UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No. CV14-6805-DMG (ASx)		Date	October 31, 2014		
Title Daniel Lopez v. Mary Amescua, et al.					
Present: Th	ne Honorable	DOLLY M. GEE, UNITI	ED STATES DISTRICT J	UDGE	
Kane Tien			No	Not Reported	
Deputy Clerk			Court Reporter		
Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:			Attorneys Pre	Attorneys Present for Defendants:	
Not Present			No	Not Present	
Proceedings: IN CHAMBERS - Order To Show Cause Re: Dismissal for Lack of Prosecution					
Absent a showing of good cause, an action must be dismissed without prejudice if the summons and complaint are not served on a defendant within 120 days after the complaint is filed. Generally, defendant must answer the complaint within 21days after service (60 days if the defendant is the United States.) In the present case, it appears that one or more of these time periods has not been met. Accordingly, the court, on its own motion, orders plaintiff(s) to show cause in writing on or before November 14, 2014 why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. As an alternative to a written response by plaintiff(s), the Court will consider the filing of one of the following, as an appropriate response to this Order To Show Cause, on or before the above date, as evidence that the matter is being prosecuted diligently:					
		ring defendant(s): Defendant rentry of default pursuant to		es of Civil Procedure;	
proofs of serv promptly upo	vice and stipulation	defendant. All stipulations	If necessary, plaintiff(s) mus	diligently, including filing t also pursue Rule 55 remedies case must be approved by this	
of a responsiv	ve pleading or moti		which a response by plainti	stand submitted upon the filing ff(s) is due. This action will be	