City of Los Angeles et a

Approval

Error! No text of specified style in document.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

subsequently dismissed due to misjoinder and only the claims of Plaintiff FRANK AMADOR remain actively pending.²

The Court has reviewed the Joint Stipulation for Dismissal and is of the opinion that dismissal of Plaintiff FRANK AMADOR's claims for failing to provide discovery responses and for lack of prosecution is fair and appropriate given the circumstances. For the foregoing reasons,

THE COURT HEREBY MAKES THE FOLLOWING ORDER:

The dismissal of this action is fair and appropriate due to the Plaintiff's failure to respond to discovery and/or failure to prosecute this action. The Joint Stipulation for Dismissal filed by the Parties' Counsel is hereby approved. This case is dismissed with prejudice. Additionally, Plaintiff's Counsel is hereby granted leave to withdraw as legal counsel of record for the Plaintiff. Plaintiff's Counsel-shall-immediately mail-notice of this Order to the Plaintiff's last-known -address.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 5-26-2015

United States District Judge

² Plaintiff FRANK AMADOR is the first-named officer in the caption of the Complaint filed in this action.