1	JS-6	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	MIGHTY ENTERPRISES, INC. dba	Case No.: 14-CV-06516-JCG
13	MIGHTY USA,	Hon. Jay C. Gandhi
14	Plaintiff,	JUDGMENT AFTER TRIAL
15	V.	
16	SHE HONG INDUSTRIAL CO. LTD., and DOES 1 through 10,	NOTE: CHANGES MADE BY THE COURT
17	Defendants.	
18		
19		11
20	This action came on regularly for trial between November 14, 2016, and	
21	November 18, 2016, in Courtroom 790 of this United States District Court, the	
22	Honorable Jay C. Gandhi presiding. The plaintiff, Mighty Enterprises, Inc.	
23	("Mighty"), appeared by attorneys John Shaeffer, Christina Chen, and Amanda	
24	Murray of Fox Rothschild LLP. Defendant, She Hong Industrial Co. Ltd., appeared	
25	by attorneys Anthony Dain, Kathy Knudsen, and Frederick Taylor of Procopio, Cory,	
26	Hargreaves & Savitch LLP.	
27	A jury of eight persons was regularly empaneled and sworn. Witnesses	
28	were sworn and testified. After hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel,	
	JUDGMENT AFTER TRIAL	

the jury was duly instructed by the Court and the case was submitted to the jury. The 1 jury deliberated and thereafter returned verdicts as follows: 2 3 Did Plaintiff, Mighty Enterprises, Inc. ("Mighty), prove by a preponderance of 4 the evidence that Defendant, She Hong Industrial Co. Ltd. ("She Hong"), is liable to 5 6 Mighty for **breach of oral contract**? X Yes \_\_\_\_\_No 7 Did Mighty prove by a preponderance of the evidence that She Hong is liable to 8 9 Mighty for **breach of implied contract**? X Yes \_\_\_\_\_No 10 Did Mighty prove by a preponderance of the evidence that She Hong is liable to 11 12 Mighty for interference with contractual business relations? 13 X Yes No Did Mighty prove by a preponderance of the evidence that She Hong is liable to 14 15 Mighty for **fraud**? X Yes No 16 What is the total amount of Mighty's damages caused by She Hong? 17 18 \$6,203,000.00 Did Mighty prove with clear and convincing evidence that She Hong engaged 19 20 in malice, oppression or fraud? \_\_\_\_\_No 21 X Yes What amount, if any, do you award plaintiff, Mighty Enterprises, Inc., in 22 23 punitive damages? \$6,000,000.00 24 25 Now, therefore, pursuant to Rules 54 and 58 of the Federal Rules of Civil 26 27 Procedure, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED**, **ADJUDGED AND DECREED** that final 28 judgment in the action be entered as follows: JUDGMENT AFTER TRIAL

On the claims of breach of oral contract, breach of implied contract,
interference with contractual business relations, and fraud: Judgment is entered in
favor of Mighty Enterprises, Inc. and against Defendant She Hong Industrial Co. Ltd.
in the amount of \$12,203,000.00, plus post-judgment interest accruing pursuant to
28 U.S.C. § 1961, together with costs as provided by law.

JUDGMENT AFTER TRIAL

Dated: November <u>28</u>, 2016

Honorable Jay C. Gandhi United States Magistrate Judge