CTI 14 OFOES DATE (TIDIT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL MINUTES—GENERAL

D . I 1 7 0014

Case No. CV 14-050/3 DMG (VBKX)		Jate July 7, 2014	
Title Chris Hicks v. Briad Restaurant Group, L.L.C. Page 1 of 1			
Present: The Honorable	DOLLY M. GEE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
KANE TIEN	N	NOT REPORTED	
Deputy Clerk		Court Reporter	
Attorneys Present for Plai None Present	intiff(s) Attorneys	s Present for Defendant(s) None Present	

Proceedings: IN CHAMBERS—ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THIS ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR LACK OF SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

On June 30, 2014, Defendant Briad Restaurant Group, L.L.C. filed a Notice of Removal. [Doc. # 1.] The Notice of Removal alleges that this Court has jurisdiction on the basis of diversity of citizenship, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d). (*Id.*)

To establish diversity jurisdiction for a class action brought under the Class Action Fairness Act, a least one member of the purported class must be a citizen of a State different from a Defendant. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A); Washington v. Chimei Innolux Corp., 659 F.3d 842, 847 (9th Cir. 2011). The Notice of Removal alleges that Plaintiff is a citizen of California, citing Plaintiff's Complaint ¶ 10. (Id. at 4.) It also asserts that Defendant Briad is a "Limited Liability Company formed and registered under the laws of New Jersey with its principal place of business in Livingston, New Jersey. (Id.) The accompanying Declaration of David Cahill states that Briad is a New Jersey LLC with its principal place of business in New Jersey. (Declaration of David Cahill ¶¶ 2-3 [Doc. # 2].) An LLC is a citizen of every state of which its owners/members are citizens. Johnson v. Columbia Properties Anchorage, LP, 437 F.3d 894, 899 (9th Cir. 2006). The Notice of Removal fails to adequately allege the citizenship of Briad, a limited liability company, because it does not state the citizenship of all owners and/or members of Briad. Accordingly, the Notice of Removal fails to establish that complete diversity of citizenship exists.

In light of the foregoing, Plaintiff is **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE** why this action should not be dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction by no later than July 14, 2014.

IT IS SO ORDERED.