

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PAMELA TINKY MNYANDU,  
Plaintiff,

v.

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES,  
OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT  
ATTORNEY, DDA ANTHONY  
COLANNINO, VITTORIO  
RACOWASKI, THE SCHOOL  
BOARD OF THE LOS ANGELES  
UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, JOHN  
MCLAUGHLIN, ANA GRAY,  
MARICIO AROCHA, AIDA  
CROOSTHWAITE, JOAN  
SCHNEIDER, CHARLIE HILL, LOS  
ANGLES UNIFIED SCHOOL  
DISTRICT SEDGWICK CMS,  
JEFFREY ROSE, LESLIE LUNSWAY  
and DOES 1-10,  
Defendants.

CASE NO. CV 14-6485 DSF (FFM)  
ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS,  
CONCLUSIONS AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS OF  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Complaint, all the records and files herein, and the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Re Motions to Dismiss of Defendants Joan Schneider and Mauricio Arocha. Plaintiff has not filed any written Objections to the Report. The Court concurs with and accepts the findings, conclusions and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge.

///

1 IT IS ORDERED that the motions to dismiss of defendants Schneider and Arocha  
2 are GRANTED and that plaintiff's claim for malicious prosecution as to defendants  
3 Schnieder and Arocha is dismissed without leave to amend.

4 The Court having resolved all pending motions to dismiss the First Amended  
5 Complaint, plaintiff is granted 30 days from the date of this order within which to file a  
6 Second Amended Complaint that cures the deficiencies the Court previously found with  
7 respect to the following claims and following parties:

8 (1) The First Claim for relief as to defendants Hill, Rose, Lunsway and Sedgwick;

9 (2) The First, Second, and Third Claims for relief as to defendants Colannino and  
10 Racowschi for actions not taken as prosecutors;

11 (3) The Second Claim for relief as to defendant Sedgwick;

12 (4) The Fourth Claim for relief as to defendants Sedgwick, Rose, and Lunsway;

13 and

14 (5) The *Monell* Claim against County of Los Angeles for actions taken by County  
15 employees other than employees of the Office of the District Attorney.

16 10/23/15

17 DATED: \_\_\_\_\_



18  
19 \_\_\_\_\_  
20 DALE S. FISCHER  
21 United States District Judge  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28