

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – WESTERN DIVISION

DONALD BRIDGES,

 Plaintiff,

 v.

THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES,
DEPUTY JOHN PADILLA and
DEPUTY IVAN CARDENAS, and
DOES 1-10, INCLUSIVE

 Defendants.

CASE NO.: CV14-04462-RGK (CWx)

**JUDGMENT RE DEFENDANTS’
MOTION FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT**


On May 15, 2015, Defendants the COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES,
DEPUTY JOHN PADILLA and DEPUTY IVAN CARDENAS filed their Motion
for Summary Judgment before the Honorable R. Gary Klausner, who took the
motion under submission. Plaintiff did not file an opposition to the motion.

1 After full consideration of the papers filed in support of the motion, the
2 Court finds that there are no genuine issues of material fact with regard to the
3 issues raised in the Defendants' Motion and, therefore, grants summary judgment
4 on the §1983 claim and the claims of assault, battery and negligence in favor of
5 Defendants the COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DEPUTY JOHN PADILLA, and
6 DEPUTY IVAN CARDENAS.
7
8

9 Therefore, for the reasons set forth by this Court in its Order of June 30,
10 2015 granting the Motion, judgment is hereby entered in favor of Defendants the
11 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DEPUTY JOHN PADILLA, and DEPUTY IVAN
12 CARDENAS. As these Defendants are the prevailing parties, they shall be entitled
13 to recover their costs reasonably incurred in defense of this action as allowed by
14 the *Federal Rules of Civil Procedure*, Local Rules and 42 U.S.C. §§ 1883 and
15 1988.
16
17

18 IT IS SO ORDERED.
19

20
21 DATED: July 31, 2015



22 _____
23 Honorable R. Gary Klausner
24 United States District Court Judge
25
26
27
28