 recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Judgment be entered (1) denying the Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus; and (2) dismissing this action with prejudice. Dated: <u>March 2, 2015</u> March 2, 2015 <i>March 2, 2015</i> 	1			
4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 114 15 15 16 17 18 19 10 10 115 116 117 118 129 120 121 1220 1230 124 125 126 127 127	2			
5 6 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 ARNOLD NEWTON, 11 ARNOLD NEWTON, Case No. CV 14-4099-DOC (KK) 12 Petitioner, ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION OF 13 v. UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE 14 F. FOULK, Warden, JUDGE 15 Respondent. JUDGE 16	3			
6 7 7 8 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 ARNOLD NEWTON, 11 ARNOLD NEWTON, Case No. CV 14-4099-DOC (KK) 12 Petitioner, ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS 13 v. UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE 14 F. FOULK, Warden, JUDGE 15 Respondent. JUDGE 16 JUDGE Trusuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition for a Writ of 18 Habeas Corpus, the records on file, and the Report and Recommendation of the United 19 States Magistrate Judge. The Court has engaged in a <i>de novo</i> review of those portions 10 of the Report to which Petitioner has objected. The Court accepts the findings and 11 recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. 11 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Judgment be entered (1) denying the 12 Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus; and (2) dismissing this action with prejudice. 14 March 2, 2015 15 March 2, 2015 16 March 2, 2015 17 March 2, 2015 <	4			
7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 ARNOLD NEWTON, Case No. CV 14-4099-DOC (KK) 12 Petitioner, ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION OF 13 v. UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE 14 F. FOULK, Warden, JUDGE 15 Respondent. JUDGE 16	5			
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 ARNOLD NEWTON, Case No. CV 14-4099-DOC (KK) 12 Petitioner, ORDER A CCEPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION OF 13 v. UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE 14 F. FOULK, Warden, 15 Respondent. 16 JUDGE 17 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition for a Writ of 18 Habeas Corpus, the records on file, and the Report and Recommendation of the United 19 States Magistrate Judge. The Court has engaged in a <i>de novo</i> review of those portions 10 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Judgment be entered (1) denying the 12 Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus; and (2) dismissing this action with prejudice. 14 March 2, 2015 15 March 2, 2015 16 Junt do Lavtw 17 Pated: March 2, 2015 18 Pated: March 2, 2015	6			
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 ARNOLD NEWTON, Case No. CV 14-4099-DOC (KK) 12 Petitioner, ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE 13 v. JUDGE 14 F. FOULK, Warden, JUDGE 15 Respondent. JUDGE 16 Junce Junce 17 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition for a Writ of 18 Habeas Corpus, the records on file, and the Report and Recommendation of the United 19 States Magistrate Judge. The Court has engaged in a <i>de novo</i> review of those portions 10 of the Report to which Petitioner has objected. The Court accepts the findings and 11 recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. 11 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Judgment be entered (1) denying the 12 Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus; and (2) dismissing this action with prejudice. 14 March 2, 2015 15 Marid B. Cuttw 16 HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER 17 HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER	7			
10 ARNOLD NEWTON, Case No. CV 14-4099-DOC (KK) 11 ARNOLD NEWTON, ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS 12 Petitioner, ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS 13 v. UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE 14 F. FOULK, Warden, JUDGE 15 Respondent. JUDGE 16	8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11 ARNOLD NEWTON, Case No. CV 14-4099-DOC (KK) 12 Petitioner, ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION OF 13 v. UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE 14 F. FOULK, Warden, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE 15 Respondent. JUDGE 16 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition for a Writ of 18 Habeas Corpus, the records on file, and the Report and Recommendation of the United 19 States Magistrate Judge. The Court has engaged in a <i>de novo</i> review of those portions 20 of the Report to which Petitioner has objected. The Court accepts the findings and 21 recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. 22 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Judgment be entered (1) denying the 24 Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus; and (2) dismissing this action with prejudice. 24 Dated: March 2, 2015 26 March 2, 2015 27 March 2, 2015	9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12 Petitioner, ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS 13 v. Dust of the content of the con	10			
13 v. AND RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 14 F. FOULK, Warden, JUDGE 15 Respondent. JUDGE 16	11	ARNOLD NEWTON,) Case No. CV 14-4099-DOC (KK)	
13 v. V. 14 F. FOULK, Warden, JUDGE 15 Respondent. JUDGE 16	12	Petitioner,		
14 F. FOULK, Warden, 15 Respondent. 16	13	V.) UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE	
 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus, the records on file, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. The Court has engaged in a <i>de novo</i> review of those portions of the Report to which Petitioner has objected. The Court accepts the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Judgment be entered (1) denying the Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus; and (2) dismissing this action with prejudice. Dated: March 2, 2015 March 2, 2015 March 2, 2015 	14	F. FOULK, Warden,		
Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus, the records on file, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. The Court has engaged in a <i>de novo</i> review of those portions of the Report to which Petitioner has objected. The Court accepts the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Judgment be entered (1) denying the Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus; and (2) dismissing this action with prejudice. Dated: <u>March 2, 2015</u> <u>Marid 0. Curter</u> HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER UNITED STATES DISTRICT HIDGE	15	Respondent.		
 Habeas Corpus, the records on file, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge. The Court has engaged in a <i>de novo</i> review of those portions of the Report to which Petitioner has objected. The Court accepts the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Judgment be entered (1) denying the Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus; and (2) dismissing this action with prejudice. Dated: March 2, 2015 March 2, 2015 UNITED STATES DISTRICT HUDGE 	16)	
 States Magistrate Judge. The Court has engaged in a <i>de novo</i> review of those portions of the Report to which Petitioner has objected. The Court accepts the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Judgment be entered (1) denying the Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus; and (2) dismissing this action with prejudice. Dated: March 2, 2015 March 2, 2015 	17	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition for a Writ of		
20 of the Report to which Petitioner has objected. The Court accepts the findings and 21 recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. 22 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Judgment be entered (1) denying the 23 Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus; and (2) dismissing this action with prejudice. 24 Dated: March 2, 2015 26 March 2, 2015 27 March 2, 2015 28 HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER 29 UNITED STATES DISTRICT HIDGE	18	Habeas Corpus, the records on file, and the Report and Recommendation of the United		
 recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Judgment be entered (1) denying the Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus; and (2) dismissing this action with prejudice. Dated: <u>March 2, 2015</u> March 2, 2015 March 2, 2015 March 2, 2015 March 2, 2015 	19	States Magistrate Judge. The Court has engaged in a <i>de novo</i> review of those portions		
 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Judgment be entered (1) denying the Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus; and (2) dismissing this action with prejudice. Dated: March 2, 2015 March 2, 2015 	20	of the Report to which Petitioner has objected. The Court accepts the findings and		
 Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus; and (2) dismissing this action with prejudice. Dated: March 2, 2015 March 2, 2015 <i>March 2</i>, 2015 <i>March 0</i>. Curter HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER UNITED STATES DISTRICT HUDGE 	21	recommendation of the Magistrate Judge.		
 24 25 Dated: March 2, 2015 26 27 HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER UNITED STATES DISTRICT HIDGE 	22	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Judgment be entered (1) denying the		
 Dated: March 2, 2015 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 27 27 27 27 28 29 29 20 <li< td=""><td>23</td><td colspan="2">Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus; and (2) dismissing this action with prejudice.</td></li<>	23	Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus; and (2) dismissing this action with prejudice.		
26 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27	24			
27 HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER UNITED STATES DISTRICT HIDGE	25	Dated:March 2, 2015		
27 HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER UNITED STATES DISTRICT HIDGE	26	Klavid O. Carter		
LINITED STATES DISTRICT HIDGE	27	HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER		
	28	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		