```
1
 2
 3
 4
5
                                                            NO JS-6
6
7
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8
9
                       CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10
11
   MENELAOS SARIDAKIS, an
                                     Case No. CV 14-06279 DDP (Ex)
   individual,
12
                   Plaintiff,
                                     ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT JPMORGAN
13
                                     CHASE BANK'S MOTION TO DISMISS
        v.
14
   JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, a New
15
   York corporation; ALBERTELLI
   LAW PARTNERS CALIFORNIA, PA,
                                     (DOCKET NUMBER 22)
16
   a California corporation,
17
                   Defendants.
18
19
        Presently before the court is Defendant JPMorgan Chase Bank's
20
   Motion to Dismiss. Plaintiff, represented by counsel, has not
21
   opposed the motion. Accordingly, the court GRANTS Defendant's
22
   Motion to Dismiss and adopts the following order.
        Central District of California Local Rule 7-9 requires an
23
24
   opposing party to file an opposition to any motion at least twenty-
25
   one (21) days prior to the date designated for hearing the motion.
   C.D. CAL. L.R. 7-9. Additionally, Local Rule 7-12 provides that
27
   ///
28
```

"[t]he failure to file any required document, or the failure to 2 file it within the deadline, may be deemed consent to the granting or denial of the motion." C.D. CAL. L.R. 7-12.

The hearing on Defendant's motion was noticed for April 13, 2015. Plaintiff's opposition was therefore due by March 23, 2015. As of the date of this Order, Plaintiff has not filed an opposition or any other filing that could be construed as a request for a continuance. Accordingly, the court deems Plaintiff's failure to oppose as consent to granting the motion to dismiss, and GRANTS the motion. All claims against JPMorgan Chase Bank are DISMISSED.

PREGERSON

United States District Judge

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 1, 2015