


1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SHARON EVENHAIM, TRUSTEE,  
Plaintiff,  
vs.  
SANTA ANA CONSTRUCTION, A CORP.,  
JUBILIO ESCALARA, DOES 1-10  
Defendants.

CASE NO. CV 14-5105 (SH)  
ORDER SUMMARILY REMANDING  
IMPROPERLY-REMOVED ACTION

The Court will remand this unlawful detainer action to state court summarily because Defendant removed it improperly.

On July 1, 2014, defendant Jubilio Escalara, having been sued in what appears to be a routine unlawful detainer action in California state court, lodged a Notice Of Removal of that action to this Court and also presented an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The Court has denied the latter application under separate cover because the action was not properly removed. To prevent the action from remaining in jurisdictional limbo, the Court issues this Order to remand the action to state court.

Simply stated, Plaintiff could not have brought this action in federal court in the first place, so that Defendant does not competently allege facts supplying either diversity or federal-question jurisdiction, and therefore removal is improper. 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a); see *Exxon Mobil Corp v. Allapattah Svcs., Inc.*, 545 U.S. 546, 563, 125 S.Ct.

1 2611, 162 L.Ed.2d 502 (2005). Even if complete diversity of citizenship exists, the  
2 amount in controversy does not exceed the diversity jurisdiction threshold of \$75,000. *See*  
3 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441(b). On the contrary, the unlawful detainer complaint recites that  
4 the amount in controversy does not exceed \$10,000. Nor does Plaintiff's unlawful detainer  
5 action raise any federal legal question. *See* 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1441(b).

6 Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that (1) this matter be REMANDED to the  
7 Superior Court of California, Los Angeles County, 300 East Walnut Street, Pasadena CA  
8 91101 for lack of subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c); (2) that the  
9 Clerk send a certified copy of this Order to the state court; and (3) that the Clerk serve  
10 copies of this Order on the parties.

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12 DATED: 7/9/14

13  
14  
15  
16 \_\_\_\_\_  
17 GEORGE H. KING  
18 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28