to the out-of-state		
10	conduct alleged by Maghen" and that "the application of California law to the out-		
11	of-state conduct alleged in this case would violate the Commerce Clause of the		
12	United States Constitution," those claims are dismissed without prejudice as moot.		
13			
14	DATED: May 21, 2015		
15	Wolly M. See		
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	-1-		
l			